1. An engineering education researcher wishes to determine whether there is a difference in the proportion of women enrolled in each of two engineering majors at U.S. colleges. She collects the following data from two random samples of U.S. college students enrolled in electrical and chemical engineering programs.

Engineering Major	Sample Size	Number of Women	Sample Proportion
Electrical Engineering	250	81	$\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle E} = \frac{81}{250} = 0.324$
Chemical Engineering	175	40	$\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle C} = \frac{40}{175} = 0.22857$

Construct a 90% confidence interval for the difference in the proportion of women enrolled in electrical engineering versus chemical engineering.

(a) What are we estimating? (Define the parameters of interest.)

We are estimating $p_E - p_C$, where

 $p_{\scriptscriptstyle E}=$ the true proportion of women enrolled in electrical engineering at U.S. colleges and

 p_c = the true proportion of women enrolled in chemical engineering at U.S. colleges.

- (b) Verify that the necessary conditions for a confidence interval for the difference in two population proportions are met.
 - 1. Independent random samples it is stated that U.S. college students were randomly selected from each engineering program.
 - 2. It can be reasonably assumed that $n_{\scriptscriptstyle E}=250<5\%$ of all electrical engineering majors and $n_{\scriptscriptstyle C}=175<5\%$ of all chemical engineering majors.

3.
$$n_{\rm E}\hat{p}_{\rm E}(1-\hat{p}_{\rm E})=250(0.324)(1-0.324)=54.756>10$$
 and $n_{\rm C}\hat{p}_{\rm C}(1-\hat{p}_{\rm C})=175(0.22857)(1-0.22857)=30.857>10$

(c) Compute the 90% confidence interval for the parameter defined in Part (a).

$$(\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle E} - \hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle C}) \pm z_{.05} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle E}(1 - \hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle E})}{n_{\scriptscriptstyle E}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle C}(1 - \hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle C})}{n_{\scriptscriptstyle C}}} = (0.324 - 0.22857) \pm 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{0.324(0.676)}{250} + \frac{0.22857(0.77143)}{175}}$$
$$= 0.09543 \pm 0.07140$$
$$\approx (0.024, 0.167)$$

(d) Interpret the confidence interval you found in Part (d).

We are 90% confident that the true difference in the proportion of women in electrical engineering and women in chemical engineering (E–C) is between 0.024 and 0.167.

(e) Based on the interval, can we infer that one type of engineering has a higher proportion of women enrolled?

Yes, we can infer that electrical engineering has between 2.4% and 16.7% more women enrolled than chemical engineering because zero is not in the interval and the interval contains all positive values.

2. Suppose you wish to investigate whether the proportion of Clemson undergraduates who drink coffee regularly is lower than the proportion of Clemson graduate students who drink coffee regularly. You gather the following data from randomly selected undergraduate and graduate students at Clemson University.

Student Type	Sample Size	Drink Coffee	Sample Proportion
Undergraduate	320	206	$\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle U} = \frac{206}{320} = 0.64375$
Graduate	350	238	$\hat{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle G} = \frac{238}{350} = 0.68$

Conduct a **hypothesis test** for the difference in the two proportions at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

(a) Define the parameters of interest in context and state your hypotheses.

Let p_U = the true proportion of Clemson undergraduates who drink coffee regularly and p_G = the true proportion of Clemson graduate students who drink coffee regularly.

$$H_0: p_{\scriptscriptstyle U} = p_{\scriptscriptstyle G} \ H_1: p_{\scriptscriptstyle U} < p_{\scriptscriptstyle G}$$

- (b) Check the appropriate conditions required for a valid hypothesis test.
 - 1. Independent random samples it is stated that Clemson undergraduate and graduate students were each randomly selected.
 - 2. It can be reasonably assumed that $n_v=320<5\%$ of all Clemson undergraduates and $n_{\rm g}=350<5\%$ of all Clemson graduate students.

3.
$$n_v \hat{p}_v (1 - \hat{p}_v) = 320(0.64375)(1 - 0.64375) = 73.3875 > 10$$
 and $n_G \hat{p}_G (1 - \hat{p}_G) = 350(0.68)(1 - 0.68) = 76.16 > 10$

(c) Compute the test statistic.

$$\hat{p}_v = 0.64375, \ \hat{p}_G = 0.68, \ \hat{p} = \frac{x_v + x_G}{n_v - n_G} = \frac{206 + 238}{320 + 350} = \frac{444}{670} = 0.66269$$

$$z_0 = \frac{\hat{p}_v - \hat{p}_G}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_v} + \frac{1}{n_G}\right)}} = \frac{0.64375 - 0.68}{\sqrt{0.66269(1 - 0.66269)\left(\frac{1}{320} + \frac{1}{350}\right)}} \approx -0.99$$

(d) Find the associated p-value for the hypothesis test.

p-value =
$$P(Z < -0.99) = 0.1611$$

(e) State your conclusion in context of the problem.

Do not reject H_0 because p-value = $0.1611 > \alpha = 0.05$. There is insufficient evidence to conclude that the proportion of Clemson undergraduates who drink coffee is lower than the proportion of Clemson graduate students who drink coffee.