Problems

a. States: S= { S, 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, W}

Transition matrix:

b) Reward function: R(S) = -1 # for S = 251, 3, 5, 6, 7, 83 R(W) = 0

Dissount factor: r = 1

$$V = (I - P)^{T}R \qquad \text{where } R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V(S) = \begin{bmatrix} -7.0833 \\ -6.9999 \\ -6.666 \\ V(S) \end{bmatrix} -6.666$$

$$V(S) = \begin{bmatrix} -6.666 \\ -6.666 \\ -5.33 \\ V(W) \end{bmatrix}$$

We don't consider the states 2, 4 and 9 as they are equivalent the agent does not step over turn and directly moves to 7,8 and 3 respectively. So they are equivalent

The negative value functions of the slates represent the expected no. of die throws / steps on average required to reach the goal slate starting from them.

30) State space: Number of presenting working
$$S = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N\}$$

 $P_{SS'} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

 $P_{SS}^{ao} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{S+1} & S > N-S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Rewards: m' marhines vorleing =
$$9 \text{ m}$$

Call a sepair man = $-\frac{N}{2}$

Call a repair man =
$$-\frac{N}{2}$$
\$

Transition probabilities:

s'≤ s othermise

Since it is an infinite horizon setting, to avoid infinite returns we use discounted setting for the above MDP.

$$TT(S) = a_1; N = 5$$

$$V = R + VPV$$

$$V = R + PV$$

$$V = (I - P)^{T}R$$

$$\begin{cases} V(0) \\ V(1) \\ V(2) \\ V(3) \\ V(3) \\ V(5) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} I - \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} V(0) \\ V(1) \\ V(1) \\ V(2) \\ V(3) \\ V(3) \\ V(3) \\ V(4) \\ V(5) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} I - \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} V(0) \\ V(1) \\ V(2) \\ V(3) \\ V(3) \\ V(3) \\ V(5) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} I - \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 & 1/6 \\ 1/6 &$$

on solving, we get $= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$

4) Policy iteration
$$\frac{3}{7}$$
 $T_0(s) = a_1 + s$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\Rightarrow & S = 0 \\
& \sqrt[3]{5} \\
& \sqrt[3]{5} &$$

$$g^{T}(0,a_0) > g V^{T}(0) = a_0$$

$$S = \frac{3}{9} \sqrt{3}, a_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3-5}{2} + \sqrt{10}(5) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3-5}{2} + \sqrt{10}(3) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3-5}{2} +$$

b) Since $V^{T/3}(S) \ge V^{T/1}(S)$ & $V^{T/3}(S) \ge V^{T/2}(S)$ $\forall S \in S$ By partial T/3 is the best policy among all policies ordering ordering $T/3 \ge T/2$ Over policies $T/3 \ge T/3$

Not all policies are comparable. A policy is better than another only if its value function for all states is greater (or equal) than to that of the other policy. Policies $\Pi_1 \ \xi \ \Pi_2 \ \text{are not comparable as}$ $V^{\Pi_1}(B) > V^{\Pi_2}(B)$ but $V^{\Pi_2}(C) < V^{\Pi_2}(C)$

We can construct a policy TT3 better than two given policies TT, and TT2 Horan MOP, if they are not already optimal.

WLOQ - let us start policy iteration from the policy TT1

And iterate as long as we do not reach a policy TT3>TT2

We can As in policy iteration, policy at next iteration is at least as good as the current policy, we can say than T3) TT1

Also as there always exists an optimal policy for an MPP, we can be suce of finding a TT3>TT2 (or at least as good as if TT2 is Itsey optimal)

Aphlem 4 8 - low CASE I: n - low (or) zero As I is low, the agent is myopic - concerned only with immediate sewards. As the noise is also low, environment is predictable. The agent thus takes the close exit and risks the clift r-low Case I : n - high As is low, the agent is myopic - concerned only with imm. sewards - thus prefers the close ent with low reward As the environment is noisy, highly unpredictable, it does not prefer going near the clift. Thus RI agent takes - close exit but awaids the clift. Case III: r - high r is high - the agent is far signted, considers future rewards strongly - thus prefers the distant enit with high pas reward As environment is: As noise is low, env is predictable - it Thus RI agent takes - Distant exit and gustes the clift. can risk going through the diff. r: high r is high-agent is far signted, considers future remands strongly-As envis noing, highly unpredictable - it cannot risk going towards prefers distant enit which has a high reward. Thus RL agent takes - distant exit but avoids the diff

$$\begin{array}{lll}
5 \text{ a)} & 9 & R^{T_3} = R^{T_1} + R^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_2} & (I - r p)^{T} R^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_2} & (I - r p)^{T} R^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_3} & (I - r p)^{T} (R^{T_1} + R^{T_2}) & = V_{\mu}^{T_2} V^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_3} & (I - r p)^{T} (R^{T_1} + R^{T_2}) & = V_{\mu}^{T_2} V^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_3} & (I - r p)^{T} (R^{T_1} + R^{T_2}) & = V_{\mu}^{T_3} V^{T_2} \\
V_{\mu}^{T_3} & (I - r p)^{T} (R^{T_1} + r p)^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_2} V^{T_2} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_2} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_3} V^{T_4} V^{T_2} V^{T_2} V^{T_3} V^{T_4} V^{T_4} V^{T_5} V^{$$

b) No. We cannot obtain an optimal policy for M3 using the optimal policies for M, and M2

The reward function being the sum of the other two doesn't guarantee an optimal action for M3 which can be obtained from the optimal policies of M1 & M2

The san optimal policy to M1 and M2

$$V_{1}^{TT}(s) = \max_{\alpha} Q_{1}^{TT}(s, \alpha)$$

$$V_{2}^{TT}(s) = \max_{\alpha} Q_{2}^{TT}(s, \alpha)$$

$$V_{3}^{TT}(s) = \max_{\alpha} Q_{2}^{TT}(s, \alpha)$$

$$V_{4}^{TT}(s) = \min_{\alpha} Q_{2}^{TT}(s, \alpha)$$

$$V_{4}^{TT}(s) = \min_{\alpha} Q_{2}^{TT}(s, \alpha)$$

$$V_{4}^{TT}(s) = \min_{\alpha} Q_{2}^{TT}(s)$$

$$V_{4}^{TT}(s) = \min_{$$

 $V_1 = V_2 + \frac{\epsilon \vec{k}}{1 - r p} \hbar$