

Location-aware Real Time Strategy Games
Master Thesis
Winter Semester 2012 - Summer Semester 2013

Vadim Costache

May 24, 2013

Contents

1 Motivation	4
2 Related work	4
2.1 Introduction: Real Time Strategy games	5
2.1.1 What is Real Time Strategy?	5
2.1.2 Real Time Strateg versus Turn Based Strategy	5
2.2 Location-Based Augmented Reality Games	5
2.2.1 Location-based games	6
2.2.2 Types of GPS-based games	6
2.2.3 Physical games	8
2.3 Intermediary game concepts	8
2.4 Final game concepts	9
2.5 Requirements	10
2.5.1 Client	10
2.5.2 Server	11
2.5.3 Communication Protocols	11
2.5.4 Game Elements	12
2.6 Implementation	12
2.6.1 Schedule	12
2.7 Documentation on the Games	13
2.8 The project : obstacles and changes	13
3 The game concept	13
3.1 Purpose	13
3.2 Gameplay	15
4 Architecture	15
4.1 Server	15
4.2 Client	15
4.3 Communication	15
4.4 UI	18

5 Organization	18
6 Implementation: Back End	18
7 Implementation: Front End	18
8 Evaluation	18
9 Developing the Idea	18
10 Preparations for development	18
10.1 Initial plan	18
10.2 Unified vs. Native Frameworks	18
10.3 Communication Protocols	19
10.4 Google Maps API V1	19
10.4.1 Map Overlays	20
10.5 Google Maps API V2	20
10.5.1 Maps API V1 vs. V2	21
10.6 Android development	21
10.7 Organization of the project	21
10.7.1 Kanban	22
10.7.2 Unit Testing	23
11 The exploration phase	23
11.1 Creating the game concept	23
11.2 The game concept	25
12 The first development phase	27
12.1 The structure of the server	28
12.2 The structure of the 'communication' module	28
12.3 The structure of the 'messages' module	28
12.3.1 The structure of the 'game' module	29
12.4 The Server	29
12.5 The Client	30
13 The first testing phase	31
14 The second development phase	32
14.1 The Server	32
14.2 The Client	32
14.3 Logging, Testing and Data Usage	36
15 The second testing phase	36
16 UI Design	37
17 Measurements	50
18 Future work	50
19 Conclusion	50
20 ANNEX A - Game and game platform websites	51

21 ANNEX B - Game genre definitions	53
22 ANNEX C - Websockets vs. TCP speed	54
23 ANNEX D - Node.JS vs. Apache - performance and scaling	56
24 ANNEX E - JSON vs. XML	56
25 ANNEX F - Jackson vs. Gson vs. smart-json	56
26 ANNEX G - Reviews of the games mentioned in the paper	59
27 ANNEX H - Overlay tutorial	59
28 ANNEX J - Countdown buttons Tutorial	60
29 Bibliography	62

1 Motivation

Ever since the first operating system for handheld devices, the spreading of smartphones has intensified every year. In 2012, the number of smartphones in the world has reached one billion, according to Bloomberg(1)

The rapid expansion of mobile computing presents new challenges and opportunities for both the user and the developer. The ease of use and the presence of touchscreens, GPS receivers, gyroscopes, accelerometers and, since recently, even barometers gives way to new approaches in developing games.

This paper describes the research for and development of *People with Guns; a Real Time Tactics / Shooter hybrid* game. It has all started from the idea of adding multiplayer functionality to a tour application and ended up as a team versus team last man standing virtual battle in which the players of the two teams run in real life, using their mobile phones for augmenting the reality into a battle with virtual 'weapons' and 'powerups'.

We will first describe the steps taken until the idea was properly outlined - the whole process of searching for inspiration and the construction of the idea. Then, we will proceed to present the process of developing the application, intertwined with a dynamic progression of the game concept and mechanics.

The reason why this game can be considered important is that it fuses existing video game genres with the mobile experience, into an original game with dynamic and enjoyable gameplay - a process which is roughly based on (but strongly inspired by) previous attempts by others. They will be described in the process.

The first chapter will describe the initial proposal for the game, the steps taken to construct the idea and some side ideas that are worthwhile adding to the game over time, but which were temporarily set aside for time and effort reasons.

The second chapter will describe the progression of the concept and the development of *People with Guns; the Real Time Tactics / Shooter hybrid*.

The third chapter will cover design and gameplay aspects, measurements and future work.

2 Related work

In this chapter, we will follow the games and concepts that have lead to the assembly of the idea for the game developed on this project. We will define a number of computer game genres, classify some of the most popular location-aware games, game platforms and non-digital games that aided the concept and present the evolution of the idea for this game prototype. The game concept brought up here is part of a genre that has a long legacy among computer games, but is not yet known to the location-aware mobile context. The genre is that of 'Real Time Strategy'(RTS)(2) games. Some other elements come from the genre of 'Shooter' games(3). We will do that with a subgenre of RTS, called Real Time Tactics(RTT)(4). For reasons that will be presented throughout this paper, the type of game can be considered an RTT / Shooter hybrid.

2.1 Introduction: Real Time Strategy games

We will first make the introduction of Real Time Strategy and its subgenre, Turn Based Strategy

2.1.1 What is Real Time Strategy?

RTS games are defined as real-time (continuous time) competitive games, in which several players fight against each other, either in a skirmish or team versus team. The purpose is to defeat the opponents by taking real-time decisions on managing resources and troops. The focus is, therefore, on three key elements: **managing resource gathering, building a base to provide troops and battle tactics.**(2)

This project will focus on a subgenre of RTS, Real Time Tactics(RTT) - which, instead of managing all three aspects of RTS, focuses on battle tactics.

Because it is essentially a simplified approach to RTS(4), RTT can provide a good proof of concept and at the same time simple and enjoyable playability. The advantage of having a RTT GPS-enabled game versus RTS is that it greatly reduces the play time, requires less skills and has the potential of being less stressful than RTS.

2.1.2 Real Time Strateg versus Turn Based Strategy

Turn Based Strategy games(5)(such as chess and board games, for example) allow the player to take his time and plan every move. Implicitly, the duration of the game is greater. This type of game is not in the scope of this project, yet it deserves mentioning, as there are many such games for mobile devices. Some notable implementations are the ones of Scotland Yard(Ravensburger GMBH), Catan(Catan GMBH) and Monopoly(Hasbro, Inc.).

In particular, there are two implementations for Scotland Yard that have been conceptually interesting in the search. A comparison has been made(6) on whether it is better to port a board game in a real-time(continuous-time) or turn-based mobile GPS-based game. These two implementations are Mobilis XHunt(turn based) and MisterX Mobile(real-time). The comparison has been made on 10 aspects: Fun, Smooth Progression, Dynamic Gameplay, Ease of play, Stressless Gameplay, Communication, Strategy, Clear Rules, Low Risk, Education. The conclusion was that there was no favorite between the two, but it has been concluded that these 10 aspects have different weights for the player and that Fun, Smooth Progression and Dynamic Gameplay have a higher individual weight to players than the rest.(6, p.5)

Based on the knowledge gathered from the above-mentioned comparison, the aim of this project will be to maximize the interactivity and dynamics of the RTS game.

2.2 Location-Based Augmented Reality Games

Ever since the first operating system for handheld devices, the spreading of smartphones has intensified every year.

The rapid expansion of mobile computing presents new challenges and opportunities for both the user and the developer. The ease of use and the presence of touchscreens, GPS receivers, gyroscopes, accelerometers and, since recently, even barometers gives way to new approaches in developing games.

Smartphones equipped with GPS receivers are becoming ubiquitous. This makes way to the propagation of GPS gaming. Although the concept is old, very few attempts have been made in this direction and this branch of game development may be considered to still be in one of its early stages of maturity.

This chapter is a proposal for extending the genre of mobile real-time multiplayer location-based games.

2.2.1 Location-based games

Location-based games take advantage of the mobile devices' built-in receivers for global positioning. They provide the user's location with an accuracy ranging from a few to several dozen meters. Because the most mobile devices in the world today rely on the Global Positioning System (only recently support for GLONASS has been added to smartphones), we can use the term GPS-based games.

GPS-based games came up long before this feature has become ubiquitous in mobile phones and tablets. One of the first widespread GPS-based games is Geocaching. It is composed of two parts:

- a. Placing physical caches at various locations that can be considered interesting or worth visiting and publishing their GPS positions (eg. on websites).
- b. Searching for various caches by using a GPS device.

Along with the evolution of smartphones came that of the mobile games. GPS games come in a lot of flavors, from GPS-based tours, adventure and investigation games to various race games - single and multi player and massively multiplayer online games.

2.2.2 Types of GPS-based games

There is a number of GPS-based games and game authoring tools that are available for iOS and Android devices. The ones studied for this paper are : ARIS, Tourality, Wherigo, conTAGion, Shadow Cities, SCVNGR, Please Stay Calm, Parallel Mafia, Parallel Kingdom, Tripventure, Warfinger, Totem, Portal Hunt, aMazing, Ingress, MobileWar, Mister X Mobile, Mobilis XHunt, Own This World, MapAttack.

For better understanding the classification done below, we will first define each type of game:

- a. **Adventure/Investigation Game** - Game in which the player plays the role of a character in a story. The primordial characteristics of this genre is that it is focused on immersion in the story, puzzle solving and investigation, rather than on physical skills. Also, the tendency of this genre is towards single player experience, though occasionally multiplayer is also implemented (eg. the ARIS-based game 'Mentira').
- b. **Massively Multiplayer Online Game** - This type of game is designed to support large numbers of players (even in the number of millions in some cases) that play and interact in a persistent virtual world. This type of game allows both cooperative and competitive gameplay and is exclusively based on multiplayer. Subgenres include MMO Role Playing Games and MMO Shooters.
- c. **Casual Game** - Analogous to the MMO, the Casual Game is targeted at mass at a mass audience and can incorporate any type of game type. The particularity of this genre is that it aims at having simple rules, simple gameplay and requiring no specialized skills.

- d. **Racing Game** - It's a genre defining a broad range of games. In the case of computer games, it describes mostly motorized vehicle racing. In the mobile context, it mostly describes racing on foot against time or through a number of checkpoints.
- e. **Shooter Game** - This one's a subgenre of action games. It focuses on first or third person experience, speed, aiming and reaction time. Usually the weapon is ranged, although close-combat weapons are included in most games.

We will now classify the games/platforms based on the genres they best fall in:

a. **Adventure/Investigation Games**

- (a) ARIS
- (b) Wherigo
- (c) Tripventure
- (d) Tidy City

b. **Massively Multiplayer Online Games**

- (a) Shadow Cities
- (b) Please Stay Calm
- (c) conTAGion
- (d) Parallel Mafia
- (e) Parallel Kingdom
- (f) Portal Hunt
- (g) Ingress

c. **Casual Games**

- (a) SCVNGR
- (b) Warfinger
- (c) aMazing
- (d) Own This World
- (e) MapAttack

d. **Racing Games**

- (a) Tourality

e. **Shooter Games**

- (a) MobileWar

A special category is represented by Mister X Mobile and Mobilis XHunt, which both bring a board game (Scotland Yard) to the mobile environment. While the former adapts the board game to real-time gameplay (placing it closer to the 'Multiplayer Racing Games', with elements from 'Real Time Strategy Games'), the latter falls in the definition of 'Turn Based Strategy' games.

2.2.3 Physical games

A number of games have played a significant role in the construction of the ideas that led to the eventual assembly of the one that was decided for implementation. These games originate in the years before the digital age or the widespread of computers and GPS-enabled mobile devices. Some of these games have already been implemented in mobile games: tag and racing games and geocaching. We will mention all of them and briefly describe them and where they came in conceptually:

- a. **Orienteering** is a sport in which a map and a compass are used to navigate through checkpoints, across unfamiliar terrain. Checkpoints colored in white and orange are placed at various features along the way. This sport has started as a training discipline for the military and later on expanded as a civilian sport.
- b. **Radio Orienteering** is worth mentioning separately, as it was in itself an important source of inspiration for the games proposed along the way. It features a radio for direction finding, besides the map and compass.
- c. **Rogaine** is a cross-country navigation sport. As a difference from orienteering, where a path must be followed, in rogaining a larger number of checkpoints is given and there is no time limit. A team of two to five people has to navigate through the map and visit as many of these checkpoints as possible, in a given time interval(most often, 24 hours). This type of game has two branches: metrogaine(rogain through a city) and cyclogaine(rogain on bicycles).
- d. '**Tag**' and '**Hot and Cold**' are classical children's games. Tag is a game in which one person is considered 'tagged'. That person passes the tag on by touching somebody else. The game is open ended. 'Hot and Cold' is a game in which one player has to find an item hidden by the others. During one's search for the item, the others help him by saying 'hot' when he is close and 'cold' when he is getting away from the item. In a variation of the game the players can use degrees of hot and cold to further help the searcher find the item quicker.

All these games will be found in the intermediary and final ideas for games or game features that have come through the phase that we can call the 'Exploration Phase'.

2.3 Intermediary game concepts

The whole project started with the intent of adding multiplayer functionality and features to a tour application. A tour application is essentially for use by a single person. The first feature that comes to mind when multiplayer is considered is having the positions of all the other persons using the application - so that one cannot go astray from the group without finding his way back to the group. Then, a search was done for features that could add dynamics to the multiuser approach. So came the idea of adding group quizzes and small games. This meant that the team had to split and find various clues on sidetracks of the main tour and solve short puzzles related to the landmarks along the way. Then, this turned into more complicated concepts - such as adding navigation: One of the members of the group would navigate to a goal in the same manner of the 'Hot and Cold' game - either with the help of members of the group or that of the mobile device.

At some point, though, it has been determined that even if these small added features to the game would be entertaining, they are not fit as research subjects - they are already there to be implemented. Focus has moved to rather full-on games that would implement something not thought of thus far. The idea of augmenting the reality of sports such as orienteering and rogaining was worked on and the result is one of the games, the 'Territory Takeover'. Radio orienteering and racing have been combined in the 'Mine Game'. Features from sports and video games have been

added to what was proposed as 'The War Game'. They will all be described below, along with some rough technical requirements that have been considered.

2.4 Final game concepts

This paper focuses on the research and development of GPS-enabled Real Time Tactics games. They are to be augmented reality games for single player or multiplayer competitive 'free for all'/'skirmish' and 'team versus team' games. This category of games offers opportunities to also enhance the experience for all the previously existing types of GPS-enabled mobile games.

The games proposed for this project have been :

- a. **Territory Takeover**
 - b. **The War Game**
 - c. **The Mine Game**
1. The **Territory Takeover** game is a multiplayer, team versus team competitive game. The players or game author define an area of play, which will be divided into multiple divisions. Each division will be marked by a 'flag' (a GPS marker). To capture the area division, a team must capture its flag. The game ends when all flags have been captured and the winner is the team with most captured flags. Each flag may be given a time that a player must spend next to it in order to capture it. Once a flag (and implicitly the territory) is captured, it remains so until the end of the game. The winner can be decided on flag counting or, alternatively, each flag may receive a number of points, according to the size of the territory marked by it and the difficulty of the terrain.

This game can be enhanced with the use of virtual tools or weapons. For the purpose of this project, the following tools/weapons have been considered :

- a. The **Immobilizer** is an ability that can be used by each player to block an opponent from moving. The 'attacker' 'activates' the ability and a circle around him is drawn to show the range in which he can shoot. If an opponent enters the range area, the 'attacker' will select him on the map and shoot. The 'victim' will receive a notification that he is immobilized. A circle or rectangle will be defined around him and he will not be allowed to move outside of it for a given time, say 30 seconds or 1 minute. If he does, he gets disqualified and kicked out of the game. An alternate solution would be that the team loses points, for the case that this is the scoring methodology implemented.
- b. **Demobilizer** is an ability that an immobilized player can use. For this project, it will only work on the person that uses the ability. The effect is that a person that is immobilized gets the waiting time halved.

Both the abilities have a common cooldown timer. That means that if a player immobilizes somebody and is immediately immobilized himself, he won't be able to use the demobilizer because of the cooldown following the usage of the immobilizer.

For time and effort reasons, this game will not be implemented now, but kept as future work: it can be added as an extra game type within the app in development.

2. The **War Game** is inspired by Real Time Strategy and Shooter games. Two teams are formed. The area of play may be limited or unlimited. Each player can choose between a number of characters. The first proposal has been for four character types: Defender, Marine, Sniper and Heavy Trooper. Each of the four characters has special abilities and characteristics :

- a. The **Defender** has the ability to generate shields for short periods of time. Members of the team can hide behind those shields for defence. The Defender may also act as a Medic and heal or revive members of the team. He has low health, long ability cooldowns and a sidearm with short range, small damage and fast cooldowns .
 - b. The **Marine** has a weapon that can shoot a medium range with medium damage and fast cooldowns. He has medium health.
 - c. The **Sniper** has two weapons : the sniper rifle that can shoot at distant ranges and deal large damage to single targets and the sidearm, which is the same as the Defender's. His health is low, just like the Defender's. The sniper shot may penetrate the Defender's shield and cause reduced damage to one target.
 - d. The **Heavy Trooper** has three weapons : the bazooka, the sidearm and mines. The bazooka is a mid-range weapon with splash damage - it therefore can be fired against compact groups, such as the ones that might be hiding behind a shield. The bazooka cannot deal damage through the shield, but it may be shot next to it, causing damage from the side. The damage to each target varies from moderate to small, depending how far they are from the center of the 'projectile explosion'. The mines can be placed randomly on the map and their 'explosions' will not affect the members of the Heavy Trooper's team. Also they cannot be triggered by the members of his team. The damage dealt will be moderate, with splash damage, just like the bazooka projectile. The bazooka and the mines have long cooldowns, therefore the sidearm is added. The Heavy Trooper has high health.
- 3. The Mine Game** is inspired by the classical game Minesweeper, Warfinger GPS and running games such as the ones in Tourality. It is essentially a single player game that can be played by many for score ranking. It may be adapted in various ways directly into the 'War Game'. The purpose of the game is that the player uses his phone as a mine detector and defuser and navigates through a virtual mine field, racing against time to get from a start point to an end point. A variant of this game could be of a team helping a designated player navigate through the mine field without touching any mines.

Some intuitive advantages and drawbacks have been delineated for the game in proposal:

Advantages: It does not require specialized gear and setting, nor does it need long amounts of time to be played. It can be enjoyed with a bunch of friends on a sunny weekend afternoon.

Drawbacks: It highly depends on GPS accuracy. This issue may affect gameplay. It also does not feel as 'real' as real-life games, nor PC games.

2.5 Requirements

The two above-mentioned games would use a common framework that will enable multiplayer interaction for both 'free for all'/'skirmish' and 'team versus team' approaches. They will require a server to centralize player information such as GPS data and the virtual 'health' attribute. Because the games proposed are fast-paced, they require quick response times from the server, client and and the communication protocol between them.

2.5.1 Client

The following technologies have been considered for developing the frontend for the application : Android, XCode(for Apple iOS) and multiplatform APIs such as PhoneGap/Cordova and Titanium. The multiplatform APIs offer the benefit of the 'code once, deploy everywhere' philosophy,

at the cost of performance (7)(8)(9). In this case, the approach of using a platform-agnostic framework is disadvantageous. Therefore, the choice of native app development makes more sense for this situation.

The client will be developed with Android, due to easier developer accessibility to its SDK and programming environment and the fact that it's ubiquitous and open source.

2.5.2 Server

The application that is to be implemented will actively rely on communication with a centralized server. This implies a constant Internet connection on each mobile device. Also, they require fast, low latency message exchanges. The server should be able to handle this for players in the numbers of a few dozens.

The server was initially planned to be written using NodeJS(10). NodeJS is a javascript framework based on the Google V8 Engine that is designed for web server functionality. Yet, due to the fact that it is a framework and not a standalone server, one can take advantage of the 'Event Loop' functionality to write various other types of servers. This particular feat is beneficial to the purpose of this project. It is also faster than Apache for general purpose, fast message exchange scenarios(11).

2.5.3 Communication Protocols

During a game, active communication must be performed between the mobile devices and the server in order to multicast all player positions and intentions to all players. There are two aspects to this approach:

- a. The game is created on the server, in a so-called 'Lobby' which all the players join.
- b. The game is created on the server and once the game starts, all players send their positions to the server. The server is then responsible to broadcasting the positions to all the players.

This is, of course, a subset of the tasks that must be performed by the server. There will be other information that must be exchanged periodically, such as the player's health and quantities of various other attributes that will be added during development and/or proposed during trying out the game. In the general idea of communication protocol usage, the list of choices has been narrowed down to three:

- a. WebRTC - A protocol that is to be part of the HTML 5 standard. It will be based on the RTP(Reliable Transport Protocol), which is the base for VoIP protocols and is itself based on UDP. It promises to be a very fast standard protocol, appropriate for audio and video streaming and massive multiplayer games.(12) It is still in draft format and there are no official implementations for it. Implementing the protocol itself is outside the scope of this project.
- b. WebSockets - A protocol that is part of the HTML 5 standard. It is a low latency TCP-based protocol that promises to replace http in several types of web applications.(13)
- c. TCP - One of the most intensely used two internet transport protocols in digital communication today. It is designed for transmission reliability.(14)
- d. UDP - The other one of the most intensely used two internet transport-level protocols in digital communication today.(15)

- e. RTP - The protocol on which VoIP and WebRTC are based. It is based on UDP and it is designed for real-time streaming of data.(16)

From these three protocols, a choice will be made between WebSockets and TCP and. WebRTC is to be left as an option until its official release and implementation for both NodeJS and Android.

2.5.4 Game Elements

Both games share a number of common features:

- a. a number of players that are visible on the map
- b. a number of teams, represented by different colors(in the case of free-for-all(skirmish) play, the number of teams equals the number of players)
- c. a number of items that each player can use
- d. item properties, such as range and cooldown times
- e. player health

The 'War Game' also introduces a number of characters to the game. Each character type has a different health value and different tools, with specific properties.

The server must provide a 'game lobby', to which the players connect and prepare for starting the game. This includes character choice for the 'War Game' and a 'Ready' button. When all players declare themselves to be 'ready', a countdown starts and then the game begins.

2.6 Implementation

Implementation will mean developing a server and a client application from scratch, covering all the functionality needed for the main game - the so-called 'War Game' to work according to the description in section 'Real Time Strategy Games'.

2.6.1 Schedule

This project, consisting of one server and one client application, was planned to be developed in four steps:

- a. **Development** - During the first iteration of development, the most basic features of the game are to be implemented: basic server functionality that would allow the game to work, basic client functionality and the 'War Game' without all features.(2 months)
- b. **Testing and Evaluation** - During this phase, the game and its functionality will be live-tested for feasibility and quality. New ideas will be sought and documented. Most importantly, player feedback will be gathered.(1 month)
- c. **Development** - During the second iteration of development, the 'War Game' will be completed and, using its framework, the 'Territory Takeover' game will be implemented. Bugs will be removed and tweaks will be made to the framework and the game concepts to match the player feedback.(2 months)
- d. **Evaluation and Completion** - During the second evaluation phase, both games will be tested for playability, player feedback will be gathered and the Dissertation Paper will be completed.(1 month)

2.7 Documentation on the Games

Extensive documentation has been found from research done on education-oriented GPS-games.(17)(18)(19)(20)(21)(22) describing concepts and approaches in developing platforms and games to this end(19), porting them to different locations(20), comparing them and discussing their functionality(22)(17)(18) or discussing their effect and usefulness(17). Unfortunately for the direction of this particular project, this documentation focuses exclusively on GPS-enabled Adventure Games.

The lack of documentation for the other games, except their websites has left trying them out one by one as the only option to understand their components and functionality. Additional information was retrieved from video descriptions, examples and reviews of the games.

During the preparation of this proposal paper no games or documentation have been found on GPS-enabled Real Time Strategy games. From the searches performed, no location-aware mobile games have been found to fall in the genre of RTS. However, one has been found in the genre of 'Shooter Games' - MobileWar.

The purpose of this project is to create a working proof-of-concept app that showcases the idea of the 'War Game' and gives way to adding the 'Mine Game' and 'Territory Takeover' as future work. The names of the games themselves are chosen only by their descriptive nature and are prone to change during the steps of development and evaluation.

2.8 The project : obstacles and changes

The games are proposed with group teambuilding and recreation in mind. They require team strategy and cooperation. Territory Takeover allows for both team versus team and free for all gameplay, allowing for both small and large groups to play. The War Game is to be a fast paced game spanning a time interval in the range of a few tens of minutes. Although it is a RTS Game and not a Shooter Game, the 'War Game' can be seen as a more casual approach to Airsoft. Where it lacks the realism of Airsoft or the immersion of classical computer Real Time Strategy games, it gains in the intensity of real-life experience and teamwork, without requiring specialized equipment(Airsoft) or highly developed skills(computer Real Time Strategy games).

3 The game concept

The game that has been chosen for this project is the one defined as 'The War Game'. It promises to be the game to offer the most panoptic multiplayer experience, both as a serious and casual game.

3.1 Purpose

The purpose of this project is to create a Real Time Tactics game with Shooter elements that is fast paced and can be appealing to all ages and sexes. It is to provide casual gameplay that can also be taken to more serious levels, according to the skill of the players themselves. The main goal is to develop the game and provide appealing functionality and dynamics. The second goal is to test whether a broad spectrum of population may enjoy this game and if not, if it can be modified and/or adapted to fit the needs of the ones left out.

The 'War Game', which has later been named 'People With Guns', is a GPS-based game in which two teams fight a last-man-standing battle. Each person gets to choose between a number of char-

acter types in the game. How fast one moves in the game equals how fast one runs in real life.

The player can choose between four types of characters : Medic, Sniper, Scout, Marine - each with their own specific weapons and health, fitting different roles within the game.

The rules of the game are simple: two times fight a virtual battle. One team's purpose is to defeat the opposite team with the means given: each player's legs for running and the virtual weapons and powerups for fighting. The interface with the so-called 'weapons' and 'powerups' is layed out in the form of buttons that are shown on the bottom of the screen. Each weapon or powerup has the following attributes: range, cooldown, duration, damage.

By default weapons have instant effect(therefore no duration) and powerups have no damage(but they have a duration) - the only exception is the 'Heal' ability. Both weapons and cooldowns have a range - an area of effect for their use. If a target falls within that area, the weapon or powerup of choice can be used. After the activation of a weapon or powerup, it will be availavbe for use after a time given by its 'cooldown' attribute. In the case of powerups('Invisibility' and 'Shield'), their time span during which they are in effect after activation will be given by the 'duration' attribute.

The players are to perform complex communication between each other verbally, thus maintaining social contact as long as they are in each other's proximity. For the case of players that are too far away from the rest of their team, a number of preset messages are provided for quickly exchanging information between them(such as asking for help, cover or for healing) without distracting them from the gameplay.

The purpose of this game is to add to the experience of a group of people, without taking too much focus upon itself. Social bonding and team building are the goal to be achieved through strategy, tactics and a fun, light game to bring it all together.

Being something new, this game will require a few usage guidelines :

- a. First of all, in order to get in the game, the player must connect to the server. Because this version of the game was created for testing purposes only, the server can only host one game. Once there is somebody playing, nobody else can join the game. A few seconds after everyone has left the game (gone back to the Main Menu), the server will reset itself and accept clients once more.
- b. Second, once the player has connected to the server, he will be presented with the Game Lobby. This is where he joins a team, sets his nickname and chooses his character type.
- c. Third, after the player has finished setting up, he can mark himself as 'Ready' to play the game. If all the players are Ready, the server will send a five second countdown and send the signal to enter the game - at which point, all connected clients will switch to the game screen and the players can start playing.
- d. Once in the game, the player's weapons will be enabled only when the teams satisfy the starting condition - for now, that means that the average positions of all the members of the two teams must be at least 150m apart and the members of each team must be at most 20m away from the center position of their team.

The controls are separated in six areas :

1. The bottom area : the Weapons : For each weapon there is a separate button. Each button serves three purposes : If you click it, it shoots. If you click it for a longer time, it draws the range

of the weapon on the map. Once you have used a weapon, the button is temporarily disabled and shows the weapon cooldown countdown.

2. The down-right corner : The target selection buttons : You can select your targets (both friends and enemies) by clicking on their markers on the map. As an alternative, three buttons are there to help you : the Friends/Enemies toggle button, with which you choose whether to select between the next/previous friends or enemies. Above and below the toggle button are the 'Next Target' and 'Prev Target'(Previous Target) buttons. By pressing the 'Next' button, you select the next closest player (If there is one selected, the next closest one will be chosen. If nobody is selected, the closest one will be chosen.). The 'Prev Target' button gets you the opposite : the previous farthest friend or foe is selected, according to the same logic as with 'Next Target'. Above the three buttons, you can find a text view which shows the distance to the selected target. !!! If you want to select yourself (for applying powerups), then you must have no friend or foe selected. For this, you have to tap on a random, unoccupied area on the map !!!
3. The top-left corner : The health and messages buttons : Your health is shown in large font. Below it, you can find the message selection dropdown menu. This has been arranged so that you don't waste a lot of time typing, but instead send critical preset messages to your team.
4. The top-right corner : The current position button : If you click it, the map moves to have your position in the center.
5. The top-center area : The powerup duration views : If you enable a powerup or somebody uses a powerup on you (for now, this applies only to 'Shield'), you will see it's remaining duration of the effect as a countdown on the top of the screen.
6. The area above the weapon buttons : The information area : Whenever you shoot, are shot, send or receive a message a 'Toast' will appear with info.

The 'War Game' is

3.2 Gameplay

4 Architecture

4.1 Server

4.2 Client

4.3 Communication

All messages have the following JSON structure: {messageType: messageNumber, data: {}}. We are now interested in the data structure. The messageType is a number that both client and server recognize(as the Messages class is common).

The Server is active in the message exchange only for the basic administration purposes: Once a player connects, he receives a configuration json containing the available 'weapons', 'professions' (with all their attributes) and the list of already-connected players. It also broadcasts a message telling the existing players that a new one has connected. When a player disconnects or is disconnected from the server, a message telling the others that he is disconnected is sent automatically by the server. Otherwise, the server acts as a relay.

The Messages class contains a number of inner classes, for proper classification. We will present the structure, the message types and their specific JSON structures within:

a. InGame.ToServer

- (a) CHANGE_POSITION : {latitude: newLatitude, longitude: newLongitude}

(b) SHOOT : {target: targetUUID, weapon: weaponName, (optional)timestamp: timeStamp}

(c) MESSAGE_TEAM : {message : messageString}

b. **InGame.FromServer**

(a) CHANGE_POSITION : {player: playerUUID, latitude: newLatitude, longitude: newLongitude}

(b) SHOOT : {player: playerUUID, target: targetUUID, weapon: weaponName, damage: damageAmount, (optional)timestamp: timeStamp}

(c) MESSAGE_TEAM : {player: playerUUID, message: newMessage}

(d) GAME_START : {}

c. **Lobby.ToServer**

(a) MESSAGE_ALL : {message: messageString}

(b) CHANGE_NAME : {name: newName}

(c) CHANGE_TEAM : {}

(d) CHANGE_PROFESSION : {profession: newProfession}

(e) CHOOSE_WEAPONS : this will be made available in further versions where there will be more weapons from which to choose

(f) READY : {ready : true/false}

d. **Lobby.FromServer**

(a) ENTER_GAME : {}

(b) MESSAGE_ALL : {player: playerUUID, message: messageString}

(c) CHANGE_NAME : {player: playerUUID, nickname: newName}

(d) CHANGE_TEAM : {player: playerUUID, team: newTeam}

(e) CHANGE_PROFESSION : {player: playerUUID, profession: newProfession}

(f) READY : {player: playerUUID}

(g) PLAYER_JOINED : {player: playerUUID, name: playerName}

(h) PLAYER_LEFT : {player: playerUUID}

(i) CONFIGURATION : A huge JSON thing that the Server composes based on a Config file and sends it to the client.

(j) COUNTDOWN : {secondsLeft: numberSecondsLeft}

The communication and action flow on the server, newly joined client and existing clients is presented in Figure1




Figure 1: A new client joining the game

4.4 UI

5 Organization

6 Implementation: Back End

7 Implementation: Front End

8 Evaluation

9 Developing the Idea

10 Preparations for development

This section will follow the development of the application. The backend, frontend and mechanics of the game will be analyzed and presented in their evolution.

The game is composed of three parts :

- a. **Mobile App Frontend** - A set of menus for setting up the game and a GUI on top of Google Maps for the game itself.
- b. **Mobile App Backend** - The core of the app. This includes the modules for communicating with the server, for in-game protocols and mechanics.
- c. **Server** - All players will communicate with each other through a central server that can only host one game at a time.

10.1 Initial plan

The original plan was to develop an Android and iOS app, using a development framework such as PhoneGap. This would assume Javascript / JQuery programming for the UI and application backend. The communication was planned to be established through Websockets. Therefore, for optimum performance, NodeJS was to be used on the server side. For the location presentation, the Google Maps API was to be used.

10.2 Unified vs. Native Frameworks

Once an idea of how the game should look like was crystalized, a search was made for unified frameworks for cross-platform mobile development. The first choice was PhoneGap, which has been previously used by the author. The other frameworks that I considered were Titanium SDK, Sencha and Corona. The discussion on which one is better can be resumed to the conclusion that none of them is appropriate for the development of such a game. And here are the reasons :

- a. The game is fast-paced and will require a fast backend as well as a frontend that is as fast as possible. The cross-platform frameworks essentially interface only some of the native functionality and display the app through a webview - requiring Javascript or a Javascript-based framework for creating the interfaces. These frameworks have been tested by the author during an agile software development lab at the University of Bonn. The purpose defined at the lab was to find the fastest solution for static menus and slow-paced UIs. Even then, the outcome has been disappointing. Qooxdoo, ExtJs and JQuery were tried out.

Qooxdoo performs faster than the other two, but makes it very slow and tedious to develop a complex UI. Still, it is slow for the purpose and feels nonnative. After reading a few articles that compare Phonegap, Titanium, Sencha and Corona, it has been concluded that with or without various advantages and disadvantages between them, they are all similar in performance - and therefore too slow for the development of this game.

- b. Developing native code can prove to be easier, as for example the Android development community is much larger than that of Phonegap developers. The support is both more intensive and extensive for native platforms.

From this point on, the unified frameworks have been given up and a decision had to be made between iOS and Android development. This was an easy choice: Android development is free, while iOS development requires a paid developer account and XCode running on Mac OS exclusively. Android was chosen.

10.3 Communication Protocols

Initially, it was planned to use Websockets for the client-server communication. The reasoning behind it has two main arguments :

- a. Websockets is an HTML5 protocol currently presented in draft by the IETF. This protocol provides reliable two-way communication between a server and a client and manages various complex issues or network features that come above TCP. This future standard is developed to replace HTTP and add functionality for technical aspects that HTTP was not covering. The reason for using Websockets for the client-server communication was that it promises to abstract a lot of protocol management issues, while offering speeds close to plain TCP. Also, for the game to run properly, UDP and its child protocols cannot be used - total reliability is required in the communication.
- b. Websockets communication can be implemented in NodeJS, which is a framework well suited for fast-paced message exchanges and which has been proven more scalable than, for example, Apache Tomcat.

As was decided to develop the server in NodeJS, the first choice was to use the Socket.IO Websocket plugin. For the client side, Autobahn for Android was chosen. The alternatives for Autobahn at that time were not free. After developing a basic Websockets client with Autobahn for Android, communication between the two was attempted. It did not work. After a search it was found out that the protocol draft version that Socket.IO is using is different than that used in Autobahn, and unlike Autobahn, Socket.IO uses an HTTP handshake for establishing the connection. The Websocket-Node and ws NodeJS libraries for Websocket communication were tried out afterwards. Neither were compatible with Autobahn for Android. Then, Autobahn for Python was tried shortly and an attempt to use Autobahn for Android in a Java project has failed. It was then decided to give up Websockets and start off with pure TCP. Because writing code in two different languages might be slower, the server has been written in Java.

10.4 Google Maps API V1

The first version of the app used Google Maps API V1. It has been chosen due to its subjectively perceived more extensive developer support. Unfortunately, the Google Maps API and the Android Support Library cannot work together at the same time, because they need to subclass different Fragment classes. Therefore, this enforced the initial development to be done without the support library and therefore the application has initially supported only Android versions equal or higher than 3.0.

10.4.1 Map Overlays

The Google Maps V1 API supports the use of Overlay objects to draw on top of the map. Most tutorials found online make use of the so-called ItemizedOverlay, which enables easy integration of multiple markers. Using this Overlay subclass was attempted, but given up. Here are the conclusions:

- a. The ItemizedOverlay uses features that we may call 'magic' - the programmer is kept at arm's distance from understanding exactly what they do. The ItemizedOverlay object uses an ArrayList for storing the map markers as OverlayItem objects. It also needs a function that gives it the size of the ArrayList.
- b. Then, by unknown means, the markers are redrawn onDraw. This has proven very difficult to work with. For starters, there is no control over the draw process. Then, the OverlayItem objects cannot be stored in another data structure, such as a HashMap - which is used in keeping track of the players in the game.
- c. Because of its design, the ItemizedOverlay is fast, but useless for the purpose of this app. It was chosen to replace it with a custom Overlay.

As a replacement for the ItemizedOverlay, work on how to create a custom Overlay that would fit the needs of the application was started. This has also permitted the change of the marker icons dynamically, according to the needs of the game mechanics and UI. Functionality was added for this particular feature.

A real challenge was to add proper onTap() functionality for the custom Overlay. The advantage of the already-given-up ItemizedOverlay was that it handled marker touch events. The new, custom overlay had no such thing implemented. What I have done was to get the pixel resolution of the screen, along with pixel density data from the system and consider a 0.2 inch radius around the center of the touch on the screen (this is what I have estimated to be a circle to describe the tip of an average human adult finger). That 0.2 inch radius in pixels has been translated in a radius, in meters, on the Google Map. All markers inside that radius were considered and the closest to the center of the touch was chosen. This made choosing a marker out of both crowded and loose situations relatively easy and natural. The tutorial for this will be added as an annex to the paper.

10.5 Google Maps API V2

The use of the Google Maps API V1 ended when I realized that it is not compatible with the Android backwards compatibility libraries - that enable the use of Fragments, for example, in Android versions prior to 3.0. The compatibility libraries require the use of a custom FragmentActivity and custom Fragment, FragmentManager, FragmentTransaction objects.

The statistics given by Google about the versions of Android in use were underrepresenting the situation that I faced when trying to test the app. Only one of my friends and acquaintances had Android 4.1. The rest carried mid-range phones with Android 2.2 - 2.3. I convinced two friends to let me upgrade their OS versions to 4.0, even if they were officially unsupported. This was tedious and risky. Other friends did not accept such a thing. This led me to realize that it is unfeasible to test the app, when it was supporting Android versions starting at 3.0.

With this new information in mind, I started the migration to Google Maps API V2, which, instead of requiring a MapActivity as wrapper, is using MapFragment. Therefore, I could use both the

support library and the Maps V2 API, which is included in the Google Play Services libraries.

10.5.1 Maps API V1 vs. V2

The migration to V2 is very destructive and at first feels quite unnatural. The entire logic is changed. In V1, the map is rendered through a MapView object that can be manipulated in a more direct and intuitive manner - lower level access is both possible and needed. In contrast, the V2 map is accessed through a GoogleMap object, which is no longer subclassing View. Therefore, it cannot be manipulated in the same manner. Adding markers is done through the .addMarker() method of the GoogleMap object. The same applies to drawing circles. Now lists must be kept for all drawn objects, not for future redrawing, but for being able to remove or change them. The entire Fragment object, along with a lot of helper classes had to be rewritten completely. All methods helping out the onTouch event for the custom Overlay were not necessary anymore. Also many refresh workarounds were thrown away and in the process, the Activity's runOnUiThread() method was discovered.

10.6 Android development

I must also add the fact that learning how to program in Android was done while developing. This was often a trial-and-error process, covered with personal takes on the tutorials found mostly on StackOverflow.

10.7 Organization of the project

Before there was the project, there was a long search. I initially wanted to continue what was done one semester before, in a lab on agile software development, where 12 people developed a tour app using Phonegap. I wanted to add multiplayer functionality to the game, but simply seeing everybody on the map was too simple. Ideas arose from discussing this with my coordinator. Among them were ideas for including games and side activities. During the next three months, I have tried all the mobile games that used a GPS and that I could find on iOS. Most of them were also ported to Android. In the meantime, I have found out of the existence of Bluestacks, an Android emulator for Windows. A large number of games were tried out, but many gave a feeling of involvement and some gave the impression that they will require too much commitment from the player.

In the proposal for the project, I separated the 6 month time in 4 parts :

- a. First phase of development - 2 months
- b. First phase of testing - 1 month
- c. Second phase of development - 2 months
- d. Second phase of testing - 1 month

This interval was also supposed to include the writing of this paper, in between the lines. This schedule has proven unfeasible - not because of the length of the development phases, but because of the very short length of the testing phases. Another big part of the development of this app has been played by the continuous search for the appropriate technologies and practices for the project - including my own organizing:

- a. The exploration phase - Creating a UI test (Android), a server test (NodeJS, then Python, then Java), a client test(Android). There have also been some short UI tests and discussions along the way. This phase took around two-three weeks.

- b. The initial development phase - Creating a functional app with the Maps API V1 and writing a full-blown server. This was the longest phase - taking around one month and a half.
- c. The first testing phase - It lasted for half a day. Preparations for it took another few hours (upgrading the OS on two phones from Android 2.3 to 4.0). I brought a few friends, installed the app on all their phones and tried it out for 1 hour (in multiple attempts, with some crashes). After playing the game, the friends and I discussed the usability, mechanics and feeling in and out of the game, in a focus group approach. A friend and I took notes, while we all discussed various aspects of the app. Also the menus and a possible logo were discussed. I gathered so much input from them that only their proposals would change the face of the app entirely. Also this is when I realized that I must make the app compatible with Android 2.3 for the least (almost 50% of Android users have this version on their phones).
- d. The second development phase - I have worked for another 3 weeks to completely construct a new UI according to the requirements from the testing phase and the switch from Google Maps API V1 to V2. I have added a crash logging and data usage logging system to the app.
- e. The second testing phase lasted one day. I created a Facebook event and invited 10 people that brought Android phones. Most of them also had 3G sim cards. The game was finally field tested and I could get the opinions of the people on the UI, the functionality, the speed of the app.
- f. The documentation phase is ongoing. This is the paper documenting the development of the app.

10.7.1 Kanban

For managing the development steps of the app, I have mainly used a simplified Kanban board. As is specific to Kanban, I have gradually proposed stories and fragmented them into tasks, where necessary. As I worked alone, all team aspects of this organizational system have been removed. The fields that have been kept are an 'Input Queue', two 'Development' slots, the 'Integration' slots and the 'Live slots'.

There is a significant physical difference between the board that I used, versus the one used in the 'Agile Lab' from the previous semester. The first used a whiteboard with slots defined by lines drawn with a marker, on which the stories and tasks were held with magnets. Although this has been highly efficient for the short duration of the lab, I don't consider it useful for an endeavor of longer duration - stories and tasks and magnets are lost. The markers get misplaced and paper is often not found. For the development of the app, the Kanban board was made out of an actual wooden board, on which transparent plastic envelopes of two different sizes were placed as slots for the stories and tasks. Also, under the board, three more slots have been added : one for the papers for the stories and tasks, one for the utensils(markers and pens of various colors and scissors) and the last one for the finished stories and tasks that did were pushed outside the board. This gave better control over the location of everything needed and multiple stories could be placed in the same slot. For example, the two 'Input Queue' slots have proved to be not enough for the influx of ideas, and proposals for modification. Also, the 'Live' slots were not used for single stories, but for groups, as will be described below.

A personal touch has been added to the Kanban board : the 'Development' slots have only been used at the very beginning of the project. What I have noticed is that the developer must have a number of stories and tasks at hand, for orientation. I have personally lost track of what I was doing when the 'Development' tasks were at the appropriate locations on the board. As a result, I stopped using the two slots on the board and created a separate slot on the wall, next to my

main monitor. Having all the stories that I was working on has proven much more useful and straightforward.

I have noticed that many stories are linked in various ways. I was writing the stories and grouping them according to their connectedness in various aspects - from similar functionality to work in the same few classes. According to these criteria, I have treated stories in groups, mentally separating them. For my future work on this project, I propose adding a few more slots on the wall, in front of the programmer. In each slot, the programmer can put together stories with common traits. Also, I recognized the importance of having the full story in front of you, even if you are working on only one task from it. Having the big picture at all times is just as important as dealing with the details. In the case of work within a team, I would have the story and tasks on the Kanban board, with the names of the developers dealing with each task, while each developer would have a copy of the story and the tasks from the board (with the names of the assignees included) in front of his own workstation. Therefore, a link between the people working at different tasks and between the tasks themselves would be permanently kept - and synchronization on overlapping tasks would be easier. Also due to the fact that I treated stories in bulks of related work, they have been added to the 'Live' slots based on these same criteria.

As a difference from the Kanban board used in the 'Agile Lab' from the previous semester, I propose that all completed stories and tasks have their own slot, in a visible place outside the board. This offers a good morale to all the developers who see the stack of solved stories thickening. Having a hold of all the stories also greatly helps write this paper.

10.7.2 Unit Testing

I considered unit testing for this project, but soon gave it up. This application is a conceptual prototype. A lot of trial and error was done during development, changes to some piece of functionality occurred as often as a few times a day. I cannot not acknowledge the usefulness of unit testing in a full blown, large scale project. But in this case, it has proven to be a liability. I wrote test cases at the beginning, only to find frustration in the fact that the specifications for the functionality changed very quickly. Also, because this app has been more of a creative than technical endeavor, I had to keep my mind more on the ideas than on their implementation. Often, I have started with a 'quick and dirty' approach, tried out how I felt with the implementation, trying to put myself in the shoes of an unknowing player and only after this organized and modularized the code.

11 The exploration phase

The development of the app has started on the 14th of January 2013. I had an idea and no Android programming skills. Everything described from now on is a process of creativity and learning, intertwined together. But before the development came the idea, which took three months to concoct.

11.1 Creating the game concept

The project has started as a proposal to add a multiplayer feature to a tour app. It was hazy and unstructured. The main point was that the tour app was targeting individual users. But then, what happens when a larger group tours together? At the current stage of development, the tour app was offering an individual experience within the group. So I proposed that the group

experiences the tour together, somehow.

Simply adding the functionality to see all the others within the group on the map was not sufficient - it would just help if someone got lost or went astray. Otherwise, I concluded that the user experience would not be improved in any significant way. Then came the idea of adding small games, hidden caches or quests and so on. The best idea that still had the tour app as a main platform was to add detours from the main track as bonuses. On those detours, the people would have to solve various riddles and small puzzles to get points and find out about hidden historical spots or interesting facts about the places they are visiting.

At this point, I contoured the following addon to the main app: the players would have a main tour path and, as they passed by certain waypoints, would be offered to go through a bonus/extra track within the area that they are visiting. If enough of them would agree to do this(by an in-app vote, for example), they would be presented with a new set of waypoints. These waypoints would belong to a number of categories: normal waypoints, waypoints where they would have to split, waypoints where they would have to be together and waypoints where the whole group would have to wait within a virtual circle, while one delegate(through vote) would find an item or solve a riddle.

I have come up with another scenario, during the research: In the case of the group waiting and one member being delegated to solve a riddle or find an item, a means of cooperation can be brought up: When the team votes and chooses the delegate, he gets a black screen(no map) and the rest of the team can see the goal on their phones. Through messages or VoIP, they would help the delegate navigate to the goal. Then, once the goal has been found or reached, the virtual circle would disappear and the whole team would be free to move. If anyone would exit the circle at some point, they would receive penalties and eventually get disconnected from the game.

Gradually, the ideas for multiplayer features went astray from the tour app, towards multiplayer GPS-based games. I have explored the idea of GPS-based puzzle / adventure games and encountered ARIS, a platform for creating such games. Then I discovered Tourality, and WarFinger GPS, which were the main sources of inspiration for my app and a few other games that didn't make it in the main concept, but are to be developed within the game as future work.

There has been a point when I simply tried out all the GPS-based mobile games that I could find, and evaluated them. At this point, I already had in mind the goals for this app: It should move the players to an outdoor environment and have them walk and run as the main activity, while using the game itself for an improved experience. Hide and seek and Tag were considered as a model of entertaining game to be played by a group. The games I found and already enumerated had, in my opinion, one of two major disadvantages:

- a. Did not engage the users enough: Games such as Parallel Mafia, SCVNGR or Please Stay Calm do not motivate the player to move around much. They also offer a very dim user experience in areas with few or no players.
- b. Engaged the users too much: Mobile users, even hardcore gamers, do not spend too much time playing on the phone. Rather, they would play on consoles or computers, for better immersion. No matter how good the game is, it is still displayed on a small phone screen(tablets are not considered in this paper). Games such as Ingress and Shadow Cities offer a better and more immersive story, but are still demanding of the player and request the full focus of the phone owner. This I considered to be a major downside, as per my gamer experience, when I get out of my home, I prefer to focus on what happens around me and only rarely have the time and will to play such a demanding game while on the go.

- c. Did not motivate the players to move enough - Only Tourality does not possess this downside, as it its various game modes are specifically designed for running.
- d. Are location-dependent - All adventure / puzzle games such as those developed with ARIS, most MMOs such as Parallel Mafia do require the player to be in certain places in order to progress through the game. This means that the player is put in one of two situations : he 1. has to get out of one's way in order to make any progress within the game, or 2. has to travel to remote locations in order to play the game in the first place. This might be interesting for some, but will certainly fail to capture the attention of a worker or student during weekdays.

The engaging problem can also be linked to a time problem. Games that are too engaging also require a lot of time to be played. My personal take on this is that the amount of time dedicated to the mobile game should be decided by the player and not by the game.

11.2 The game concept

The app that I developed is temporarily called People With Guns. It is a GPS- based RTS / Shooter hybrid concept prototype for Android. It can be played by several people (The upper limit has not been established yet. Until now, the highest number of players in the game is 6.) that choose to join one of two opposing teams. The purpose of the game is to use 'weapons' and 'powerups' to defeat the opposing team. By 'defeat', I mean to 'virtually kill' all the opponents with the available tools. The current 'tools' are as follows :

The weapons :

- a. Pistol
- b. Rifle
- c. Sniper Rifle
- d. Knife

The 'powerups' :

- a. Invisibility Cloak
- b. Shield
- c. Painkillers / Heal

Each item used by a player has the following attributes :

- a. Cooldown - The amount of time that has to pass until the weapon can be used again.
- b. Duration - The amount of time during which a powerup is in effect
- c. Damage - The amount of health points that are subtracted upon a hit (or added, in the case of the Painkillers) from the target's total available health points.
- d. Range - The maximum distance within which a weapon can be fired.

Based on these attributes, we can make a differentiation between weapons and powerups. Until this point of the game development, weapons have damage and no duration. The only powerup that also has damage are the Painkillers - they deal negative damage to the target. The other two powerups, Invisibility Cloak and Shield, have a greater than zero 'duration' attribute, but no

'damage'.

Some of the attributes of the weapons and powerups will be modified, during a phase of balancing. I will therefore describe their conceptual construction :

The weapons :

- a. Pistol - Weapon with low damage and medium fire rate. All the character types have it. It is the basic and least effective weapon of them all. The range is reduced.
- b. Rifle - Weapon with low damage and high fire rate. The damage is higher than that of the pistol and the cooldown takes half as long. The range is reduced the same as that of the Pistol
- c. Sniper Rifle - High damage weapon with very low fire rate. The range is far greater than that of the Rifle and Pistol.
- d. Knife - The weapon that deals the highest damage of all. The cooldown is greater than that of the Sniper Rifle and the range is very small.
- e. Invisibility Cloak - Powerup that makes the player disappear from the map for a short while. While the player is invisible, he cannot be shot. The effect duration is short, while the cooldown is lengthy.
- f. Shield - Powerup that reduces the damage taken to half, while it is in effect. The duration of this powerup is short and the cooldown is lengthy.
- g. Painkillers / Heal - Powerup that functions like a weapon that deals negative damage to the player or his/her friends. It's effective immediately and requires a long cooldown time.

For more complexity in the game, a number of so-called 'character types' have been created, from which players can choose. Thus far, there are four character types implemented in the game: Marine, Medic, Sniper, Scout. Each of these has a different number of health points and different weapons. Because the actual health amount will vary during a phase of character balancing, I will simply mention the conceptual construction of the characters :

- a. Marine - Has average health and two weapons: Pistol and Rifle. Represents the basic attack unit.
- b. Medic - Support unit with Shield and Painkillers/Heal abilities. Has average health.
- c. Sniper - Attack/ defense unit. Has a Sniper Rifle for shooting at large distances. Has low health.
- d. Scout - Attack unit specialized at sneaking up on the victim. Has the 'Invisibility Cloak' ability for disappearing from the map and the 'Knife' weapon for dealing large amounts of damage within a very small range. Has large health.

Another character has been created for testing purposes. It has been called the 'All Encompassing' and possesses all the weapons and skills presently existing in the game and very large health. This character has inadvertently opened a window for two more game types:

- a. 'David versus Goliath' - This be a game of many players using regular character types versus a much smaller number of 'All Encompassing' characters.

- b. 'Duel' - During the many small tests made to this app beyond the public ones, a new style of playing this game has emerged: in the absence of a large enough number of players, two can play in the 'Duel' mode : both use the 'All Encompassing' character type and, instead of running around, attempt to win the game by optimizing combinations of weapons and powerups. This game is generally played side by side, for at most a few minutes and has proved to be entertaining for the ones who tried it out.

12 The first development phase

The first development phase was mainly one of searching for the right tools to get the job done and testing their functionality.

The initial idea was to use Websockets for communication, a NodeJS-based server and, after some evaluation, native Android. The messages exchanged between server and clients would be in the JSON format. For this, the choices that I found viable have been Jackson and Google GSON and json-smart. After determining their speed and ease of use I have chosen Jackson, as besides its speed, it offers an easy way of serializing and deserializing JSON directly into a hierarchy of HashMaps - a method which I personally prefer for a testing phase. Mapping something yet unknown into POJOs would have been a much harder task on the long run.

Exploring the use of Websockets has proven unfruitful, as there have been dead ends :

- a. The only freely available Websocket library for Android at the time of research was Autobahn. The Websocket libraries available for NodeJS were Socket.IO, Websocket-Node and ws. None of them worked with Autobahn for Android. I eventually found a forum post in which one of the developers of Autobahn stated the reasons for the incompatibility between Socket.IO and Autobahn for Android: First, the protocol implementations were based on different draft versions. Second, Socket.IO used an HTTP handshake for the connection - and that was not supported by Autobahn. The same issue applied to Websocket-Node and ws. A Python implementation of Autobahn has been tried for the server, but after a few unfruitful attempts, I decided on Java. In the case of Java, Autobahn for Android does not work. I have identified one of them: Autobahn subclasses a Handler object that is part of the Android SDK. Because of this and the realization that until Websockets receives its final version, I cannot fully rely on the protocol. And for the purpose of a prototype application where communication should not be overly complicated, I chose TCP.
- b. Because of the Websocket issue, but also the subjectively perceived slow development pace with NodeJS (Libraries are not documented, autocomplete mostly does not work and there is no javadoc equivalent), I have decided to switch to Java. Python was also attempted in the meantime, but productivity was perceived as low for the same reasons as for NodeJS.

At the end of these attempts, the tools that I decided upon were native Android clients, Java server and TCP communication in between. What was left to decide upon was whether I would use JSON or XML. Based on some research and my need for this app, I chose JSON - it is easier to use and it takes less bytes to transmit the same data as it would with XML. I was planning to use simple data structures for the messages that were to be exchanged between server and clients.

JSON was chosen. But now the question remained: which Java libraries for processing JSON would I use? After some quick browsing, three names came on top: Jackson, Gson and smart-json. I did some searching for benchmarks and tried out Jackson and Gson. I chose Jackson.

Now the tools were readily available and I started developing the app. I split the development in three sub-phases :

- a. A game UI that would add some mock players on the map and provide some usability insight.
- b. The server
- c. The whole app, based on the initial UI experience.

In developing the initial game UI, I added three buttons(one for generating a number of markers ('Generate Markers') on the map, one for further use('Check Info') and one for shooting ('Shoot')) and a spinner, on top of a MapView. The spinner served as a weapon selector. Once a weapon was selected (on launch, the first one in the list was automatically pre-selected), its range would be drawn on the map. I placed the three buttons in three corners of the screen- bottom-left, bottom-right, top-left. I placed the spinner to the right of the 'Shoot' button. The functionalities added were as followed :

- a. 'Shoot' button - Mock method to display a Toast message stating if the shot was performed or not and the selected weapon.
- b. 'Generate Markers' button - Mock method to randomly generate markers on the map (for this game's purposes).
- c. 'Check Info' button - sporadic functionality to display a Toast message with various info on data structures in the back end.
- d. Spinner - selecting weapons to 'shoot'.

I initially developed the server in NodeJS, then switched to Python and eventually settled with Java.

12.1 The structure of the server

- a. A 'communication' module for dealing with adding and managing connections and messaging.
- b. A 'messages' module for managing the incoming and outgoing messages for each connection.
- c. A 'game' module for handling in-game data, such as keeping track of connected players, teams and game status.

12.2 The structure of the 'communication' module

- a. The 'TcpServer' class that starts listening for incoming TCP connections on a given port.
- b. A 'ConnectionManager' class that provides static synchronized methods for managing adding and removing connections, MessageSender, MessageReceiver and connection keepalive heartbeats.

12.3 The structure of the 'messages' module

- a. A 'Messages' class that contains a number of inner classes for categorizing the different types of messages.
- b. A 'MessageSender' class that deals with sending messages to a single client, but can also access all other instances of this class to multicast and/or broadcast messages to all the other clients, when needed.

- c. A 'MessageReceiver' class that deals with receiving messages from a single client
- d. A 'HeartbeatListener' class that listens for heartbeats from the connected clients and keeps the connections alive or closes them.

12.3.1 The structure of the 'game' module

- a. A 'Player' class that stores information about the players (eg. name, 'profession', health points and position).
- b. A 'GameManager' class that manages the addition, removal and management of players.

12.4 The Server

Once started, the server listens on a port. When a remote client connects, the server adds an InetAddress instance containing the IP address of the client. Then, a Player object with some default values is initialized and a random UUID is generated. The Player object is added in one of the HashMaps for the two teams - home team or away team - using the UUID as key. A MessageReceiver and a MessageSender are instantiated. The MessageReceiver, MessageSender, a Date object containing the moment of the connection and the newly generated UUID are added to HashMaps with the InetAddress of the client as key. The server sends a configuration json containing the already connected players, the available professions and their attributes(title, health, weapons, description), the available weapons and their attributes(name, range, cooldown, duration, description and usage policy). From now on, whether a player remains connected to the server depends on the so-called heartbeats : The client will send periodic heartbeat messages to the server. The server will update the Date object for the last moment when a heartbeat was received. If a delay beyond a threshold comes up, the client is removed from the server.

The server now acts only as a relay - game state is held on the client side.

Because TCP does not allow multicasts and broadcasts and UDP was purposely avoided, methods for sending multicasts and broadcasts were conceived as follows: When a client sends a message that requires multicast/broadcast, the server accesses all the MessageSender objects, iterates through all of them and sends the given message to all of them. This applies to location updates, shot alerts and in-game messages.

Once a number of players (1 or more) have connected to the server, they may send 'Ready' messages to the server, stating that they are prepared to enter the game. The server will check on receipt on each 'Ready' message if all the players connected to the server are 'Ready'. If yes, a countdown timer will be started: A broadcast with the seconds left until the game starts will be sent to all the players. After the countdown, an 'Enter Game' message is broadcast to all the clients. Because the server holds the information on the positions of all the players, a condition and another message have been added: when the distance between the average positions of all the players in each team is at least some given distance(eg. 500m) and each team member is at most some other given distance(50m) from the average position of his/her team, 'Start Game' message is broadcast to all the clients. This will later be used to enable the weapons for all the players only when they satisfy these conditions.

There is no direct disconnect message between server and client. Disconnection is done exclusively based on the heartbeat interval.

12.5 The Client

The client is an Android application that uses one Activity and multiple Fragments. It does not use any compatibility libraries(as the Google Maps V1 API requires a MapActivity and the support library requires a FragmentActivity for fragment use) - therefore, only Android versions equal or higher to 3.0(Honeycomb) are supported.

The client uses seven fragments for the UI: 'Main Menu', 'Info and Tutorials', 'Settings', 'Lobby', 'Lobby Settings', 'Loading' and 'Game':

- a. 'Main Menu' : It is the entry point of the application (it is the fragment loaded by the Activity after it is created). It features three buttons: 'Start Game', 'Settings' and 'Exit'. By pressing 'Start Game', the player attempts to join the game. If the connection is successful, he is brought into the 'Lobby'. Pressing 'Settings' leads to the homonymous screen.
- b. 'Settings' contains two TextViews for changing the default IP address and port of the server to which the client can connect.
- c. 'Loading' is an intermediary fragment that shows a loading widget for the duration of time during which the connection to the server is established and the client receives the config json from the server. Once this process is done, it automatically switches to the 'Lobby' fragment.
- d. 'Lobby' is the main game preparation fragment. It shows the lists of players in the two teams(nicknames, professions and 'Ready' status). The fragment features four buttons: 'Back', 'Change Info', 'Change Team' and 'Ready'. If the 'Back' button, the connection to the server is closed and the 'Main Menu' fragment is brought to the front. The 'Change Info' button brings upon the 'Lobby Settings' fragment. By pressing the 'Ready' button, the player toggles his/her 'Ready' status and sends a message containing this status to the server.
- e. 'Lobby Settings' is the fragment in which the player can change his/her nickname and 'profession'. A textbox for the name, a spinner and a description textbox for the profession and an 'Ok' button are provided.
- f. 'Game' is the most important fragment in this app: it provides the UI for gameplay. It features a fullscreen MapView that displays the map and, on top of it, the 'Shoot' button and weapon selection Spinner. Two other buttons are kept on the screen and given various functionalities, according to the testing needs.

The app usage would go as follows: The player opens the app and is presented the 'Main Menu' UI. If the GPS is not on, a dialog will appear informing him of this and offering two choices: 'Turn GPS on' or 'Exit'. If 'Turn GPS on' is chosen, the GPS Settings page will be shown and the player can switch it on. Once this is done, the player clicks 'Start Game' and, after being shortly shown the 'Loading' fragment, is introduced to the 'Lobby' screen. Here, one can see that he has been added to one of the teams(Home Team or Away Team) and has been given a default nickname('Player') and profession('Marine'). This is where he chooses a team by clicking the 'Change Team' button or opts navigate to the 'Lobby Settings' screen by clicking the 'Change Info' button and change the 'nickname' or 'profession'. Once the player is ready to play, he will press the 'Ready' button. If all the players that are connected to the server are marked as 'Ready', the server will send a countdown (which is seen on the client side through Toasts) followed by a 'Start Game' message - at which point the client app will automatically introduce the 'Game' UI. All the players are shown on the map by blue(current player), red(enemies) and green(friends) markers. Above each marker, one can see the nickname, 'profession' and health points of the player. The selection of players is done by clicking the markers. A selected player will have his marker drawn in yellow.

Selecting a weapon from the provided Spinner deselects the currently selected player(if there is any) and draws the weapon's range around the position of the current player. For using the currently selected 'weapon' or 'powerup' on one of the players, the current player has to select the target on the screen and press the 'Shoot' button. If the selection attempt does not satisfy the 'selection policies' provided by the Weapon object, the map marker will simply not be selected. Otherwise, it will change color to yellow. If the target is not within the weapon's range when the 'Shoot' button is pressed, a Toast message will appear stating the current distance and that the shot was not performed. Otherwise, a Toast message stating the distance and damage will be shown and a cooldown countdown will start at the appropriate entry of the weapon selection Spinner object. Once a player has lost all health points, a dialog appears saying that the game is over for this player and gives him the sole option to exit the game in progress and return to the 'Main Menu' screen. Once a player exits the game in progress, his marker will disappear on the maps of the other players. There are no start and end conditions implemented.

13 The first testing phase

Contrary to the original plans, the first testing phase took only half a day with another half day of preparations. Prior tests have been done by the author alone, with two phones.

A few friends(three male and two female) of the author were invited to play the game. Three of them had Android phones. The other two have used the two phones that the author had in possession at the time. Unfortunately, most of them had Android 2.2 and 2.3 installed. The preparations meant convincing them to volunteer their phones for an OS upgrade. Android 4.0 was installed.

The tests have been done mostly indoors, as they revealed various bugs in the server and client software that were not detected when using only two phones that were physically connected to the development computer via USB cables. Because six people had five phones, two of them have played alternatively. Because not all players had 3G connections available, the game has been played by connecting to the author's WiFi router. The first bug we noticed was that occasionally the game would disconnect for no apparent reason. Others have been related to GPS devices in one of the phones not retrieving the position and crashing the application or concurrency issues improperly treated. A few temporary quick fixes got the game on track and we managed to play the game, firstly indoors for a few times and then outside, after the author's WiFi router has been placed on the outside window sill. This has inadvertently been a test of the WiFi coverage of an average old 802.11 b/g router - around 50 - 70 meters radius in an open space describing a half-circle around the router. The author was the first to accidentally run outside the WiFi coverage and get disconnected. After the playing was finished, the author(with help from one of the friends) took notes while everybody has expressed their criticism of the gameplay, UI and game satisfaction. Although the concept itself has been positively appreciated, the game UI has received a lot of criticism. Also the random disconnection of the game from the server has caused a lot of frustration among the test players. A proposal has been made to allow saving the last player status and position on the server and allow a timeout until the player would not be allowed to reconnect. A debate led to the conclusion that enabling such a feature could allow cheating, through the following scenario: A player would disconnect from the game, get close to other players, reconnect, shoot and repeat the sequence - thus avoiding damage and causing frustration to others.

14 The second development phase

The first testing phase has left a lot of 'todos', notes and guidelines for better adapting the UI and game mechanics to the player's needs. The second development phase has addressed these needs with a personal touch from the author and an influence of the switch to a newer version of Maps API.

14.1 The Server

14.2 The Client

The client is an Android application that uses one Activity and multiple Fragments.

The client uses seven fragments for the UI: 'Main Menu', 'Info and Tutorials', 'Settings', 'Lobby', 'Lobby Settings', 'Loading' and 'Game':

- a. 'Main Menu' : It has been slightly modified: The 'Start Game' text has been changed into 'Connect to Server' - making its functionality more obvious. The logo presented in Figure ?? has been added for user feedback. The 'Info and Tutorials' button has been added - it leads to a new Fragment that presents the idea and functionality of the game.
- b. 'Info and Tutorials' is a fragment with a number of buttons and a ScrollView for displaying text and images. Here, the user will find instructions for the purpose how use of the app and detailed descriptions of the functionality of the 'Lobby' and 'Game' UIs.
- c. 'Settings' has not been modified.
- d. 'Loading' has not been modified.
- e. 'Lobby' : it has been slightly modified to remember the last used nickname and 'profession'. Each player in the team lists now shows the ready status of that player and a '

ME

' indicator has been added to the current player so that he can identify himself in the list. Clicking on one's own nickname in the list now navigates to the 'Lobby Settings' screen for nickname and 'profession' change. Two background images have been tried out on this fragment, for user feedback. They are shown in Figure ?? and Figure ??

- f. 'Lobby Settings' has not been modified. A background image has been tried out as background, for user feedback. See Figure ??
- g. 'Game' has been completely modified. Due to changes in the use of the Maps API and tester feedback, it has gone through the most changes. At this point, the game screen looks as follows: for all the 'weapons' and 'powerups', buttons are aligned starting from the bottom left corner of the screen and extending them to the right. From the bottom right corner and going up, on the vertical axis, three buttons and a TextView exist: the 'Previous Target', friend/foe toggle, 'Next Target' buttons and a TextView that shows the distance to the next selected player. If no player is selected, the presented text will be '0(Self)'. Otherwise, just the distance measurement is shown, with no text. In the top right corner, the default 'My Position' button is shown - with its specific icon. In the top left corner of the screen, a TextView with large text shows the remaining 'health points' of the player. Underneath this TextView lays a spinner for sending predefined messages to the team. The full functionality of the UI will be presented below.




Figure 2: The in-game UI

The typical app use scenario goes as follows: The player enters the game and sees the 'Main Menu'. The app checks if Google Play Services are installed and if the GPS is turned on. If either of these conditions is not met, a dialog is presented: the player must choose to install Google Play Services and/or turn on the GPS or exit the game. Each dialog directs the user to the appropriate Google Play or Settings page - where the player only has to either click 'Install' or switch the 'Enable GPS' toggle to 'ON'.

Once there are no more requirements to be met in order to play the game, the player can click on 'Connect to Server', be briefly presented with the 'Loading' fragment and then enters the 'Lobby'. There, if the app was started for the first time, he can see the default nickname 'Player' and the default 'profession' - Marine. If the app was previously used, the player will see the last nickname and 'profession' used in previous runs. The server distributes the players according to team sizes, so the player has an equal chance to see oneself in either the 'Home' or 'Away' team. Then, the player can opt to change the team by using the 'Change Team' button. Also, the nickname and 'profession' can be changed by navigating to the 'Lobby Settings' screen. Once the 'Ok' button is pressed in the 'Lobby Settings' screen, the changes are saved in the app and sent to the server for broadcasting, and the player is returned to the 'Lobby' screen.

At the point where all the players have done setting up, they can send the 'Ready' signal. When all the players are ready (for testing purposes, one player present on the server is enough to start a game), the five second countdown is received from the server and the player is now facing the 'Game' screen.

In order to understand what can be done at this point, a detailed description of the 'Game' UI is necessary:

We can visualize the UI based on the screenshot presented in Figure 2. It presents the groups of UI elements on the screen. We shall now present all of them, separated into groups, by position (positioning also separates functionality groups, therefore we can also state that they are presented by related functionality). All the UI elements on the 'Game' UI are programmatically generated - and not from an XML file :

- a. **The bottom of the screen, starting from the bottom-left corner:** The 'weapon'/'powerup' buttons are generated once the 'Game' fragment is loaded, based on the list of weapons specific to the player's 'profession'. They appear from left to right. If less or equal to three weapons are given, the buttons will be made slightly larger than otherwise - up to a maximum of six (the number of weapons featured in the test 'profession', 'All Encompassing'). Each button press shoots the 'weapon' or 'powerup', according to a so-called 'policy' provided in the Weapon object. The policies are as follows: 'self', 'friends', 'friends and self', 'enemies', 'friends and enemies', 'friends and enemies and self'- stating on which kinds of players one given 'weapon' or 'powerup' can be used. A button long press will draw the range of the 'weapon'/'powerup' on the map, with the player's position in the center.
- b. **The bottom-right corner of the screen:** The player selection buttons and the distance indicator are generated on the vertical axis, starting from the bottom-right corner of the screen - in order, the 'previous target', friends/enemies toggle, 'next target' buttons, and on top a TextView showing the distance to the selected player or '0(Self)' if no player is selected(in translation, the 'self' or 'current player' is selected). The friends/enemies toggle is used for the obvious reason of quickly choosing between one of the two types of players besides the 'self'. The 'next target' button's functionality is to find the next closest player from the selected category. Let's say that the closest player is already selected. Then, on a press of the 'next target' button, the second closest player will be selected and so on. The reverse applies to the 'previous target' button - which selects the previous farthest player if a player is selected and the closest one otherwise. The distance indicator serves for the use of an experienced player who knows the weapon's distances by heart and does not want to the lengthy long click operation to get the weapon's distance shown on the map. The players may also be selected by simply tapping their respective markers on the map - but through testing it has been determined that in a more intense and dynamic situation, selection by clicking on the marker can become difficult and inaccurate. Both modes are supported now. One other aspect of player selection is the now-vital click in a random unoccupied area on the map for deselecting all players(and selecting oneself for, say, self-healing or activating powerups on oneself).
- c. **The top-right corner of the screen:** The 'my position' button that is provided as an option through the Maps API. Clicking it will cause an animation to scroll through the map until the position of the player is centered.
- d. **The top-left corner of the screen:** The health indicator is a TextView that presents in large text the remaining health points of the player. Underneath it lies a spinner with a list of messages that the player can send to his/her team. One can do so by clicking on the UI element representing the spinner, beneath the health indicator. After the first click, the spinner dialog appears and shows the list of messages. The player can send one of the messages in the list by clicking it. The dialog is canceled by clicking outside it.
- e. **The area in between the two top corners of the screen:** This is the area where the powerup duration is dynamically shown during its effect. This can be seen when the player casts 'invisibility' or 'shield' on oneself or when a friend casts 'shield' on the player
- f. **The area above the weapon buttons:** This is where the notifications appear, in the form of Toasts. By default, a toast has a given lifespan - but each time a new toast needs to be

displayed, it first closes the toast currently on display, if it is the case.

Although they are not yet discretized, thus far we can distinguish three types of game that can be played with the current setup: the **default game**(which requires multiple players, but excludes the 'All Encompassing' game type), **duel**(two players choose the 'All Encompassing' profession - receiving the entire arsenal provided by the game - and try to take each other out by using various strategies of combining 'weapons' and 'powerups'), **David vs. Goliath**(a small number of players choose the 'All Encompassing' profession and play against a significantly larger number of players that use all the professions except 'All Encompassing').

Until now, the default game and the duel have been tried out - and they are fit for different situations: The default game can take up to 20 minutes and is played across distances varying from small to very large, depending on the mood and disposition for running of the players. The duel is performed usually by two players sitting next to each other and takes two-three minutes.

Thus far, no game end condition has been introduced - players who lose all health points are notified that the game is over for them through a dialog that gives them two options: quit the game or spectate. The second option implies that they are marked as 'dead' on the map, cannot be selected and their weapon buttons are removed from the screen. Still, they can watch the evolution of the game on their mobile devices. When all players of one team are marked as 'dead' (they have lost all their 'health points') and at least one player of the other team still has more than zero health points, it can be considered a win for the other team. The ending condition has not been implemented, because for this stage of development of the app it would be just a dialog stating the obvious 'You have won !' message. A more interesting feature may be added in the future, if imagination or user feedback provides it - or the game may be integrated in a larger context.

The main functional differences from the first development of the game are:

- a. A switch from Google Maps API V1 to V2 has been done. This implied rewriting the whole UI and several methods in the helper libraries.
- b. Because of the switch mentioned above, the custom Overlay object (along with the custom tap and marker drawing functionalities), the object responsible with position retrieval have been discarded. Position retrieval is done through an option provided by the GoogleMap object provided by the Google Maps V2 API. The whole marker and weapon range drawing are also done through the GoogleMap object - OverlayItem objects have been replaced by Marker objects and GeoPoints have been replaced by LatLng instances.
- c. The whole UI has been rewritten, having as a result what was described above.

Passive disconnection detection functionality has been added in the loop that waits for incoming messages. The functionality of some weapons and powerups has been modified. The 'Radar' has been removed. The 'Invisibility cloak' and 'Knife' have been added. Powerup duration indicators have been added.

The final message structure in between the server and the client will be presented in one of the Annexes.

During the second development phase, a lot of decentralization and modularization of functionality has been done. Classes that only served the Game UI relying on Maps API V1 have been removed. Others, serving the Maps API V2 have been added. Various bugs that caused crashes have been corrected. The starting condition has been reintegrated in the game - it disabled the weapon buttons until the 'Start Game' message would be received.

14.3 Logging, Testing and Data Usage

After the first testing phase, the need to log app crashes has come up - as sometimes it can prove quite difficult to recreate the crash conditions while solo testing. A logging system based on Logcat capture has been added. Uncaught exceptions are now caught and logged(they are always the ones crashing the app) before the app closes. One issue currently not dealt with and considered benign for the prototype is that the log files(created through classical Java functionality on the SD Card) can only be seen from the mobile device and not from a computer communicating with it via USB. This issue was not considered stringent, as the number of testers and testing devices is still quite low and manual extraction of crashes is still easier than implementing a solution(The problem is that these files are signed with a UID and thus cannot be seen by external devices).

A lot of testing has been done with the 'UI/Application Exerciser Monkey' to show up potential bugs and crashes. Some bugs have been found and patched - but one has persisted: Apparently, there are some humanly-impossible combinations of keyboard and touch inputs that lead to a Spinner dialog crashing the application.

Another bug that has persisted is that of the WiFi disconnection(3G connections stay alive). Apparently, this is an Android bug.

As for logging a Logger class has been created, its functionality has been extended to retrieve the data usage of the app. Because of various compatibility reasons, the data usage of the entire device during the usage of the app is retrieved, instead of the usage of the app itself. This means that the data usage of, say, social network or mail applications adds to the count - but as a general idea (and not very precise numbers) is needed, it has been considered a decent solution.

15 The second testing phase

As the first testing phase, the second one has taken much less time than initially planned - only one day was necessary. A larger number of friends of the author were invited. More phones have been brought and almost all had 3G connection. This time, the app supported Android versions starting with 2.3 - which made it much easier.

A large part of the testing phase has been the preparation: installing the application on all devices, connecting everyone to the wireless network and VPN (At the moment of testing, the author lived in a student dorm - and Internet access was provided through a secure VPN connection. But because everyone's 3G plan included at most 500MB, Wifi was the first choice). This has proven to be very frustrating - therefore, everybody switched to 3G and started to play - firstly indoors and soon after, outdoor. The UI changes have been positively appreciated by everyone - yet one feature has proven to be quite useless: the long click on the weapon buttons. The long click draws the range of the weapon on the map. Our testing scenario, though, has not taken place over large distances(no one went out of Sniper Rifle range - 150 meters) - therefore the gameplay has been very fast paced (a game lasted on average between 10-15 minutes) and no-one has actually used the functionality. The distance indicator has also not been noticed by most. The health indicator, target selection buttons and shooting functionality of the weapon buttons have been appreciated as 'right', 'appropriate', 'useful'. A request was made for a finishing condition and a 'You have won' screen for the winner team - and some sort of reward system. Another feature that has proven to be more of a liability in this situation was the starting condition. In the first few games(played indoors), the players

16 UI Design

The design of the UI has been done progressively, based on intuition, one-man tests, occasional random feedback from friends of the author and feedback from players during the test phases.

In this chapter, we will see the evolution of the UI and will present the steps taken to change it:

During the first phase of development, along with learning Android programming, the author has created two UI prototypes: one for the game screen and the other for the menus. A rough idea on what the game will look like and what functionality has been created once the two UI prototypes have been brought to light.

We will first discuss the game screen prototype. The first challenge has been more to create the Overlay object, get markers of three color types (red: enemy, green: friend, blue: current player, yellow: selected player) on the map at random positions and create the first 'Shoot' action seem real. This meant adding a 'Shoot' button and a Spinner from which weapons could be chosen and adding player selection into account. It had to be realistic, so a list with Weapon objects had to be created. For this, attributes had to be conceived for these weapons. What attributes are needed in a Weapon object? The quick answer has been: damage and range: Once this has been done, drawing the range of the selected weapon on the map became the focus. Once that was done, shooting a weapon had to feel like it actually happened somehow. That's when Toast notifications have been added. After this, the realization came that those weapons can be shot continuously. They weren't supposed to. The 'cooldown' attribute has been added and a countdown was added on the Spinner dialog items, once they were used. On each weapon selection if a player was selected, he would be deselected. The range would be redrawn and the player would have to select the target on the screen and shoot by pressing the 'Shoot' button. The UI prototype ended up looking like Figures 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.

The following prototype would describe the basic functionality of the menus up until the point of entering the game.

The game screen prototype has been followed by the menu UI prototype. For starters, this one hasn't been made with easy user interaction in mind, but rather as a bridge for the author to think of all the necessary functionality that should be present in everything that comes before the gameplay. Also, it has been an exercise for the things to come. The items deemed vital have been the main menu and a lobby screen. The main menu screen has been initially seen as only a gate to the game, an easy intro rather than anything else. The lobby screen has been designed to functionally accomodate what was deemed necessary in terms of game and character preparation - and predicted the need for another screen - that of the character settings, marked by the presence of the button labeled 'Change my Info'. The result can be seen in Figures 8 and 9.

The two prototypes have been put together in one project that has served as the foundation of the application to come.

Very soon the necessity for a settings screen right out of the main menu came up (The server was initially run locally, on the author's laptop. Out of all the reasons to create a settings fragment, the first one has been that the internet connection in the student dorm is done via VPN and thus the IP address was changing with reconnection) and a button labeled 'Settings' was added to the main menu. The settings fragment came right after with just an 'OK' button and two textboxes for the IP and port inputs(as can be seen in Figure 10).

At the beginning of the development, as functionality to connect to the server has just been im-



Figure 3: game screen prototype




Figure 4: game screen prototype




Figure 5: game screen prototype




Figure 6: game screen prototype




Figure 7: game screen prototype




Figure 8: main menu screen prototype




Figure 9: *lobby screen prototype*




Figure 10: *The settings screen, out of the main menu*




Figure 11: *The loading screen*

plemented, there was no loading screen. Instead, the application UI would just freeze for a few thousand milliseconds. That has been considered unacceptable. The first idea was to add a loading widget on top the main menu screen - but that, based on the intuition of the author, was quite unpleasant to the eye. The loading screen had to be something separate, to mark the transition to entering the game. A loading screen was added, with a simple loading widget looping infinitely and a piece of 'Loading' text at the bottom of the screen(Figure 11). This screen has remained visually untouched until the last version of the application.

Once the game client became capable of successfully connecting to the server and setting up everything for the lobby screen, the necessity for the lobby settings screen came up - and therefore the lobby settings fragment has been created - with a spinner for choosing between characters, a textbox for the nickname, a multiline textbox for loading the description of each character or 'profession' and an 'OK' button. This screen has also remained largely unchanged until the current point of development and can be observed in Figures 12 and 13.

Until the end of the first testing session done with a group of people, the UI has remained largely untouched. The two unused buttons on the game screen have been kept for getting the players' feedback on how pleasant the position of those buttons is for the reach of their fingers. The goal for the game UI has been to become similar to a game controller, but with the game screen in the middle. And the screen had to be layed out in a way in which the user would not feel cramped when playing the game. The only addition to the UI has been the 'Settings' button in the main menu, together with the settings screen.

The first people to test the game have criticized the following aspects:

- a. **The game UI:** The need to reselect the target every time the weapon is switched has proven frustrating. The entire process of selecting the weapon and only then firing it has been regarded as too slow: the players wanted to be able to shoot the entire arsenal immediately, if




Figure 12: The character editing screen (a.k.a. the lobby settings screen) menu




Figure 13: The character editing screen (a.k.a. the lobby settings screen) with the character selection dialog opened menu

possible.

Another issue has been that the 'Shoot' button was disproportionately small compared to the weapon selection spinner, in the testing phase. After showing the players the original test UI, they still deemed the 'Shoot' button too small.

The lack of control over what is happening has been criticized: The player health was only displayed above the head of the player, along with the name and 'profession'. It made it hard for players to notice changes. Notifications on who 'shot' who were not yet implemented. The player's health was not displayed anywhere. Messages could not be sent to the team. The first proposal has been to enable writing and sending messages, SMS-style. After some discussions, the conclusion came that predefined messages are much more useful(like, say the ones used in the video game CounterStrike), while 'custom' messages can be communicated verbally, keeping the players more focused on the dynamics, rather than on the technicalities of the game.

- b. **The menu UI:** The lobby UI has been criticized - but not for it's layout, but for the comprehensibility - there was no mark for the player's 'Ready' status, the 'Change my Info' label on the button that leads to the 'profession' and nickname editing screen wasn't comprehensible. The nickname and 'profession' were not remembered from one game session to the other or from one app launch to the other. This frustrated the users - and they simply refused entering their nicknames all the time. The game ended up with no one knowing who's who, because they had the same nickname - the default 'Player'. One tester complained that he wanted to click his own name in the team lists and get to the settings screen.

A general complaint has been expressed towards the fact that there are no tutorials on how to play the game.

The first testing phase, though short, has left a lot of guidelines on what to do from that point on.

The second development session has come with the mindset to completely change the UI. And not only that was done: the whole underlying framework has been changed. A switch to the Google Maps V2 API has been made. A lot of functionality has been thrown away - such as the Overlay that served for drawing the players and for laying out the controls. A lot of new controls had to be added. A lot of underlying technicalities have been changed(such as the custom screen tap functionality and objects used for positioning).

Because of the switch to the new Maps API, the MapView object which served as the container for all controls has disappeared. It has been replaced by a GoogleMap object which is not intrinsically a View and cannot be treated thusly. Some improvisation was required to artificially create a container. This has been done by programmatically creating a RelativeLayout and adding the View that contained the map as a child. Then, the apparent choices have been to either add all the controls programmatically - which is very tedious - or embed a Fragment within another Fragment - which is downright wrong. All the controls have been generated and added programmatically. And the result has been previously described in the paper - having as a result the UI presented in Figure 14: The UI has been properly partitioned in four functional areas that, although they provide much more control and functionality, don't cloister the map. The health of the current player is visible, a button has been added to center the image on the current position of the player, individual buttons are generated for the list of weapons provided for a 'profession', a group of buttons is added for help in target selection. Target selection by tapping on the screen has been preserved, but now is enhanced through the 'Next Target' and 'Previous Target' buttons. The




Figure 14: The game UI

latest addition - the Spinner object serving message sending was taking up too much space and hindered the view of the map. A good solution has been to make it semi-transparent - thus, making it non-intrusive, yet present. The ranges of the weapons are now drawn in green on demand, by long pressing the weapon buttons. The blue circle around the player's position is the default indication of the GPS accuracy. Thus far, it has not been removed.

The menu UI has also been modified:

- The main menu screen:** The welcome message has been removed and place has been left for a potential logo. A logo has been tried, as seen in Figure 15. Also, a button to navigate to the newly added info and tutorials screen has been added.
- The info and tutorials screen:** A new Fragment has been added. It contains four buttons that lead to information and tutorials on what the game is and how to play it. It also features a 'Back' button. The initial plan was to have a WebView as container and load the tutorial articles in HTML format. Then, the author subjectively deemed HTML creation too complicated for the task and the decision has been taken to have a ScrollView as container instead and use a LayoutInflater object to generate the UI from XML files. The results can be seen in Figures 16 and 17.
- The lobby screen:** The lobby screen has also been modified: The requested feature to map the click on one's name to the character settings screen has been added. Also, the MEmarker has been added to distinguish the current player from the rest and the READYmarker of one's 'Ready' status. Two backgrounds have been tried on this screen, as seen in Figures 18 and 19.
- The lobby settings screen:** The lobby settings screen has been kept almost intact since its creation. The functionality to remember the last used player's nickname and 'profession'




Figure 15: A white game logo




Figure 16: Tutorials screen: the About page




Figure 17: Tutorials screen: the Game Guide page




Figure 18: Lobby screen with black background




Figure 19: *Lobby screen with white background*

has been added. Also, two backgrounds in black and white have been tried out. They can be seen in Figures 20 and 21.

Unlike before the first testing phase, when few to no people interacted with the application, before the second testing phase a large number of the friends and acquaintances of the author have seen the application and have been giving constant input as to whether certain aspects of the game are worthwhile or not. Also this has been when discussions on future development of the game have started. A lot of conversations have been recorded to audio files and notes on paper. Among the discussions, the ones on the current development have been focused on visual aspects: The background images, the logo; a proposal to change all the markers with 'profession'-specific ones and to replace the text on the buttons with symbols. Besides all this, a discussion has started on the name of the application itself. Both very positive and very negative opinions have been expressed, but none of indifference. The name chosen by the author for the game is 'People with Guns' and for now it will stay that way.

There were ten people who actively participated in the discussions and debates over the aforementioned aspects of the game: five male and five female.

The logo has been strongly rejected by everybody - it was deemed too colourful and unrelated to the significance of the game - a semi-casual game to promote enjoyment and social bonding.

The black background images used in the lobby and lobby settings screens have been positively appreciated by the males but received mixed opinions from the females: Three of them said that they inspire violence - which is unrelated to the game itself, while the other two have deemed them appropriate.

After being presented with the white background images for the lobby and lobby settings screens,




Figure 20: *Lobby settings screen with black background*



Figure 21: *Lobby settings screen with white background*

everybody has rejected them, unanimously stating that they break the atmosphere of the game and that it should promote a level of competition. Everybody concluded that they prefer darker colors in the menus and the contour of the map in the background is one good step towards something that defines the game.

The second testing phase took a bit longer than the first. More players tried it out, more games have been played and - most importantly - more games have been played outside.

The conclusion of the second test phase has been that the tutorials are too long, too descriptive. The menus haven't received any criticism - but the game UI has: The long click functionality to draw the ranges of the weapons has not been used at all. The distance indicator was not even seen by the players and was therefore not used in any way. The 'Invisibility Cloak' powerup is used only by the current player on self, but in the current implementation it requires selecting oneself(deselecting everyone else) - this was deemed frustrating. The entire concept of selecting oneself by deselecting all others(tapping an area on the map where no markers are present) was deemed frustrating. Everybody playing(just two of the people from the discussions before the second testing phase have been present) has expressed the necessity of symbols instead of text on the buttons and on the map. Another aspect of criticism has been the order of the 'weapon'/'powerup' buttons: they should be separated into two groups: 'weapons' and 'powerups'.

17 Measurements

The usage of 'he' should be replaced by 'he/she' and has only been used this way for the convenience of the author and no other reasons.

18 Future work

- switch to Websockets(message exchange) and WebRTC(player position exchange)

19 Conclusion

Contrary to the scientific approach, this has been a very subjective, personal experience. A lot of intuition and communication have played a large part of what is now the real time tactics game prototype *People with Guns*: A lot of choices have been made on feeling and personal perception. The people's opinions on the application, design aspects and even the name of the game itself have been put to question and have received very varied feedback. I have seen hands-on what changing a background image from black to white can cause in the player's attitudes. I have seen difference of opinion and perspective between sexes and ages. This research has transcended the defined task of developing a game type that has not been tried before. It has become a very personal experience and came with its hardships and teachings. Although the entire paper is expressed scientifically, I have tried to write it in a manner as personal as possible.

The development of the game *People with Guns* and the conclusions drawn at points of choice between technologies have relied heavily on forums, forums, forums and Wikipedia, in this order. Although not scientifically acceptable, with a bit of subjective filtering of the available content, they have proven thousands of times more useful than scientific papers - which, in the case of developing a new concept, simply didn't have much in common with the aim of this project(Otherwise somebody would have developed this already).

20 ANNEX A - Game and game platform websites

ARIS Games: <http://arisgames.org/>

ARIS Games is a framework for developing Adventure/Investigation games. Most applications of these games have been within the education area.

Tourality - <http://www.tourality.com/>

Tourality is a mobile application that allows people to play a number of racing games. Among them are single lap or multiple lap races, capture the flag races where players have to run towards a given marker on the map. The first one to reach the marker gets one point - and another marker is randomly generated elsewhere. This last race game has represented an important source of inspiration in the construction of the idea for the game developed on this project.

Wherigo - <http://www.wherigo.com/>

Just like ARIS, Wherigo is a toolset for creating Adventure/Investigation games.

conTAGion - <http://www.2clams.com/>

Contagion is a GPS-based zombie apocalypse Massively Multiplayer Online game in which the players are either in the role of zombies or humans, evading or attacking each other. The game can also be played in single player mode. A game has been attempted, but due to a difficult and buggy interface, it was not considered worthwhile.

Shadow Cities - <http://www.shadowcities.com/>

Shadow cities is an MMORPG(Massively Multiplayer Online Role Playing Game) in which the player is put in the role of a mage. The mechanics of the game tend to be similar to those of classical MMORPGs, but they now involve the map and the GPS. The player has to travel around physically in order to reach various areas where levelling may be done.

SCVNGR - <http://www.scvngr.com/>

SCVNGR is a social game that uses Google Places for checking in, creating or accepting various challenges already left by others at given places.

Please Stay Calm - <http://pleasestaycalm.com/>

Please Stay Calm is a single player GPS-based zombie apocalypse RPG game in which the player has to scavenge or fight zombies in various areas from the map.

Parallel Mafia - <http://www.parallelmafia.com/>

Parallel Mafia is a GPS-based MMORPG in which the player builds up his own mafia, cooperates with or fights others for territory. To this end, various tools are present in the game. The ultimate goal is capturing as much territory as possible.

Parallel Kingdom - <http://www.parallelkingdom.com/>

Paralle Kingdom is a conceptually identical game to Parallel Mafia - only that it is set in the middle ages.

Tripventure - <http://www.tripventure.net/tripventure/>

Tripventure is a platform on which several augmented reality adventure games are created. The special thing about these games is that they don't only use the GPS, but also the phone's camera - at given GPS positions, virtual characters must be sought and interacted with by looking at the phone screen and finding them with the camera.

Warfinger GPS - <http://www.warfingergps.com/>

Warfinger GPS is a casual game in which two teams fight within a given area, over an indefinite amounte of time. The interaction is rather turn based than real-time. This has been the biggest influence in the construction of the idea for this project - some of the virtual objects and some of the weapons presented in the game trailer have been redesigned for this project's game proposals.

Totem - <http://www.fit.fraunhofer.de/en/fb/cscw/projects/totem.html>

Totem is a versatile platform for mobile game authoring. Several games have been created using this platform. Among them are Tidy City, Portal Hunt and aMazing - which later conceptually appeared in Ingress.

Portal Hunt - <http://www.totem-games.org/?q=portalhunt>

aMazing - <http://www.totem-games.org/?q=aMazing>

Ingress - <http://www.ingress.com/>

Ingress is the much advertised MMORPG from Google. An invitation is required to play the game - therefore more information than what was presented in the trailer is not in the posession of the author of this paper:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=92rYjlxqypM

Mobile War - <http://www.mobilewar.org/index.php/en/>

Mobile War is a GPS-based shooting game - the players use their phones to aim and 'shoot' each other in a classical Shooter game manner. This game has represented an important source of inspiration for this project. Unfortunately, it could not be played due to server errors.

Mister X Mobile - <http://qeevee.com/projects/misterx>

Mister X Mobile is one of the two mobile implementations for Ravensburger's Scotland Yard game. This one takes a real-time approach. The game mechanics and the use of various tools for catching 'Mr. X' have been an inspiration for the games proposed

Mobilis XHunt - <https://github.com/danielschuster/mobilis/wiki/Mobilis-XHunt>

Mobilis XHunt is the second implementation of Ravensburger's Scotland Yard game. This one takes a turn-based approach. This game has not directly influenced this project, the analysis(6) of real-time versus turn-based approaches in GPS-based mobile games that compares Mister X Mobile and Mobilis XHunt has.

Own This World - <http://www.ownthisworld.com/>

Own This World is a game in which the world map is split into a grid of 'territories'. People physically present in one of these 'territories' can fight for conquest. For this end, they use resources to create virtual troops and fight each other. The more territory is available to the player, the more resources he will receive - and therefore the easier he will conquer further territories. This game

has been the biggest source of inspiration for the proposal of the 'Territory Takeover' game that is to be added to this project as future work.

MapAttack - <http://mapattack.org/>

MapAttack is another territory capture game based on the Geoloqi platform. Unfortunately, the website is currently down. It can be otherwise found on GitHub: <https://github.com/geoloqi/MapAttack>

21 ANNEX B - Game genre definitions

Real Time Strategy Game <http://encyclopedia2.thefreedictionary.com/Real-time+strategy+game>

A type of video game in which players exercise strategy along the way, typically to conquer enemies and reach a final destination without being eradicated. For example, to win, players decide which routes to take, what needs to be done and how to do it.

Real Time Tactics Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Real-time+tactics>

Real-time tactics or RTT is a subgenre of tactical wargames played in real-time simulating the considerations and circumstances of operational warfare and military tactics. It is differentiated from real-time strategy gameplay by the lack of resource micromanagement and base or unit building, as well as the greater importance of individual units and a focus on complex battlefield tactics.

Massively Multiplayer Online Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Massively+Multiplayer+Online>

A massively multiplayer online game (also called MMO) is a multiplayer video game which is capable of supporting hundreds or thousands of players simultaneously. By necessity, they are played on the Internet, and feature at least one persistent world.

Adventure Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/adventure+game>

An adventure game is a computer-based game in which the player assumes the role of protagonist in an interactive story driven by exploration and puzzle-solving instead of physical challenge.[1] The genre's focus on story allows it to draw heavily from other narrative-based media such as literature and film, encompassing a wide variety of literary genres. Nearly all adventure games are designed for a single player, since this emphasis on story and character makes multi-player design difficult.

Casual Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/casual+game>

A casual game is a video game or online game targeted at or used by a mass audience of casual gamers. Casual games can have any type of gameplay, and fit in any genre. They are typically distinguished by their simple rules and lack of commitment required in contrast to more complex hardcore games.[1] They require no long-term time commitment or special skills to play[...]

Racing Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Racing+game>

One of the more common uses of the term racing game is to describe a genre of computer and video games. Racing games are either in the first or third person perspective. They may be based on anything from real-world racing leagues to entirely fantastical settings, and feature any type of land, air, or sea vehicles. In general, they can be distributed along a spectrum anywhere between hardcore simulations, and simpler arcade racing games.




Figure 22: The Websocket frame

Shooter Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Shooter+game>

Shooter games are a subgenre of action game, which often test the player's speed and reaction time. Because shooters make up the majority of action games, it is a fairly wide subgenre. It includes many subgenres that have the commonality of focusing "on the actions of the avatar using some sort of weapon. Usually this weapon is a gun, or some other long-range weapon". A common resource found in many shooter games is ammunition. Most commonly, the purpose of a shooter game is to shoot opponents and proceed through missions without dying yourself.

Turn Based Strategy Game <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Turn+based+strategy>

A turn-based strategy (TBS) game is a strategy game (usually some type of wargame, especially a strategic-level wargame) where players take turns when playing. This is distinguished from real time strategy where all players play simultaneously.

Location Based Game (Location-enabled Game) <http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/location+based+game>

A location-based game (or location-enabled game) is one in which the game play somehow evolves and progresses via a player's location. Thus, location-based games almost always support some kind of localization technology, for example by using satellite positioning like GPS. "Urban gaming" or "Street Games" are typically multi-player location-based games played out on city streets and built up urban environments.

22 ANNEX C - Websockets vs. TCP speed

Websocket is an HTML5 standard aiming at overcoming all the shortcomings of the already-old HTTP protocol in real-time client-server communication. It is a TCP-based protocol that uses an HTTP handshake - but that's where the commonalities stop. The main issue that WS(Websockets) addresses is that with HTTP, a server cannot send information to the client without being solicited. Also, WS connections can be kept open and thus bidirectional communication can be kept alive.

A thorough search for benchmarks for the latency of Websockets versus raw TCP has returned no result. Instead, several have been found of comparisons between Websockets and HTTP, Comet and Ajax. Interesting information is found on the websocket.org website and reproduced here:

Figure 22 shows the size overhead of a Websocket frame over raw TCP is 2bytes. Figure 23 shows the overhead(in bits per second) of HTTP Polling versus Websockets. We can notice that in both cases the scale is linear, but the factor is much smaller for Websockets.

After some further searching on the web, a forum answer(25) by the founder of Tavendo, which develops Autobahn - A well-known set of Websocket libraries for Javascript, Python and Android:




Figure 23: Websocket vs. HTTP Polling

On a LAN, you can get Round-trip times for messages over WebSocket of 200 microsec (from browser JS to WebSocket server and back), which is similar to raw ICMP pings. On MAN, it's around 10ms, WAN (over residential ADSL to server in same country) around 30ms, and so on up to around 120-200ms via 3.5G. The point is: WebSocket does add virtually no latency to the one you will get anyway, based on the network.

The wire level overhead of WebSocket (compared to raw TCP) is between 2 octets (unmasked payload of length \geq 126 octets) and 14 octets (masked payload of length \leq 64k) per message (the former numbers assume the message is not fragmented into multiple WebSocket frames). Very low.

More so: with a WebSocket implementation capable of streaming processing, you can (after the initial WebSocket handshake), start a single WebSocket message and frame in each direction and then send up to 2⁶³ octets with no overhead at all. Essentially this renders WebSocket a fancy prelude for raw TCP. Caveat: intermediaries may fragment the traffic at their own decision. However, if you run WSS (that is secure WS = TLS), no intermediaries can interfere, and there you are: raw TCP, with a HTTP compatible prelude (WS handshake).

This answer deems Websockets a fast protocol, appropriate for fast-paced games such as the one described in this paper.

Moreover, Websockets is designed with security standards and easy development in mind. It has built - in connection management mechanisms that would easily fit further development of this application. It would be basically eliminating potential huge amounts of planning and development overhead induced by starting a proprietary implementation on top of TCP.

23 ANNEX D - Node.JS vs. Apache - performance and scaling

24 ANNEX E - JSON vs. XML

25 ANNEX F - Jackson vs. Gson vs. smart-json

When a choice had to be made for the JSON parsing library for this project, two libraries came to mind: Jackson and GSON.

Jackson is a project started in 2008 by Tatu Saloranta and Paul Brown. It has gained popularity for it's features and fast serialization and deserialization times.

GSON is a project started in 2008 by Google. It has always been in direct competition both for features and speed with Jackson.

Some searches have been made for benchmarks for the two libraries. A few blogs(26) (27) (28) and the wiki entries for a few benchmarking tools(29) (30) have been used as guidelines. The author has also tried out and weighted his subjective opinion on the use of both libraries for simple serializing and deserializing of JSON messages. The conclusions are as follows:

- a. **Features** - Although for the specific needs of this project, advanced features were not necessary, this criteria has been considered. A six part article on the blog programmer-bruce.blogspot.de compares the features of GSON and Jackson and deems Jackson the better library.
- b. **Speed** - A test done in 2009 by Tatu Saloranta on his blog http://www.cowtowncoder.com/blog/archives/2009/09/entry_326.html, one of the two who started the Jackson project, deemed it much faster than GSON, as seen in Figure24. 'Tps' is

number of documents read, written, or read-modify-written per second

Another test has been done and published in a wiki entry of json-benchmark, in 2011. Android's Dalvik's ParseBenchmark.java has been used to benchmark the libraries. The results yielded have been:

TWEETS			
api	run	ms	linear runtime %
ANDROID_STREAM	B	28.8	===== 207%
JACKSON_STREAM	B	13.9	===== 100%
GSON_STREAM	B	33.1	===== 238%
ORG_JSON	B	36.2	===== 260%
JSON_MINI	B	50.0	===== 360%

READER_SHORT			
api	run	ms	linear runtime %
ANDROID_STREAM	B	6.78	===== 177%
JACKSON_STREAM	B	3.82	===== 100%




Figure 24: Jackson vs Google-gson vs BerliOS (<http://www.cowtowncoder.com/perf/json-bind-2009-09-23/testcase0.jpg>)

GSON_STREAM	B	7.07	=====	185%
ORG_JSON	B	7.61	=====	199%
JSON_MINI	B	12.49	=====	327%

READER_LONG			
	api	run	ms linear runtime %
ANDROID_STREAM	B	69.6	===== 254%
JACKSON_STREAM	B	27.4	===== 100%
GSON_STREAM	B	68.1	===== 248%
ORG_JSON	B	68.9	===== 251%
JSON_MINI	B	95.0	===== 347%

- <https://code.google.com/p/json-benchmark/wiki/AndroidBenchmarks>

A third test done in 2012 has been found on blog.novoj.net, where a multitude of libraries including Jackson 2.0.4 and GSON 2.1 were tested. This test also deems Jackson as faster at serializing/deserializing, as seen in Figure25.

A fourth test over several features of multiple JSON libraries, found in a wiki entry of jvm-serializers that has been last updated in 2013, also deems Jackson the fastest.

- c. **Personal choice** Both Jackson and GSON have been tried out before choosing one. Jackson has been preferred for it's ease of use and the fact that it offers the ObjectMapper, which gives straightforward functionality to 'peel' layers from the JSON into Java HashMaps. GSON




Figure 25: Jackson vs GSON in a comparison over multiple libraries (<http://blog.novoj.net/2012/02/05/json-parsers-generators-microbenchmark/>)

only allows the use of POJOs. For a project where changes have occurred often, like the one documented in this paper, Jackson was preferred.

26 ANNEX G - Reviews of the games mentioned in the paper

27 ANNEX H - Overlay tutorial

This tutorial is for use with Google Maps V1 API. Recently, the Maps V1 API has been deprecated and replaced with V2. Still, some who still have acquired keys before the change can still use them until they expire. Otherwise, it may be useful in other similar scenarios, where onTap functionality has to be custom made.

```
private UUID getClosestPlayerUUIDWithinTapRadius(GeoPoint geoPoint,
    Double newTouchRadius){

    double touchRadius = 0.2; //expressed in inches

    if(newTouchRadius != null) touchRadius = newTouchRadius;

    double maximumAcceptedDistance =
        calculateMaximumAcceptedDistance(touchRadius);

    Point touchScreenPoint =
        MapUtils.convertGeoPointToScreenPoint(geoPoint, mapView);

    //get the closest point UUID and the closest distance
    UUID closestPointUUID = null;
    double closestPointDistance = Double.MAX_VALUE;

    Iterator iterator = drawnPoints.entrySet().iterator();
    while(iterator.hasNext()){
        Entry<UUID, Point> entry = (Entry<UUID, Point>) iterator.next();

        UUID uuid = entry.getKey();
        Point point = entry.getValue();

        double distance =
            MapUtils.getDistanceBetweenPoints(point, touchScreenPoint);

        if(distance < closestPointDistance){
            closestPointDistance = distance;
            closestPointUUID = uuid;
        }
    }

    if(touchRadius == Double.MAX_VALUE)
```

```

    return closestPointUUID;

    else if(closestPointDistance <= maximumAcceptedDistance){
        return closestPointUUID;
    }

    return null;
}

```

28 ANNEX J - Countdown buttons Tutorial

This tutorial is for adding dynamic countdowns for the elements in a Spinner object. In the particular case of this application, the countdown is activated based when the 'Shoot' button is pressed.

- a. Somewhere in the code, a ThreadPoolExecutor has to be created:

```

private static final BlockingQueue<Runnable> workQueue =
new LinkedBlockingQueue<Runnable>(10);
ThreadPoolExecutor executor =
new ThreadPoolExecutor(3, 10, 3, TimeUnit.SECONDS, workQueue);

```

- b. Then, we define the class that implements Runnable. We will call it WeaponReportingTask:

```

public class WeaponReportingTask extends AsyncTask<Weapon, Integer, Integer> {

    private boolean hasFinished = true;

    ArrayList<String> weaponsArray = null;
    ArrayAdapter<String> dataAdapter = null;
    int selectedIndex = 0;
    String initialWeaponName = "";

    public WeaponReportingTask(
        ArrayList<String> newWeaponsArray,
        ArrayAdapter<String> newDataAdapter,
        int newSelectedIndex
    ){
        super();
        hasFinished = true;

        weaponsArray = newWeaponsArray;
        dataAdapter = newDataAdapter;
        selectedIndex = newSelectedIndex;
    }
}

```

```

initialWeaponName = weaponsArray.get(selectedIndex);
}

@Override
protected Integer doInBackground(Weapon... params) {

hasFinished = false;

Weapon weapon = params[0];
if(weapon.getLastUsed() == null)
return 0;

int secondsElapsed =
(int) MapUtils.getTimeDelta(weapon.getLastUsed(), true);

while(secondsElapsed <= weapon.cooldown){

publishProgress(weapon.cooldown - secondsElapsed);

try {
Thread.sleep(200);
} catch (InterruptedException e) {
// TODO Auto-generated catch block
e.printStackTrace();
}

secondsElapsed =
(int) MapUtils.getTimeDelta(weapon.getLastUsed(), true);
}

return 1;
}

protected synchronized void onProgressUpdate(Integer... progress) {

if(progress != null)
weaponsArray.set(
selectedIndex,
initialWeaponName+" ("+progress[0].toString()+" )"
);

else
weaponsArray.set(
selectedIndex,
initialWeaponName
);

dataAdapter.notifyDataSetChanged();
}

```

```

    }

    @Override
    protected void onPostExecute(Integer result) {
        weaponsArray.set(selectedIndex, initialWeaponName);
        dataAdapter.notifyDataSetChanged();
        super.onPostExecute(result);

        hasFinished = true;
    }

    @Override
    protected void onCancelled() {
        weaponsArray.set(selectedIndex, initialWeaponName);
        dataAdapter.notifyDataSetChanged();
        super.onCancelled();

        hasFinished = true;
    }

    public boolean hasFinished() {
        return hasFinished;
    }
}

```

c. And then, on 'Shoot', we launch it:

```

WeaponReportingTask cooldownTimer =
new WeaponReportingTask(
weapons,
(ArrayAdapter<String>)weaponSpinner.getAdapter(),
currentWeaponIndex
);
cooldownTimer.executeOnExecutor(
executor,
currentWeapon //this object is used in the WeaponReportingTask only
//for the cooldown time specific to the Weapon object.
);

```

29 Bibliography

References

- [1] J. Yang, "Smartphones in Use Surpass 1 Billion, Will Double by 2015," *Bloomberg.com*, October 2012.

- [2] C. Janssen, “**Real-Time Strategy (RTS)**,” *Techopdia.com*, 2010.
- [3] C. Janssen, “**First Person Shooter (FPS)**,” *Techopdia.com*, 2010.
- [4] J. Eldridge, “**What are Real-time tactics games? RTT games, definition and meaning**,” *Examiner.com*, March 2012.
- [5] S. Johnson, “**Analysis: Turn-Based Versus Real-Time**,” *Gamasutra.com*, November 2009.
- [6] Pascal Bihler, Holger Mügge, Daniel Schuster, Danny Kiefner, Robert Lübke, and Thomas Springer, “**Step by Step vs. Catch Me If You Can - On The Benefit of Rounds in Location-based Games**,” tech. rep., Institute of Computer Science III Universität Bonn and Computer Networks Group Technische Universität Dresden, 2012.
- [7] C. Warren, “**The Pros and Cons of Cross-Platform App Design**,” *Mahsable.com*, February 2012.
- [8] D. Hoang, “**Native vs. Cross-Platform Development: Which is the way to go?**,” *Awesomedgiant.com*, April 2012.
- [9] D. Wehmeier, “**HTML5, Cross-Platform Compiled, Or Native: Choose Wisely In App Development**,” *Mobiledevdesign.com*, October 2012.
- [10] D. Software(dacris.com), “**Node.js: Microsofts Web Empire is Coming to an End.**” <http://www.dacris.com/blog/2011/08/31/node-js-microsofts-web-empire-is-coming-to-an-end/>, August 2011.
- [11] M. Zgadzaj, “**Benchmarking Node.js - basic performance tests against Apache + PHP.**” <http://zgadzaj.com/benchmarking-nodejs-basic-performance-tests-against-apache-php>, 2010.
- [12] Adam Bergkvist, Daniel C. Burnett, Cullen Jennings, and Anant Narayanan, “**WebRTC 1.0: Real-time Communication Between Browsers**,” tech. rep., World Wide Web Consortium, august 2012.
- [13] I. Fette and A. Melnikov, “**The WebSocket Protocol (RFC 6455)**,” tech. rep., Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), December 2011.
- [14] I. S. Institute, “**Transmission Control Protocol (RFC 793)**,” tech. rep., University of Southern California, September 1981.
- [15] I. S. Institute, “**User Datagram Protocol (RFC 768)**,” tech. rep., University of Southern California, September 1980.
- [16] H. Schulzrinne, S. Casner, and R. Frederick, V. Jacobson, “**Real Time Transport Protocol (RFC 3550)**,” tech. rep., Network Working Group, July 2003.
- [17] Kurt D. Squire, Mingfong Jan, James Matthews, Mark Wagler, John Martin, Ben Devane, and Chris Holden, “**Wherever you go, there you are: Place-based Augmented Reality Games for Learning**,” tech. rep., University of Wisconsin-Madison and Academic ADL Colab, Madison WI, 2006.
- [18] Eugenio J. Marchiori, Javier Torrente, Ángel del Blanco, Iván Martínez-Ortiz, and Baltasar Fernández-Manjón, “**Extending a Game Authoring Tool for Ubiquitous Education**,” tech. rep., Department of Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence, Complutense University, Madrid, 2010.

- [19] D. J. Gagnon, “**ARIS - An open source platform for developing mobile learning experiences**,” tech. rep., The University of Wisconsin - Madison, December 2010.
- [20] Chinmay Barve, Sanjeet Hajarnis, Devika Karnik, and Mark O. Riedl, “**WeQuest: A Mobile Alternate Reality Gaming Platform and Intelligent End-User Authoring Tool**,” tech. rep., School of Interactive Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology.
- [21] Christopher L. Holden and Julie M. Sykes, “**Leveraging Mobile Games for Placebased Language Learning**,” tech. rep., University of New Mexico, May 2010.
- [22] J. M. Mathews, “**Using a studio-based pedagogy to engage students in the design of mobile-based media**,” tech. rep., University of Wisconsin, 2010.
- [23] Andreas Ritzberger and Stephan A. Drab, “**TeamTags: Domination An AGPS game for mobile phones**,” tech. rep., Upper Austria University of Applied Sciences - Media Technology and Design and Upper Austria University of Applied Sciences Hagenberg - Mobile Computing, 2004.
- [24] 3rd WORKSHOP ON POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND COMMUNICATION (WPNC06), *Field trial on GPS Accuracy in a medium size city: The influence of buildup*, 2006.
- [25] T. Oberstein, “**WebSockets, UDP, and benchmarks.**” <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/13040752/websockets-udp-and-benchmarks>, October 2012.
- [26] T. Saloranta, “**JSON data binding performance: Jackson vs Google-gson vs BerliOS JSON Tools.**” http://www.cowtowncoder.com/blog/archives/2009/09/entry_326.html, September 2009.
- [27] B. Coleman, “**Gson v Jackson.**” <http://programmerbruce.blogspot.de/2011/07/gson-v-jackson-part-6.html>, July 2011.
- [28] O. Fura, “**Json Java parsers / generators microbenchmark.**” <http://blog.novoj.net/2012/02/05/json-java-parsers-generators-microbenchmark/>, March 2012.
- [29] J. Wilson, “**Benchmarking JSON parsers on Android,**” May 2011.
- [30] “**jvm-serializers benchmark results page,**” January 2013.