

Contents

System Design Proposal for Humanoid Robot Software	1
Background Research: Terms & Rationale	1
System Design Rationale (≈ 500 words, UK English)	1
Data structures are purpose-driven (Siciliano and Khatib, 2016):	1
UML Artefacts (with captions)	2
Traceability	3
Unit Mapping	3
Evidence of Independent Working	3
References (Harvard)	3

System Design Proposal for Humanoid Robot Software

Author: Victor Angelier

Date: 12 September 2025

Background Research: Terms & Rationale

robot, humanoid robot — scope & capabilities in industry tasks.
human-robot interaction (HRI) — interface/feedback and task alignment with operators.
collaborative robot (cobot) — safety, task sharing, and guard conditions.
path planning, A* — efficiency in obstacle grids and performance ceiling.
state machine, safety — predictability, recovery paths, and testable guards.
manipulation / grasping — grasp failure, undo actions, and operator feedback.

System Design Rationale (≈ 500 words, UK English)

The software implemented in `robot/robot_system.py` supports navigation, object manipulation, and communication for a warehouse humanoid robot, accessible via `python -m robot`. It prioritises safety, maintainability, and testability, aligning with SDLC principles (Sommerville, 2015). A composition-based architecture uses a Robot façade to orchestrate Navigation, Manipulator, Communicator, Environment, and MemoryStore, reducing coupling and enabling test-time substitution (stubs/mocks). This avoids inheritance hierarchies that often tighten coupling and blur responsibilities (Derezińska, 2015; Siciliano and Khatib, 2016).

The `RobotState` enum (OFF, IDLE, MOVING, MANIPULATING, COMMUNICATING, CHARGING, ERROR) encodes explicit transitions with guards. Commands are rejected during CHARGING (except tick for progress), while a low-battery guard triggers auto-docking by planning a path to the charger and switching to CHARGING until 100% state of charge; this behaviour is validated in `robot/tests/test_auto_charge.py` (Mukherjee et al., 2022). The state machine yields predictable recovery (e.g., tick from ERROR back to IDLE when safe), outperforming ad-hoc flags; the model is reflected in `uml/state_diagram.puml` (Rumbaugh, Jacobson and Booch, 2005).

Data structures are purpose-driven (Siciliano and Khatib, 2016):

- List — `Environment.objects` and `sensor_readings` (dynamic append, $O(1)$).
- Dictionary — `object_index` for $O(1)$ ID lookups, trading memory for speed.
- Stack — `Manipulator.grasp_history` and `MemoryStore.breadcrumbs` for LIFO undo ($O(1)$).
- Queue — `Navigation.path_queue` and `CLI.cmd_queue` for FIFO processing ($O(1)$).

Navigation employs A* with a Euclidean heuristic (time complexity $O(V \log V + E)$), chosen over Dijkstra’s (no heuristic) for faster convergence and over purely greedy approaches (which sacrifice optimality)

(Russell and Norvig, 2020; Thrun, Burgard and Fox, 2005). Obstacle checks via `Environment.is_obstacle()` keep the planner decoupled from map representation. Polymorphism is explicit: Navigation depends on a PathPlanner Strategy; AStarPlanner is the default and GreedyPlanner an alternative. Tests inject planners and stubs to demonstrate substitutability without modifying Robot (DIP/OCF). Case-insensitive object lookup improves CLI ergonomics, at negligible overhead, matching HRI expectations (Ackerman, 2023; Mulko, 2023).

Error handling covers low battery, no path, and grasp failure with stable operator messages (e.g., “ERROR: No path to target”), mirrored in activity/sequence diagrams and verified by robot/tests/test_* suites. Determinism is supported by narrow interfaces (`is_obstacle`, `sense`) and by isolating randomness in tests through stubs/fixed inputs (Derezińska, 2015; Kang, Lo and Lawall, 2019). Linting and coverage provide continuous feedback on quality.

Critical analysis. A* assumes a mostly static map; in dynamic settings D* Lite re-plans efficiently but increases complexity and test surface (Thrun, Burgard and Fox, 2005). In-memory Lists/Stacks/Queues are lightweight and perfectly adequate for the assignment, but lack persistence and durability guarantees (Sommerville, 2015). The CLI yields determinism and simplicity compared with a ROS-based GUI, at the cost of interactivity and richer visual feedback (Ackerman, 2023). An event-driven supervisor could overlap sensing and motion to reduce latency. For explore-space growth or continuous change, PRM/RRT families become relevant for manipulation, trading optimality for probabilistic completeness (Russell and Norvig, 2020).

For concrete **traceability**, the PlantUML sources live under `uml/`—`uml/class_diagram.puml`, `uml/activity_navigate.puml`, `uml/activity_pick.puml`, `uml/activity_errors.puml`, `uml/sequence_diagram.puml`, and `uml/state_diagram.puml`—and map 1-to-1 to the entries in the traceability matrix.

In summary, a guarded state machine, compositional boundaries, purposeful data structures, and a polymorphic planning Strategy deliver a system that is safe, testable, and extensible, directly addressing the assessment objectives and reflecting industry practice (Mukherjee et al., 2022; Ackerman, 2023; Russell and Norvig, 2020).

UML Artefacts (with captions)

- **Figure 1 — Class Diagram.** Structure (Robot orchestrates Navigation/Manipulator/Communicator/Environment/Memory) and key attributes/ops; matches `robot_system.py`.
`assets/img/class_diagram.png`
- **Figure 2 — Activity (Navigate).** Guards for OFF, low battery, no-path/timeout; loop over `nextStep`; maps to `Robot.tick(navigate)`.
`assets/img/activity_navigate.png`
- **Figure 3 — Activity (Pick).** Sense→find object→plan→pick→undo on failure; maps to `Robot.tick(pick)` and `Manipulator`.
`assets/img/activity_pick.png`
- **Figure 4 — Activity (Errors).** Low battery, no path, grasp fail; recovery and notifications.
`assets/img/activity_errors.png`
- **Figure 5 — Sequence.** CLI→Robot→Environment/Navigation/Manipulator/Memory; alt blocks for errors; mirrors tests.
`assets/img/sequence_diagram.png`
- **Figure 6 — State Diagram.** OFF↔IDLE, IDLE→MOVING/MANIPULATING/COMMUNICATING, CHARGING, ERROR; “tick” recovery if battery≥10%.
`assets/img/state_diagram.png`

Traceability

Use-case	Sequence	Activity/State	Code evidence (example)
Navigate to (x,y)	sequence_diagram (navigate branch)	Activity “navigation”; State IDLE→MOVING	<code>Robot.tick(navigate)</code>
Pick object	sequence_diagram (pick branch)	Activity “pick”; State IDLE→MANIPULATING	<code>Robot.tick(pick)</code> , <code>M</code>
Handle errors	sequence_diagram (error alts)	Activity “errors”; State ERROR/CHARGING	Guards, try/except, <code>at</code>

Unit Mapping

- **Unit 1 (OOP & UML):** Full UML set (Class, Sequence, Activity, State) → `uml/*.puml`, `docs/assets/img/*.png`.
 - **Unit 2 (Requirements & Use-cases):** Use-case diagram en CLI-interacties → `docs/assets/img/use_case_diagram.png`, `robot/robot_system.py`.
 - **Unit 3 (Abstraction/Encapsulation):** Compositie Robot → (Navigation/Manipulator/Communicator/MemoryStorage) → `robot/robot_system.py`.
 - **Unit 4 (Design Principles: SRP, DIP/OCF):** Planner als Strategy, injecteerbaar in tests → `robot/tests/test_polymorphism.py`.
 - **Unit 5 (Polymorphism):** PathPlanner interface met AStarPlanner en GreedyPlanner → `robot/tests/test_polymorphism.py`.
 - **Unit 6 (Algorithms & Search):** A* met Euclidische heuristiek; obstakel-API → `robot/robot_system.py` (Navigation).
 - **Unit 7 (Debugging / Error Handling / DS & Search):** Try/except paden (planning/parse), guards (battery<10%), datastructuren (List/Dict/Stack/Queue), linters en tests (~95% coverage) → `robot/robot_system.py`, `robot/tests/*.py`.
-

Evidence of Independent Working

Result summary (latest run): 38 passed, ~95% coverage (robot package).

Repro steps: create venv → `pip install -r requirements.txt` → `flake8` → `pytest -q --cov=robot --cov-report=term-missing` → `python -m robot`.

References (Harvard)

- Ackerman, E. (2023) ‘Humanoid Robots Are Getting to Work’, *IEEE Spectrum*. Available at: <https://spectrum.ieee.org/humanoid-robots> (Accessed: 11 September 2025).
- Derezińska, A. (2015) ‘Improving mutation testing process of Python programs’, in *Software Engineering Techniques in Progress*, pp. 233–246.
- Kang, H.J., Lo, D. and Lawall, J. (2019) ‘BugsInPy: A database of existing bugs in Python programs to enable controlled testing and debugging studies’, in *Proceedings of the 33rd European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming*, pp. 1–6. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ECOOP.2019.1.
- Mukherjee, D. et al. (2022) ‘A Survey of Robot Learning Strategies for Human-Robot Collaboration in Industrial Settings’, *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 73, p. 102231. doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2021.102231.
- Mulko, M. (2023) ‘5 of the World’s Most Realistic Humanoid Robots Ever’, *Interesting Engineering*. Available at: <https://interestingengineering.com/innovation/humanoid-robots> (Accessed: 11 September 2025).

- Rumbaugh, J., Jacobson, I. and Booch, G. (2005) *The Unified Modeling Language Reference Manual*. 2nd edn. Addison-Wesley.
- Russell, S. and Norvig, P. (2020) *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 4th edn. Pearson.
- Siciliano, B. and Khatib, O. (eds) (2016) *Springer Handbook of Robotics*. 2nd edn. Springer.
- Thrun, S., Burgard, W. and Fox, D. (2005) *Probabilistic Robotics*. MIT Press.
- Sommerville, I. (2015) *Software Engineering*. 10th edn. Pearson.