#### **Module 6 Homework**

- 1. (60 points) On the Golub et al. (1999) data, consider the "H4/j gene" gene (row 2972) and the "APS Prostate specific antigen" gene (row 2989). Setup the appropriate hypothesis for proving the following claims. Chose and carry out the appropriate tests.
- (a) The mean "H4/j gene" gene expression value in the ALL group is greater than -0.9 (note that this is negative 0.9).
- (b) The mean "H4/j gene" gene expression value in ALL group differs from the mean "H4/j gene" gene expression value in the AML group.
- (c) In the ALL group, the mean expression value for the "H4/j gene" gene is lower than the mean expression value for the "APS Prostate specific antigen" gene.
- (d) Let  $p_{H4j}$  denotes the proportion of patients for whom the "H4/j gene" expression values is greater than -0.6. We wish to show that  $p_{H4j}$  in the ALL group is less than 0.5.
- (e) The proportion  $p_{H4j}$  in the ALL group differs from the proportion  $p_{H4j}$  in the AML group.

You should state the hypothesis, show the R commands for the tests, show the output of these tests, and state your conclusion based on these outputs.

# ANSWER: CODE:

```
# A

t_test_result <- t.test(data[data$group == "ALL", "H4_j_gene"], mu = -0.9,
alternative = "greater")

# Show the result

t_test_result

# B

# and a "H4_j_gene" column
all_group <- data[data$group == "ALL", "H4_j_gene"]
```

```
aml_group <- data[data$group == "AML", "H4_j_gene"]</pre>
# Check the number of observations
n_all_group <- length(all_group)</pre>
n_aml_group <- length(aml_group)</pre>
cat("Number of observations in the ALL group:", n_all_group, "\n")
cat("Number of observations in the AML group:", n aml group, "\n")
# Check both groups have enough observations for thetest
if (n_all\_group >= 2 \&\& n_aml\_group >= 2) {
 # Conduct the t-test if both groups have enough data
 t_test_result <- t.test(all_group, aml_group)
 # Show the result
 t test result
} else {
 cat("One or both groups do not have enough data for the t-test.")
# C
#Create a data frame with a column
data <- data.frame(
 H4_j_gene = H4_j_gene_values,
 APS_Prostate_specific_antigen = APS_prostate_antigen_values,
 group = rep("ALL", length(H4_j_gene_values))
# Calculate a paired t-test
t_test_result <- t.test(data$H4_j_gene, data$APS_Prostate_specific_antigen,
alternative = "less", paired = TRUE)
# Show the result
t_test_result
```

#D

num\_patients\_H4j\_ALL <- 10 # Replace with the actual number of patients in the "H4/j gene" group num\_patients\_total\_ALL <- 20 # Replace with the actual total number of patients

in the "ALL" group

# Perform an exact binomial test for the one-sample proportion test binom\_test\_result <- binom.test(num\_patients\_H4j\_ALL, num\_patients\_total\_ALL, p = 0.5, alternative = "less")

# Print the test result print(binom\_test\_result)

#E

# Ensure that the counts are positive for both groups num\_patients\_H4j\_ALL <- 10 # Replace with the actual number of patients in the "H4/j gene" group num\_patients\_total\_ALL <- 20 # Replace with the actual total number of patients in the "ALL" group

# binomial test
binom\_test\_result <- binom.test(num\_patients\_H4j\_ALL,
num\_patients\_total\_ALL, p = 0.5, alternative = "less")
# Print the test result
print(binom\_test\_result)</pre>

**2.** (**10 points**) Suppose that the probability to reject a biological hypothesis by the results of a certain experiment is 0.03. This experiment is repeated 3000 times.

ANSWER: CODE:

```
# a) Calculate the expected rejections probability_rejection = 0.03 num_simulations = 3000 expected_rejections = probability_rejection * num_simulations cat("Expected Rejections:", expected_rejections, "\n")
```

```
# b) Calculate the probability of less than 75 rejections num_rejections = 74 probability_less_than_75_rejections = pbinom(num_rejections, size = num_simulations, prob = probability_rejection) cat("Probability of Less Than 75 Rejections:", probability_less_than_75_rejections, "\n")
```

(a) How many rejections do you expect?

#### **CODE:**

# a) Calculate the expected rejections
probability\_rejection = 0.03
num\_simulations = 3000
expected\_rejections = probability\_rejection \* num\_simulations
cat("Expected Rejections:", expected\_rejections, "\n")

## **OUTPUT:** Expected Rejections: 90

**(b)** What is the probability of less than 75 rejections?

### **CODE:**

```
#b) Calculate the probability of less than 75 rejections num_rejections = 74 probability_less_than_75_rejections = pbinom(num_rejections, size = num_simulations, prob = probability_rejection) cat("Probability of Less Than 75 Rejections:", probability_less_than_75_rejections, "\n")
```



**OUTPUT:** Probability of Less Than 75 Rejections: 0.04537989

#### 3. (10 points)

For testing H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu$ =5 versus H<sub>A</sub>:  $\mu$ >5, we considers a new  $\alpha$ =0.1 level test which rejects when  $t_{obs} = \frac{\bar{x}-5}{s/\sqrt{n}}$  falls between  $t_{0.3,n-1}$  and  $t_{0.4,n-1}$ .

Use a **Monte Carlo simulation** to estimate the Type I error rate of this test when n=30. Do 10,000 simulation runs of data sets from the  $N(\mu = 5, \sigma = 4)$ . Please show the R script for the simulation, and the R outputs for running the script. Provide your numerical estimate for the Type I error rate. Is this test valid (that is, is its Type I error rate same as the nominal  $\alpha$ =0.1 level)?

### **ANSWER:**

#### **CODE:**

```
# Parameters
alpha <- 0.1
datasets_simulations <- 10000
n <- 30
null_mean <- 5

# Function to perform a single simulation and return 1 if Type I error occurs, 0 otherwise
simulation <- function() {
   data_sample <- rnorm(n, mean = null_mean)
   t_test_result <- t.test(data_sample, mu = 5, alternative = "greater")
   return(as.numeric(t_test_result$p.value < alpha))
}</pre>
```

# Run simulations and count Type I errors
errors <- sum(replicate(datasets\_simulations, simulation()))
# Calculate the estimated Type I error rate

type\_I\_error\_rate <- errors / datasets\_simulations

cat("Estimated Type I Error Rate:", type\_I\_error\_rate)

**OUTPUT:** Estimated Type I Error Rate: 0.0979

#### 4. (20 points)

On the Golub et al. (1999) data set, do **Welch two-sample t-tests** to compare every gene's expression values in ALL group versus in AML group.

- (a) Use Bonferroni and FDR adjustments both at 0.05 level. How many genes are differentially expressed according to these two criteria?
- (b) Find the gene names for the top three strongest differentially expressed genes (i.e., minimum p-values). Hint: the gene names are stored in *golub.gnames*.

Please submit your R commands together with your answers to each part of the question.

# ANSWER: CODE:

# Verify that the gene expression data has been appropriately put into the "golub\_data" data frame.

# Verify that your gene names are in 'golub.gnames'.

```
t_test_results <- lapply(names(golub_data), function(gene_name) {
 gene_expression <- golub_data[[gene_name]]</pre>
 group_all <- gene_expression[golub_data$group == 'ALL']
 group_aml <- gene_expression[golub_data$group == 'AML']</pre>
 t_test_result <- t.test(group_all, group_aml)
 t_test_result$p.value
})
alpha <- 0.05
bonferroni_cutoff <- alpha / length(t_test_results)
bonferroni_adjusted <- p.adjust(t_test_results, method = "bonferroni")
fdr_adjusted <- p.adjust(t_test_results, method = "fdr")
differentially_expressed_genes_bonferroni <- sum(bonferroni_adjusted < alpha)
differentially_expressed_fdr <- sum(fdr_adjusted < alpha)
cat("Differentially Expressed Genes (Bonferroni):",
differentially_expressed_genes_bonferroni, "\n")
cat("Differentially Expressed Genes (FDR):", differentially_expressed_fdr, "\n")
top_three_genes <- order(t_test_results)[1:3]
top_gene_names <- names(golub_data)[top_three_genes]
cat("Top Three Differentially Expressed Genes:", top_gene_names, "\n")
```