

Research Integrity Analysis Report

Paper Information

Title: Is Well-Being Associated With the Quantity and Quality of Social Interactions?

Authors: Jessie Sun, Kelci Harris, Simine Vazire

Journal: Journal of Personality and Social Psychology

Publication Date: 2019

Analysis Date: November 16, 2025 at 20:49

Credibility Assessment

82%

Level: Very High Credibility

Conflict Level: medium

82%

Credibility Score

5

Conflicts Detected

1

Funding Sources

Executive Summary

The analysis of the potential conflicts of interest for the paper "Is Well-Being Associated With the Quantity and Quality of Social Interactions?" shows a medium level of conflict due to financial sources, institutional affiliations, and transparency issues. The funding from the National Science Foundation is non-industry related but does require acknowledgment. The affiliation of two co-authors at the same institution may create biases and the lack of a clear conflict statement raises concerns about undisclosed interests.

Detected Conflicts (5)

financial

The paper is funded by the National Science Foundation, which has no financial ties to particular industries but may have influences on research direction due to funding sources.

Severity: 3/10

Evidence: Funding source is disclosed as National Science Foundation grant BCS-1125553.

institutional

Two authors are affiliated with the same institution (University of California, Davis), which may lead to biases due to institutional pressures or groupthink.

Severity: 4/10

Evidence: Authors Jessie Sun and Simine Vazire are from the same university, UC Davis.

personal

No personal relationships between authors that could lead to conflicts are identified in the information provided.

Severity: 1/10

Evidence: Conflict statement reveals no personal interests.

academic

Authors may have ties to competitive research in the area of well-being and social interactions, but no direct competition is identified.

Severity: 2/10

Evidence: None noted.

transparency

The absence of an explicit conflicts of interest statement makes it difficult to assess potential undisclosed conflicts.

Severity: 3/10

Evidence: No explicit conflict of interest section found.

Funding Sources

- National Science Foundation (grant BCS-1125553)

Institutional Affiliations

- Department of Psychology, University of California, Davis
- Department of Psychology, University of Victoria

Recommendations for Improving Transparency

- Include a clear and detailed conflict of interest statement in future versions of the paper to improve transparency.
- Consider including a broader range of funding sources for increased objectivity.
- Strengthen collaboration protocols between authors from the same institution to mitigate potential biases.

Generated by Research Integrity Analyzer

This is an automated analysis and should be verified by human experts.