

Assignment 1

Vision & Use Case Document

Tómas Tryggvason - tot4@hi.is
Una Rúnarsdóttir- unr2@hi.is
Anton H. Heimdal - ahh30@hi.is
Sigursteinn Pálsson Enos - spe3@hi.is

The Vision and Scope document

Business requirements

-Business objectives 1.3

This can have a real effect on small companies that have not had time or the money to set up a website or advertise. This idea is helping the people living in Reykjavík by having this everyday information so easy to access.

-Vision statement(Skoða betur á glæru 9 og 10 þetta er ekki rétt)

For locals in Iceland

Who want to explore reykjavík.

The Reykjavík website

Is an information about service in Reykjavík

That you can look up every restaurants, swimming pool and other services in Reykjavík.

- The website will be really user friendly. Users can easily filter price range and see which is most popular, and see more info about that company e.g. opening hours, menu...
- Users can write review and give stars, but only one time with mail.
- The website will help local businesses that don't have money to advertise or other businesses that are popular. Users can see more variety in service in Reykjavík.

Unlike just google every place the user have up in their mind, and end up in the same places that they go to..

Our product will get more locals to the city center and provide for local business.

Scope and limitations

-Scope of initial release 2.2

Adding the comment and rating feature can help customers/people to choose the place they want to visit. Which makes research on each place easier and quicker.

Page visitors can see quickly which places are most popular, via star rating, and read reviews to further make decision on what they want.

Use Case 1 (Siggi)

1. Use case name: Activity search.
4. Primary actor: Website user
6. Preconditions: Website user connected to the internet
7. Success guarantee: User knows more about a specific service provider he's interested in.
8. Main success scenario:
 1. Website user opens Reykjavík wanting to find something to do.
 2. Reykjavík opens with multiple service provider options.
 3. User filters to a service he prefers.
 4. Locations with that specific service are shown on the website.
 5. User browses until he decides on a location and selects it.
 6. Reykjavík reveals more information about the location, e.g. opening hours.
 7. User reads relevant information.
 8. User closes Reykjavík.
9. Extensions / alternate scenarios
 1. a) At any time, user filters for a different service
 1. User picks a different filter
 2. Reykjavík redirects to the new filter.
 2. 6-7) User explores more locations than one
 1. User presses back button to navigate back to filtered list.
 2. The old list is provided.
 3. User picks a new location.
 3. 6a) User decides to leave a review of a location already visited
 1. User selects review section of location
 2. User is presented with reviews and a review form
 3. User fills out the review form with his review and email.

Further extensions:

 1. Location information missing/corrupted
 2. Review doesn't register
 3. Filter nonexistent
13. Miscellaneous / open issues:
 1. Wrong information about a location
 2. Locations are missing

Use Case 3

1. Use case name

Restaurant finding

4. Primary actor

Website user.

6. Preconditions

Users phone can access website for information on close restaurants.

7. Success guarantee

The user finds a similar restaurant that is available.

8. Main success scenario

1. User comes to a restaurant and it is fully booked
2. User uses phone to visit website Reykjavík
3. User finds restaurant that he finds interesting
4. User goes to next restaurant

Optional for user:

5. User can after dinner visit Reykjavik website again to give current restaurant star rating and review

9. Extensions / alternate scenarios

What are alternate scenarios of success or failure?

1. User arrives at desired restaurant and it is not available for a small group (6 people)
2. User uses phone to visit website to find a new restaurant.
 - a. User has no internet connection
 - b. Website service is down
3. User is looking for new restaurant:
 - a. User finds a restaurant that is not visited much but is interested in some of the reviews.
 - b. User finds similar restaurant that the group prefers
 - c. User finds no place of interest and ends up at just next visible place
4. User finds out where new restaurant is and walks/drives there
 - a. User finds taxi quickly and gets to location quickly
 - b. User has problem finding taxi
 - c. User arrives at restaurant location and finds out that it is closed

Optional for user:

5. User can after dinner visit Reykjavik website again to give current restaurant star rating and review

13. Miscellaneous / open issues

1. New restaurant visited might be fully booked
2. Restaurants might be closed indefinitely