Urgent & Critical Care

Objective Making	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14 Performance						B (B) B		
# Objectives/Metrics				Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	FY 13/14	Target	Performance Threshold	Comparator	
Access & Efficiency • Capitalize on funding reformand QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CMCs. Flan for Year 3 QBPs												
1 % ALC Days ²³	16	17.5	16.5	17	15.3	15.1	16.8	16.3	≤8.3		9.7 Corp TCLHIN	
2 HPfracture - Avg. ALC LOS	10.22916667	10.09821429	6.565217391	12.7	20.7	9.3	6.5	10.6	≤6.6	NA	12.7 Dec	
3 ED: Admits (All CTAS Levels) LOS 90th %ile in hours1,2,3	21 .5	22.7	18.8	17.6	16.4	18.3	21.2	18.1	≤ 18	19.8	24.6	
4 ED: Non-Admits (CTAS 1,2,3) LOS 90th %ile in hours3	7.4	7.2	7.1	7.1	6.9	7.1	7	7	≤7.0	7.7	7.7	
5 ED: Non-Admits (CTAS 4,5) LOS 90th %ile in hours3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	≤4.0	4.4	4.6	
6 % OR holds	2.5	2.3	3.3	5.1	1.3	8.1	9	6.1	≤3.3	3.63	0	
7 ED: Consult time to admit orders - 90th %ile (GIM)	9.29	6.65	5.87	5.28	5.52	6.07	6.18	5.89	≤ 6.32	6.952	7	
8 Time to Disposition (from Triage) - 90th %ile in Hours (hh:mm)	0.6125	0.55	0.5125	0.491666667	0.525	0.529166667	0.520833333	0.5125	≤ 13:12	0.605	0.520833333	
9 ICU Avoidable Days (%)	10.1	11.84	8.3	5.7	5.2	6.1	4.84	5.48	≤4.23	4.653	4.83	
10 MH&A ED revisits to own facility within 30 days (%)	19.6	19.8	19.6	18.8	17.6	19	20	18.8	< 19.8	21.78	NA	
Ouality & Safety • Capitalize on funding reform and QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CMGs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs. 11 Time to IP Bed (from Disposition)- 90th %ile (hh:rm) 0.445833333 12:42 8:48 0,325 ○ 0.270833333 0.3166666667 0.425 0.325 ≤ 08:00 0.366666667 0.654166667												
12 Readmission to MSH within 30 days for selected CMCs for any cause	15	12.4	14	14.9	9.6	16	17.1	14.6	≤ 15.0	16.5	TBC	
13 Med. Rec. at admission for targeted pts.2,4	0	NA 1.0.1	NA 0.00	72.7	76.4	78.9	78.8	76.7	≥88.8	79.92	NA 0.5	
14 CLI per 1,000 central line days1,5	1.58	1.34	0.88	0.00	0.72	0.64	0.66	0.53	≤0.73	0.803	0.5	
15 Hand Hygiene Adherence (%)1,2	74	73	77	78	77	87	84	82	≥81	72.9	81	
16 Post-Admit Falls per 100 Inpatient Cases2	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.82	0.18	0.43	0.18	0.40	<0.20	0.22	0.15	
17 Patient Satisfaction: Overall care received2,5	95.4	94.5	93.7	95.8	93.5	95.0	95.0	94.8	≥94.8	85.32	94.5	
Experience • Capitalize on funding reformand QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CMCs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs.												
18 Patient Satisfaction: Would recommend ²³⁴	79.7	82.3	76.3	76.3	84.7	85.2	77.5	81	≥81.8	73.62	80.1	
19 Language interpreter usage (Total n)	755	950	988	292	241	265	243	1041	1037	933.3	-	
20 Post-Admit Nosocomial Pressure Ulcers per 100 Inpt. Cases2	1.24	1.52	0.77	0.45	0.27	0.09	0.37	0.29	<0.13	0.143	0.13	
21 Patient Satisfaction: Did everything to control pain 2,5	83.5	81.4	77.9	74.1	77.8	84.8	77.6	78.9	≥ 85.8	77.22	86.3	
22 Patient Satisfaction: Overall quality of 🗈 care 2,5	87.2	89.2	90.8	88.4	95.4	94.9	91.4	92.5	≥89.2	80.28	85.3/86.9	
23 Patient Satisfaction: When to resume normal activity 5	62.8	65.7	61.4	63.8	58.3	64	60.2	61.5	≥65.7	59.13	67.8	
Financial Health • Capitalize2 on funding reformand QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CVQs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs.												
24 ALOS/ELOS ratio - UCC ¹³⁴⁵	88.3	86.6	82	79.9	76.5	76.6	83.6	79.2	≤85	93.5	81.9	

^{2,} Quality Improvement Plan

^{3,} Hospital Service Accountability Agreement (HSAA)

^{4,} Required Organizational Practice (ROP) per Accreditation Canada

^{1,} Publically Reported Patient Safety indicators

^{5,} Magnet

# Objectives/Metrics	Definition/Calculation/Notes	Target Rationale	Comparator Source						
Access & Efficiency • Capitalize on funding reformand	d QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve Q	QBP targets for Year 2 CMGs. Pl	an for Year 3 QBPs						
1 % ALC Days 2 HIPfracture - Avg. ALC LOS	Average ALC length of stay ONLY for those patients designated as ALC for Hip fracture population (GTA rehab network definition, see indicator 12). Numerator: total ALC days. Denominator: total ALC cases.	: Less than or equal to FY12/13 performance	TCLHIN (Source: GTA Rehab Network 13/14 Report - Cumulative result)						
3 ED: Admits (All CTAS Levels) LOS 90th %ile in hours1,2,3	Wait in hours for 9 out of 10 patients. Value derived based on the ⊟RNI level 3 data.	" Aggressive internal improve by 10%.	,						
4 ED: Non-Admits (CTAS 1,2,3) LOS 90th %ile in hours3	viait in nours for 9 out or 10 patients. Value derived based on the Environments data.	"Aggressive internal improve by 10%.							
5 ED: Non-Admits (CTAS 4,5) LOS 90th %ile in hours3	wait in hours for 9 out or 10 patients. Value derived based on the Enviriever 5 data.	" Aggressive internal improve by 10%.							
6 % OR holds	Numerator: number of cases where the patient is ready to leave the OR but PACU is closed. Denominaor: number of cases completed and the patient went to PACU from the operating room (Data source: ORSOS)	≤ 12/13	NA NA						
7 ED: Consult time to admit orders - 90th %ile (GIM)	Wait in nours for 9 out or 10 patients. FY 10/11 is Feb 10 - Jan 11. Collection of Consult Request commenced internally June 2nd, 2009.	"QIP Improve by 5%							
Time to Disposition (from 8 Triage) - 90th %ile in Hours (hh:mm)		"EAU Agreement:							
9 ICU Avoidable Days (%)	Based on snapshot data from CCIS which includes both active and discharged patients and is therefore subject to change until all cases for that period are discharged.	Maintain ICUs 12/13 target: Below Teaching Hospital (L7) Average 11/12	Teaching (L7) all SOU (can't isolate to IOU only for BM). MSHfor all SOUs 09/10 = 3.6, 10/11 = 5.5, 11/12 = 6.5, 12/13=4.72, 13/14 YTD up to & incl Dec = 4.3						
10 M-18 amp; A ED revisits to own facility within 30 days (%)	ED Mental Health & Addiction (MH&A) cases with a repeat visit within 30 days back to MSH for MH&A condition only. Methodology used for MH&A Submission includes readmissions back for any condition. Based on NACRS Data.	<11/12	NA NA						
Quality & Safety • Capitalize on funding reformand QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CMGs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs.									
Time to IP Bed (from 11 Disposition)- 90th %ile (hh:nm)		EAU Agreement	Corp TOLHIN						
Readmission to MSH within 30 12 days for selected CMGs for any cause	WINCO WAS 19.5%	13/14 QIP	"TOLHIN						
13 Med. Rec. at admission for I targeted pts.2,4	Rate per patient admissions to 16N,CCU,17N (10S_UNV),17S (10N_UNV),17SD (10HAU_UNV),12S,ICU,9S and EAU. Includes Med Rec done by pharmacists and MDs (without double counting).	Cardiology, IBD)	NA						
days1,5	Total number of QLI cases in IQU/SQU patients after 48 hrs of central line placement in the IQU, per 1,000 central line days for IQU patients 18+ years. n= 10/11: 8, 11/12: 7, 12/13: 5, Q1 13/14: 0, Q2 13/14: 1 Q3 13/14: 1	"QIP.							
(%)1,2	contact). Exludes Psychiatry and Ambulatory Care.								
Post-Admit Falls per 100 Inpatient Cases2	Lenominator: patients discharged from 125, 16N, CCC, 17N, 17SD, CCC, EXCITORES patients discharged from EAC.								
Patient Satisfaction: Overall care received2,5	% positive score from the NRC Ricker Patient Satisfaction survey methodology.	QIP: > GTA Peers	TCLHIN 12 month period previous						
Experience • Capitalize on funding reform and QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. • Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 CMCs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs.									
18 Patient Satisfaction: Would recommend	% positive score from the INAC Hicker Patient Satisfaction survey frethodology.	QIP: > GTA Peers	TCLHIN 12 month period previous						
19 (Total n)	2013, ER, COU, medical physician services.	TBD	TBD						
Post-Admit Nosocomial 20 Pressure Ucers per 100 Inpt. Cases2	. "Denominator: patients discharged from 12S, 16N, COU, 17S, 17N, 17SD, ICU, ER (rm 3000). Excludes patients discharged from EAU.								
21 Patient Satisfaction: Did everything to control pain 2,5	taken to get pain medicine). Patient Satisfaction survey methodology.	QIP > Ont. Teaching Avg. (85.8) 12 months previous	Ont. Teaching 12 month period previous						
22 Patient Satisfaction: Overall quality of ED care 2,5	% positive score from the NRC Hoker Patient Satisfaction survey methodology.	≥11/12	TC LHIN / Ontario Teaching						
23 Patient Satisfaction: When to resume normal activity 5	% positive score from the NRC Picker Patient Satisfaction survey methodology.	"≥11/12							
Financial Health Capitalize2 on funding reform and QBPs to continue to drive re-engineering of care & enhanced efficiency and quality through comprehensive care plans and consistency in approach. Achieve QBP targets for Year 2 QMCs. Plan for Year 3 QBPs.									
24 ALOS/ELOS ratio - UCC	Acute typical LOS compared to the expected LOS as a percentage. Acute LOS exludes ALC Days. Same methodology as LOS Dashboard (using Discharge Unit). All data before FY14/15 are regrouped to 2013 grouper. FY14/15 CMG year =2014.	0.85	TCLHIN (Main Prov. Serv.) MSH value using Main Prov. Serv. FY13/14 Sep = 79.5						