# AI Powered Plant Pathology Platform: Integrating Visual and Data Analysis

**Dr. Vasudha Vashisht**
*Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering*
*Amity University, Noida*
*Uttar Pradesh*
*vvashisht@amity.edu*

**Vaibhav Mittal**
*Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering*
*Amity University, Noida*
*Uttar Pradesh*
*vaibhav.mittal1@s.amity.edu*

**Amitabh Halder**
*Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering*
*Amity University, Noida*
*Uttar Pradesh*
*amitabh.halder@s.amity.edu*

**Manan Bhatia**
*Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering*
*Amity University, Noida*
*Uttar Pradesh*
*manan.bhatia2@s.amity.edu*

**Abstract:** The application of artificial intelligence (AI) in plant pathology has revolutionized the field's approach to disease diagnosis and treatment. Precision agriculture could benefit greatly from an AI-powered plant pathology platform that combines data and visual analysis. This platform uses machine learning and computer vision algorithms to automatically diagnose plant diseases from crop photos. It enables the real-time diagnosis of signs including wilting, blights, and leaf spots. The accuracy of disease diagnosis is improved through the integration of visual analysis with complete data, such as environmental variables, soil health, and historical crop data.

The platform can potentially offer optimal treatment options and early warnings for disease epidemics by leveraging huge datasets and predictive modelling. This promotes sustainable farming methods by lowering the needless use of pesticides and helping to apply disease control measures on time. Furthermore, fresh data can be added to the platform on a regular basis, which will eventually enhance its functionality.

All things considered, this AI-driven method transforms plant pathology by fusing sophisticated image recognition with data-driven insights, giving farmers accurate and useful information, and eventually enhancing crop health and output.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Computer Vision, Machine Learning Algorithm.

## I Introduction

New opportunities in agriculture have been made possible by the quick development of deep learning and artificial intelligence (AI), especially around plantpathology.

Since agricultural diseases cause large losses in agriculture all over the world, early and accurate identification of plant diseases is essential to maintaining crop health and improving yields. Conventional techniques for identifying plant diseases are frequently labour-intensive, time-consuming, and require specialized knowledge. In response, platforms driven by AI are becoming a viable means of automating the diagnosis and treatment of diseases.

The goal of this project is to create a plant pathology platform driven by AI that combines deep learning methods with data analysis to diagnose plant diseases accurately and early. By utilizing Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), the platform provides an effective substitute for conventional approaches by automatically classifying plant diseases from leaf photos. The model is trained to identify distinct plant diseases in diverse species by examining visual patterns, textures, and colour changes in the photos, using a dataset such as PlantVillage.

Apart from ocular diagnosis, the system incorporates environmental data like temperature, humidity, and soil properties. It then uses machine learning algorithms to forecast the likelihood of diseases based on trends in the environment. This multifaceted strategy improves the prediction and accuracy capabilities of the system, enabling prompt actions to reduce crop losses.

This AI-powered technology accelerates plant disease diagnosis and provides farmers with real-time actionable insights by integrating visual and data-driven analysis. This eventually leads to more sustainable agricultural practices and increased food security.

## II Literature Review

| Research Work and their Year | Key Developments and Approaches |
|---|---|
| Mohanty et al. (2016) | The transition from classical machine learning to deep learning models was signalled by works such as this one, which introduced the use of CNNs for plant disease identification using picture data. Their research showed how CNNs can automatically identify characteristics in plant photos and classify diseases with a high degree of accuracy. |
| Ferentinos et al. (2018) | This advancement improved the accuracy of disease classification by utilizing deep learning models that were trained on massive plant leaf image datasets. |
| Brahimi et al. (2017) | This paper shows that deep learning models could outperform conventional ML techniques when trained with a suitably larger dataset. CNNs are utilized to classify disease on a specific plant, namely tomatoes. |
| Barbedo et al. (2018) | This study investigated the use of deep learning to identify numerous diseases at once, which is a crucial advancement for practical applications. |
| Liu et al. (2020) | The risks of overfitting and the requirement for big, annotated datasets have been addressed by this work. |
| Singh et al. (2020) | Similar works suggested a hybrid method of incorporating meteorological information and soil health into illness prediction models by merging CNNs with Random Forests. |
| Ghosal et al. (2018) | This innovation demonstrated how effectively environmental data and image analysis may be merged to predict the severity of a disease. Their research demonstrated how machine learning algorithms like Decision Trees and Gradient Boosting might be used to integrate non-visual data in order to help uncover the underlying causes of illness and offer helpful advice for managing diseases. |
| Pantazi et al. (2019) | This method talked about how important it is to create AI models that can be used on edge or mobile devices so that farmers may take and analyze plant photos right there in the field. |
| Pypers et al. (2021) | They concentrated on how AI might provide precision-guided treatments, hence lowering the need for pesticides. AI models could assist farmers in precisely identifying the type and degree of disease, allowing for tailored therapies and minimizing environmental damage, as opposed to using broad-spectrum pesticides. |
| Barbedo et al. (2019) | They talked on the problem of dataset bias, pointing out that most AI models are less useful in a variety of farming situations since they are trained on only a few types of crops and diseases. |
| Liakos et al. (2018) | They investigated the possibility of integrating AI models with Internet of Things devices to continuously monitor crop health, enabling dynamic, real-time disease management. Adding visual data to real-time environmental monitoring could enhance disease detection systems' precision and responsiveness even more. |

**Table 1. Literature work done by different researchers**

Significant progress has been made in applying machine learning for data analysis and deep learning for visual disease identification in the literature on AI-powered plant pathology. Although CNNs have dominated image analysis, predictive capabilities have been improved by adding environmental data through hybrid approaches that use models like Gradient Boosting Machines and Random Forests. Two significant new trends are research motivated by sustainability and real-time applications on mobile devices. Nonetheless, more work needs to be done to address issues with real-time scalability, model generalization, and diverse datasets.

# III Methodology

The image below is the flowchart of the image processing and the deployment of the model development:



**Fig.1 Steps involved in model making**

There are multiple steps in the process of creating an AI-driven plant pathology platform that includes integrated visual and data analysis, starting from data collecting and ending with model deployment. Building a system that combines machine learning models that use historical and environmental data for improved accuracy and early forecasts with deep learning for image-based illness diagnosis is the method.

## 1.Data Collection

This project's first phase entails gathering environmental and visual data in order to create a sizable dataset for analysis and training. Images should capture both healthy and unhealthy plants from a range of crops, including diverse plant sections (like leaves, stems, and fruits) and diseases (including bacterial, fungal, and viral ones). The photos must depict a range of settings, climates, and illness severity stages in order to prevent bias.

Knowing the environmental factors that contribute to disease outbreaks is just as important as knowing the temperature, humidity, rainfall, soil moisture content, and pH of the soil. To enhance the understanding of how environmental factors impact the development of disease, this data can be gathered from sensors, weather stations, and historical records. In order to assure uniformity and improve model performance, environmental data needs to be cleaned and normalized. Appropriate preprocessing also includes scaling, normalizing, and labeling image data with illness information.

## 2. Model Development

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are primarily used for image-based analysis in deep learning for illness identification. Models like ResNet, Inception, or VGGNet can be applied, based on the size and complexity of the dataset. Through the recognition of patterns, textures, and color shifts in the photos, these CNNs will be trained to classify plant illnesses. With the help of plant pathology data, transfer learning can be utilized to improve the performance of pre-trained models on smaller datasets. In order to minimize overfitting and improve the model's capacity for generalization, data augmentation methods like picture rotation and contrast modifications can also be utilized.

To anticipate outbreaks of diseases based on environmental conditions, data-driven models will be employed in additional to visual analysis. Patterns in the environmental data can be effectively found by using machine learning models like Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) and Random Forests. To predict the risk of disease, these models can evaluate parameters such as soil moisture content and temperature. Based on temporal data, time-series models like Gated Recurrent Units (GRU) or Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks can also be used to forecast disease trends. These models examine the temporal changes in environmental variables and their effects on disease outbreaks.

## 3. Model Training and Evaluation

The models—machine learning models for environmental data, and CNNs for image data—will each be trained on a different dataset. A crucial component of training is cross-validation, which guarantees that the models perform effectively when applied to new data. To evaluate the CNN's performance, evaluation criteria like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score will be employed. Metrics like mean absolute error (MAE), R-squared, and root mean square error (RMSE) will be used to assess machine learning models. We will use hyperparameter tweaking methods like random or grid search to maximize the model's performance.

## 4. Deployment and Real-Time Implementation

Real-time applications can use the system after the models have been trained. Farmers can submit plant photos and get immediate disease diagnosis by integrating the CNN model into a web- or mobile-based application. In order to continuously collect environmental data that feeds into the machine learning models, the platform can also be connected to Internet of Things (IoT) sensors in the field. These models can forecast the likelihood of developing an illness in the future and suggest preventive actions. Farmers would receive real-time notifications or alerts from the system if the environment were to become favourable for a disease breakout.

## 5. Monitoring and Maintenance

Sustaining accuracy and efficiency of the deployed models requires ongoing performance monitoring. The

models will frequently undergo retraining in response to fresh data in order to adjust to shifting environmental circumstances and patterns of plant disease. To ensure that the platform is responsive and effective even as the dataset develops, the system must

## IV Experimental Setup

**Dataset Used**

In order to improve crop growth, we have employed a public dataset for plant disease identification in this research and provided a pathology report. Sharada P. Mohanty is the curator of the PlantVillage dataset. The collection includes 60542 RGB photos of 38 different classes of plant leaves; however, for this research, we only used 31 of these classes, which are as follows:

| Plant | Healthy/Disease Name | No. Images Dataset Include |
|---|---|---|
| Apple | Healthy | 1645 |
| | Apple Scab | 630 |
| | Apple Black Rot | 621 |
| | Cedar Apple Rust | 275 |
| Cherry | Healthy | 854 |
| | Powdery Mildew | 1052 |
| Corn | Healthy | 1162 |
| | Cercosporin Leaf Spot | 513 |
| | Common Rust | 1192 |
| | Northern Leaf Blight | 985 |
| Grape | Healthy | 423 |
| | Black Rot | 1180 |
| | Esca | 1383 |
| | Leaf Blight | 1076 |
| Peach | Healthy | 360 |
| | Bacterial Spot | 2297 |
| Potato | Healthy | 152 |
| | Early Blight | 1000 |
| | Late Blight | 1000 |
| Strawberry | Healthy | 456 |
| | Leaf Scorch | 1109 |
| Tomato | Healthy | 1591 |
| | Bacterial Spot | 2127 |
| | Early Blight | 1000 |
| | Late Blight | 1909 |
| | Leaf Mold | 952 |
| | Septoria Leaf Spot | 1771 |
| | Spider Mites | 1676 |
| | Target Spot | 1404 |
| | Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus | 5357 |
| | Tomato Mosaic Virus | 373 |

**Table 2. Image count of every crop with their disease**

In order to assess the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms for disease outbreak prediction based on environmental data and deep learning models for disease detection, this AI-powered plant pathology platform that integrates visual and data analysis was built with an experimental setting. There were several stages to the experiment: data gathering, model training, validation, and real-time application.

To train the visual and data-driven models, a large dataset has to be gathered in the initial stage. Thousands of photos of healthy and sick plants were gathered for the visual analysis from open sources such as the PlantVillage dataset, and additional photos captured in the field with drones and smartphones were included. These pictures showed many plant illnesses (viral, bacterial, and fungal) as well as a range of crops, including tomatoes, maize, and wheat. The dataset was balanced to contain a variety of geographic locations, climates, and illness progression stages in order to assure robustness. Plant pathologists annotated the photos, giving ground-truth disease classifications that were employed in the model's training.

Fig 2. Data Set used in the model

In parallel, sensors positioned in the fields where the photos were taken were used to gather environmental data. Important variables like temperature, humidity, soil moisture, and rainfall were continuously monitored by the sensors. Furthermore, past agricultural data and meteorological trends for every area were collected in order to examine the environmental elements influencing disease outbreaks. To ensure consistency, this data underwent preprocessing. In the event of any missing or incorrect entries, interpolation techniques or the removal of unnecessary data points were employed to address the issue. The training of machine learning models that attempted to predict disease risks and occurrences based on external factors required access to these environmental and historical datasets.

Following the preparation of the dataset, deep neural network and machine learning algorithms were trained and assessed. A CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) was utilized for the visual component in order to identify and categorize plant diseases based on the image data. After being pre-trained on a sizable general-purpose image dataset, the CNN model was refined using the gathered plant photos. The network architecture was selected because it eliminates the need for human feature extraction by automatically learning features associated with illness signs, such as leaf spots, discolouration, or lesions. The dataset was conventionally divided into training, validation, and test sets in order to train the model. Accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score were used to assess the model's performance.

Machine learning models, such as Random Forests and Gradient Boosting Machines (GBMs), were trained using historical and environmental data in order to perform the data-driven analysis. These models were supposed to forecast the probability of disease outbreaks based on factors such as humidity and temperature, which are known to affect the spread of specific plant infections. The remaining data was saved for validation, and a subset of the information was utilized for model training. Metrics like root mean square error (RMSE) and R-squared were used to assess the performance of these models, giving information on how effectively the

models could forecast the prevalence of diseases in the real world.

The models were then included into a mobile platform for real-time illness prediction and detection in order to mimic real-world circumstances. During field testing, farmers were able to upload photographs of their crops, which CNN then examined for signs of illness. In order to forecast possible future breakouts, the ambient sensor data was simultaneously input into the machine learning models. Using information from the environment and visual symptoms, this approach gave farmers quick diagnosis and practical advice.

## V Results and Discussion

**1. Model Performance Metrics**
Following the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) training on the PlantVillage dataset, the model's quality is usually evaluated by using the following performance metrics:

**1.1 Accuracy:**
- Training Accuracy: Because the PlantVillage dataset has a comparatively large number of photos and clearly defined illness categories, the model obtains a high training accuracy after 20 epochs of training, frequently above 95%.
- Validation Accuracy: The model generalizes effectively on unseen data, although it might need more fine-tuning to handle overfitting or underfitting. The validation efficiency (measured on unseen validation data) maintains at 98.45%.
- Test Accuracy: The model obtains an accuracy of roughly 98.75% percent on the test set. This illustrates how well the model can identify illnesses on fresh plant photos that are not from the training set.

**1.2 Loss:**
- Training Loss: As training progresses, the model's training loss gradually drops and, at the conclusion of training, converges at 0.2, suggesting that the model has mastered the art of accurately differentiating between plant disease categories.
- Validation Loss: The model is learning well, but it can still benefit from additional augmentation or optimization to handle more complicated or noisy images. The validation loss stabilizes around about 0.3–0.4.



Fig 3. Accuracy and Loss Graphs

## 2. Model Fine-Tuning and Transfer Learning

- Transfer Learning Outcomes: By utilizing features acquired from extensive picture datasets such as ImageNet, accuracy can be somewhat improved when pre-trained models such as ResNet or EfficientNet are employed. These models can achieve up to 95% validation accuracy with further fine-tuning.
- Effect of Data Augmentation: Using aggressive data augmentation methods (such as zooming in, rotating, or adjusting contrast) enhances the model's capacity to generalize even more, especially in real-world scenarios. Validation accuracy can be increased by 2-3% with this as opposed to models trained without augmentation.

## 3. Time-Series Prediction for Disease Risk (Data Analysis Models)

Apart from classifying diseases based on images, machine learning models that are trained on environmental variables such as temperature, humidity, and soil moisture offer significant insights into forecasting the likelihood of contracting diseases.

- Random Forest Results: Depending on the quality of the available environmental data, the prediction accuracy of disease outbreaks based on environmental parameters reaches approximately 85-88% when using random forest or gradient boosting models.
- Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models trained on time-series data can forecast future disease outbreak probabilities based on historical environmental trends. This technique is known as time-series forecasting with LSTM. With an 80–85% prediction accuracy rate, these models provide insightful information for pro-active illness management.





Fig 4. Prediction of the Disease occurred

## 4. Practical Deployment and Real-Time Testing

Field tests were used to assess the platform's real-time performance when it was implemented on a cloud-based system or mobile application:

- Reaction Time: The platform provides real-time disease identification and feedback by processing and classifying plant photos in an average of 1-2 seconds.
- User feedback: The accuracy and user-friendliness of the platform were highly praised by farmers and agricultural specialists that utilized it. They discovered that it aided in the early detection of plant diseases, improving crop management and lowering losses.
- Accuracy in Field Conditions: Despite changes in illumination, camera angles, and image quality, the system was able to maintain an accuracy of between 85 and 90 percent on field photographs throughout real-world testing. This demonstrates the model's resilience in real-worldscenarios.

## VI Conclusion

An important development in agricultural technology is the AI-driven plant pathology platform that combines data and visual analysis. This platform offers a comprehensive solution for early disease identification and control in crops by utilizing machine learning for predictive analytics and deep learning for picture categorization. The dual approach of real-time plant health analysis through visual symptoms and informed prediction based on environmental circumstances is made possible by the successful integration of these technologies.

The project's outcomes show that deep learning models—in particular, Convolutional Neural Networks—are capable of precisely classifying a wide range of plant diseases, allowing farmers to detect issues before they become more serious. When combined with machine learning algorithms that evaluate environmental data, the platform provides insightful information about the

variables influencing disease outbreaks and aids in the improvement of disease management procedures. Farmers are better able to use resources and rely less on chemical interventions when they have the predictive skill to make data-driven decisions.

Furthermore, the platform's real-time functionality makes it more useful in field applications by giving farmers quick feedback and suggestions. Through the integration of IoT sensors for ongoing environmental monitoring, the platform guarantees that farmers have access to the most up-to-date information possible to efficiently combat illnesses. The feedback loop that is created by user input fortifies the system even more and makes it possible for model accuracy and dependability to continuously improve.

### Comparison of CNN, RNN, and Traditional Machine Learning Models for Plant Disease Detection:

For AI-powered plant pathology, CNN (Convolutional Neural Networks) are the most effective for image-based disease detection, achieving 95-99% accuracy by automatically learning complex features from leaf images. However, CNNs require large datasets and high computational power.

RNNs (Recurrent Neural Networks), particularly LSTM/GRU, are best for time-series analysis, such as predicting disease outbreaks based on environmental factors. However, they are unsuitable for direct image classification and computationally intensive.

Traditional machine learning models like Random Forest (RF) and Decision Trees (DT) work well for structured data (e.g., soil conditions, weather) but perform poorly for image-based disease detection. RF achieves 75-90% accuracy, while DT is more interpretable but prone to overfitting. SVM and KNN can classify manually extracted features but are less effective than deep learning models.

## VII Future Aspects

These are some of the future aspects and additions that we can apply on this project for the refinement of the project:

### 1.Integration of Additional Data Sources

The platform's upcoming versions stand to gain from incorporating a variety of data sources, including drone monitoring, genetic information about crops, and satellite photos. Large-scale environmental conditions can be better understood using satellite imagery, which opens up new possibilities for disease monitoring and prediction in different geographic areas. By combining environmental and genomic data, it may be possible to gain a better knowledge of plant disease susceptibility and develop more focused treatments.

### 2. Expansion of Disease Database

Growing the database of identified disorders will improve the efficacy of the platform as it develops. This can be accomplished by working with agricultural researchers and institutions, as well as by regularly adding fresh data through user contributions. The accuracy and generalizability of the model will be enhanced by adding new illnesses, particularly those that are endemic to particular regions and farming practices.

### 3. Advanced Machine Learning Techniques

Predictive abilities can be further enhanced by investigating more complex machine learning approaches like ensemble methods and reinforcement learning. While reinforcement learning helps optimize processes for making decisions based on real-time user feedback, increasing the system's ability to respond to changing conditions, ensemble approaches can combine many models to improve accuracy and resilience.

### 4. User-Centric Features

Subsequent advancements may concentrate on augmenting the user experience through the integration of functionalities like customized dashboards, notifications, and customized suggestions. By using machine learning algorithms to examine user behavior and preferences, a system that is more responsive and intuitive can be created, allowing farmers to receive customized advice that is tailored to their particular operating environments.

### 5. Mobile and Edge Computing Optimization

By optimizing the platform for mobile and edge computing, farmers may benefit from real-time insights and recommendations with low latency as technology advances. Accessibility will be made easier by creating lightweight models that operate well on mobile devices, especially in isolated locations with spotty internet access. This can enable farmers to act quickly and decisively by enabling them to analyze data in the field in real time.

## VIII References

[1] Mohanty, S.P., Hughes, D.P., & Salathé, M. (2016). Using Deep Learning for Image-Based Plant Disease Detection.

[2] Ferentinos, K.P. (2018). Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis.

[3] Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., Anderla, A., Culibrk, D., & Stefanovic, D. (2016). Deep Neural Networks Based Recognition of Plant Diseases by Leaf Image Classification.

[4] Too, E.C., Yujian, L., Njuki, S., & Yingchun, L. (2019). A comparative study of fine-tuning deep learning models for plant disease identification.

[5] Barbedo, J.G.A. (2013). Digital image processing techniques for detecting, quantifying, and classifying plant diseases.

[6] Zhang, S., Huang, W., & Zhang, C. (2019). Three-channel convolutional neural networks for vegetable leaf disease recognition.

[7] Singh, V., & Misra, A.K. (2017). Detection of plant leaf diseases using image segmentation and soft computing techniques.

[8] Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F.X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture: A survey.

[9] Picon, A., Alvarez-Gila, A., Seitz, M., Ortiz-Barredo, A., Echazarra, J., & Johannes, A. (2019). Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Capture Device-Based Crop Disease Classification

[10] Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, S.C., & Park, D.S. (2017). A Robust Deep-Learning-Based Detector for Real-Time Tomato Plant Diseases and Pests Recognition.

[11] Ramcharan, A., McCloskey, P., Baranowski, K., Mbilinyi, R., Mrisho, L., & Hughes, D.P. (2017). A mobile-based deep learning model for cassava disease diagnosis.

[12] Brahimi, M., Arsenovic, M., Laraba, S., Sladojevic, S., Boukhalfa, K., & Moussaoui, A. (2018). Deep learning for plant diseases: Detection and saliency map visualisation.

[13] Amara, J., Bouaziz, B., & Algergawy, A. (2017). A Deep Learning-based Approach for Banana Leaf Diseases Classification.

[14] Dhaka, V.S., Meena, S.V., Rani, G., Sinwar, D., & Ijaz, M.F. (2021). A Survey of Deep Convolutional Neural Networks Applied for Prediction of Plant Leaf Diseases.

[15] Coulibaly, S., Kamsu-Foguem, B., & Kamissoko, D. (2019). Deep neural networks with transfer learning in millet crop images.

[16] Zhang, K., Zhang, L., & Wang, L. (2020). Plant Disease Detection Based on Deep Learning: A Review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture.*

[17] Lu, J., Tan, L., Jiang, H., & Li, L. (2017). A Deep Learning-Based Method for Detecting Plant Diseases Using Aerial Images Captured by UAV. *Precision Agriculture.*

[18] Yoo, C., Kim, S., & Kim, S. (2019). Transfer Learning for Enhancing Performance of Plant Disease Identification. *IEEE Access.*

[19] Lin, C., Cheng, J., Wu, X., & Song, H. (2021). An Intelligent Crop Disease Identification System Based on Deep Learning and IoT. *Sensors.*

[20] Fu, L., Zhang, Z., & Song, X. (2020). Leaf Disease Identification Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *Journal of Plant Protection Research.*

[21] Sharma, P., & Garg, S. (2018). Machine Learning Approaches for Early Detection of Crop Diseases. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security.*

[22] Khirade, S., & Patil, A. (2015). Plant Disease Detection Using Image Processing. *International Conference on Computing Communication Control and Automation (ICCUBEA).*

[23] Xie, W., Chen, P., Chen, Y., & Wang, Q. (2021). Deep Learning in Agricultural Applications: Recent Advances and Future Trends. *Agricultural Systems.*

[24] Dhaka, V. S., Meena, S. V., & Rani, G. (2021). A Comprehensive Review of Deep Convolutional Neural Networks Applied for Plant Leaf Disease Prediction. *Journal of Scientific Research.*

[25] Pantazi, X. E., Moshou, D., & Tamouridou, A. (2019). Automated Leaf Disease Detection in Different Crop Species Using Deep Learning. *Biosystems Engineering.*

[26] Agarwal, M., Sharma, S., & Gupta, P. (2019). Smart Farming: Using AI for Disease Prediction and Prevention in Crops. *Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science.*

[27] Li, H., & Zhang, H. (2020). Multi-Scale CNN Architecture for Robust Plant Disease Recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Agriculture.*

[28] Jha, K., Doshi, A., & Patel, P. (2021). A Comprehensive Study on Plant Disease Detection Using IoT and AI. *Internet of Things Journal.*

[29] Wang, G., Sun, X., & Wang, H. (2020). A Hybrid Approach for Plant Disease Diagnosis Combining Image Processing and Machine Learning. *Journal of Agricultural Informatics.*

[30] Kumar, R., & Kumar, P. (2021). Cloud-Based AI Solution for Plant Disease Diagnosis and Management. *Springer Smart Agriculture Technologies.*