Software Engineering Group Projects – Design Specification Standards

Author: C. J. Price

Config Ref: SE.QA.05 (for CS22120)
Date: 21st December 2016

Version: 2.1 Status: Release

Department of Computer Science Aberystwyth University Aberystwyth Ceredigion SY23 3DB Copyright © Aberystwyth University 2016

CONTENTS

1		INTRODUCTION	3
	1.1	Purpose of this Document	3
	1.2		
	1.3	B Objectives	
2		RELEVANT QA DOCUMENTS	
3		OUTLINE STRUCTURE	4
4		DECOMPOSITION DESCRIPTION	5
	4.1	Programs in system	5
	4.2		
	4.3	· ·	5
5		DEPENDENCY DESCRIPTION	
6		INTERFACE DESCRIPTION	6
7		DETAILED DESIGN	7

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this Document

The purpose of this document is to describe the format of, and information which must be supplied in, design specifications produced in software engineering group projects. This version of the Design Specification standards is specific to CS22120/CC22120 projects - CS22220 projects have different design standards.

1.2 Scope

This document specifies the standards for writing software design specifications. It describes the necessary layout and content of a design specification.

This document should be read by all project members. It is assumed that the reader is already familiar with the QA Plan [1].

1.3 Objectives

The main objective is to aid the production of a design specification which is a complete and accurate translation of the client's requirements into a description of the design elements necessary for the implementation phase. These will generally include the software structure, components, interfaces, and data. In a complete design specification, each requirement must be traceable to one or more design entities.

2 RELEVANT QA DOCUMENTS

The Design Specification must be produced in accordance with the quality standards specified in the QA Plan [1]. In particular, it must be produced as part of a specified task in the Project Plan [2] and maintained within the Configuration Management System according to the appropriate procedures [3].

The basic layout and information content must conform to the general documentation standards [4], which, amongst other items, specifies that there must be an introductory section and a references section. The remainder of this QA document (i.e., SE.QA.05), is concerned with the other sections which should be included in a design specification.

An example design specification following this format is provided in the web site for the group project module. There is also a requirements specification for the same example, so that they can be compared.

3 OUTLINE STRUCTURE

An outline structure for a design specification is presented in Table 1. All design specifications should have this general structure. Since there is a wide variation in the kind of systems that could be developed in group projects (e.g., games, simulations, database applications), this outline structure is only a recommendation, and is not mandatory. The design specification should address the same issues as those indicated in table 1, but in an order or format appropriate to the particular system being specified. By default, the suggested outline structure should be used.

```
1 INTRODUCTION (structure as defined in QA Document SE.QA.02)
2 DECOMPOSITION DESCRIPTION
   2.1 Programs in system
   2.2. Significant classes in each program
      2.2.1 Significant classes in Program 1
      2.2.2 Significant classes in Program 2 .....
      2.2.n Significant classes in Program n .....
   2.3 Modules shared between programs
   2.4 Mapping from requirements to classes
3 DEPENDENCY DESCRIPTION
   3.1 Component Diagrams
      3.1.1 Component Diagram for Program 1
      3.1.2 Component Diagram for Program 2
4 INTERFACE DESCRIPTION
   4.1 Class 1 interface specification
   4.2 Class 2 interface specification
5 DETAILED DESIGN
      5.1 Sequence diagrams
      5.2 Significant algorithms
      5.3 Significant data structures
6 REFERENCES (structure as defined in QA Document SE.QA.02)
APPENDICES
```

Table 1: Outline Structure for Design Specification

A description of each element mentioned in the outline structure is presented in the following sections.

4 DECOMPOSITION DESCRIPTION

2 DECOMPOSITION DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Programs in system
- 2.2. Significant classes in each program
 - 2.2.1 Significant classes in Program 1
 - 2.2.2 Significant classes in Program 2
 - 2.2.n Significant classes in Program n
- 2.3 Classes shared between programs
- 2.4 Mapping from requirements to classes

Extract from document structure

The decomposition description records the division of the software system into programs and the modules which make up those programs. It describes the way the system has been structured and the purpose and function of each program and significant module, giving an overview of, and justification for, the design. Obviously, if there is only one program in the design, then the headings can be simplified.

The terms *class* and *package* are used to describe the logical and syntactic structuring of data and procedures within an application. Programming languages and environments may support such scoping concepts in a variety of ways and to varying extents. Structuring the architectural design at a level abstracted from a specific language or environment is an important discipline. The term method may be taken to refer to function, procedure, subroutine etc. as supported by the target language.

4.1 Programs in system

If the system being implemented is made up of more than one program, then this section should describe each of the programs, and the relationship between the programs (e.g. that they will run on different machines and that program 2 will take output from program 1 as its input).

If there is only one program, then this section should just give a brief summary of what the program does.

4.2 Significant classes

For each program, the main classes should be named and a short description of the purpose of each class should be given. The statement of purpose should be an English language description just a few sentences long. A specification for each class should *not* be given here – that level of detail is provided later in the document.

If there are a set of classes shared between several programs, then they should be described in a separate section. In fact, a tidier solution is to make them into a Package, and have a section describing each package.

4.3 Table mapping requirements onto classes

In order to understand the implications of any changes in requirements or in the design, and to check that all requirements have been met, you should produce a table mapping functional requirements onto the classes which contribute to those requirements. For example:

Requirement	Classes providing requirement
FR1	HeatingClass, DiaryClass,
	DiaryObject
FR2	LogClass, DiaryClass, DiaryObject
etc	

5 DEPENDENCY DESCRIPTION

3 DEPENDENCY DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 Component Diagrams
 - 3.1.1 Component Diagram for Program 1
 - 3.1.2 Component Diagram for Program 2

Extract from document structure

The dependency description specifies the relationships and dependencies between modules. It helps the reader to understand how the parts of the system described in the decomposition description fit together. UML provides a formalism called *Component Diagrams*. These are an appropriate way of describing the relationship between modules for Java programs. The specification should contain a subsection containing a component diagram for each program, showing the method links between modules.

The other types of dependency which can be shown are compilation dependencies between modules, and inheritance dependencies between classes. The group project team may choose to include or omit these things depending how appropriate they are for the particular application.

6 INTERFACE DESCRIPTION

4 INTERFACE DESCRIPTION

- 4.1 Class 1 interface specification
- 4.2 Class 2 interface specification .

Extract from document structure

This section should provide everything designers, programmers and testers need to know to use the facilities provided by a module. It should include an outline specification for each class in the system. The specification should include:

- The name and type of the class (e.g. public or private, abstract).
- Classes which it extends (and why).
- Public methods implemented by the class. The parameter names and types for each method should be specified, and a short summary of the purpose of the method given.

The simplest way of specifying each class might be as a Java code outline, following the Java coding standards [5]. Certainly it makes sense for all names to follow the Java naming standards at this point. Several tools available at Aberystwyth are capable of generating the code automatically from UML class descriptions. The Java specification is preferable in this case, and should follow the Java coding standards [5] and should compile together into a system (that will do little as it only contains outlines of classes).

The ordering of class descriptions in the document has not been specified here, but it should follow a sensible convention. One possibility is all classes in alphabetical order. Another choice would be to order by program, to match the decomposition section.

7 DETAILED DESIGN

5 DETAILED DESIGN

- 5.1 Sequence diagrams
- 5.2 Significant algorithms
- 5.3 Significant data structures

Extract from document structure

It is inappropriate in the group project to provide all of the internal details of each module. However, you should have considered the difficult parts of the design, and the way in which all of the classes work together. For any item whose internal workings are not self-evident, enough details should be provided to demonstrate the feasibility and coherence of the overall design.

UML Sequence diagrams are a good way of documenting how the classes work together for the major operations of the program (identified by use cases, described in the user manual for the group project).

During design, the team will have performed experimental programming and theoretical investigation to reduce the risk associated with difficult parts of the implementation. Decisions on difficult parts of the system should be documented in this section. The most appropriate way of doing this for algorithms is likely to be as a textual description of what is to be done. Where code exists, it might be referred to, but probably should not be included.

Significant data structures occur when a number of objects are linked together in a more complex structure. Class diagrams showing the entity relationships between classes should be drawn where appropriate, along with object diagrams which show how the static relationships in class diagrams work out in real examples. The mechanism to support any persistent data should be described. If data is transmitted from one program in the system to another, or is exported from the system, it would be appropriate to specify the format. This might involve re-use of an existing format, the design of a schema for JSON or XML, or design of a bespoke format.

REFERENCES

- [1] QA Document SE.QA.01 Quality Assurance Plan.
- [2] QA Document SE.QA.03 Project Management Standards.
- [3] QA Document SE.QA.08 Operating Procedures and Configuration Management Standards.
- [4] QA Document SE.QA.02 General Documentation Standards.
- [5] QA Document SE.QA.09 Java Coding Standards.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Version	CCF No.	Date	Changes made to document	Changed by
1.0	N/A	05/10/01	Document given complete rehaul	CJP
1.1	N/A	18/07/02	Minor changes after review with Graham Parker.	CJP

Version	CCF No.	Date	Changes made to document	Changed by
1.2	N/A	12/08/03	Got rid of compilation dependencies.	CJP
			Added sequence diagrams.	
1.3	N/A	26/09/04	BN10 - more explicit about sections 6 and 7.	CJP
1.5	N/A	12/09/08	Changed document template to be Aber Uni	CJP
2.0	N/A	15/05/12	Deleted Inheritance relationships	CJP
2.1	N/A	21/12/16	Updated taking over the project again	CJP