Unit 10 Reflection

This iteration aimed to align object-oriented design with measurable code quality. Radon reports 139 blocks with an average cyclomatic complexity of 2.85 (A) and an average Maintainability Index of 77.8 across the project, indicating generally simple, testable code. The standout hotspot is Robot.tick (CC = 38, E-rank) alongside a small number of C/B ranks (e.g., GreedyPlanner and AStarPlanner). These results are consistent with McCabe's view that decision density concentrates risk and maintenance effort (McCabe, 1976).

Two architectural choices demonstrably contain complexity. First, the **Strategy** pattern decouples path-planning algorithms from navigation, so algorithmic variation does not cascade into additional branches within the controller. Second, a lightweight **Observer/EventBus** isolates sensor events from consumers, reducing temporal coupling and avoiding nested control flow. Together with **dependency injection**, these practices localise complexity and improve testability, echoing the intention behind classic design patterns (Gamma et al., 1995).

For the remaining hotspot, I will refactor Robot.tick using a **State/Command dispatch** (e.g., Off, Idle, Navigating, Charging) combined with **guard clauses** and **extract-method** refactorings. The expected outcome is to convert the single E-rank into B or better and eliminate the few C-ranks by splitting responsibilities into small, pure helpers. This approach complements object-oriented metrics beyond CC: lowering **WMC** at class level and controlling inter-class interactions measured by **CBO/RFC** (Chidamber and Kemerer, 1994).

Finally, the metrics will be integrated into CI to guard against regressions (quality gate MI ≥ 70 with no E/D in production code). This continuous feedback loop maintains a low decision count per method, improves cohesion, and preserves loose coupling. In sum, the measured improvements validate the design-pattern choices and provide a clear path to reduce residual hotspots, keeping the codebase maintainable, testable, and ready for further optimisation in resource-constrained IoT contexts.

References:

Chidamber, S.R. and Kemerer, C.F. (1994) 'A metrics suite for object-oriented design', *IEEE TSE*, 20(6), 476–493.

Gamma, E., Helm, R., Johnson, R. and Vlissides, J. (1995) *Design Patterns*. Addison-Wesley.

McCabe, T.J. (1976) 'A complexity measure', IEEE TSE, SE-2(4), 308-320.

Romano, F. and Kruger, H. (2021) Learn Python Programming. 3rd edn. Packt.