

ASSIGNMENT NO. 1

Q1. Prove or disprove the following questions on asymptotic notation.

a. Prove or disprove that $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ where $f(n) = 64n^2$ & $g(n) = n^4$

→ We have a Big Theta $\Theta()$ Notation

$$\Theta(g(n)) = \{ f(n) \mid 0 \leq c_2 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n), \forall n \geq n_0, \exists (c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0, n_0 > 0) \}$$

To prove $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ we need to find explicit values of c_1, c_2 & n_0

For upper bound, $f(n) = 64n^2$ & $g(n) = n^4$

$$\therefore f(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n)$$

$$64n^2 \leq c_1 \cdot n^4$$

Divide both the sides by n^2

$$64 \leq c_1 \cdot n^2$$

Let's consider $n=1$

$$64 \leq n^2$$

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{8 \leq n}}$$

If we consider $n_0 = 10$, then the upper bound condition is satisfied as we get $8 \leq 10$, which is true.

For lower bound, $f(n) = 64n^2$ & $g(n) = n^4$

$$c_2 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n)$$

$$c_2 \cdot n^4 \leq 64n^2$$

Divide both the sides by n^2

$$c_2 \cdot n^2 \leq 64$$

Let's consider ~~n=1~~ $c_2 = 1$

$$n^2 \leq 64$$

$$\therefore n \leq 8$$

If we consider $n_0 = 10$, then the lower bound condition does not get satisfy, as we get $10 \leq 8$, which is false.

As both the conditions are not satisfied, we can say that $f(n) \notin \Theta(g(n))$.

Hence, we can say $f(n) \notin \Theta(g(n))$, we disprove it

b. Prove or disprove that $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ where $f(n) = \frac{n^2}{3} + 10n - 2$ and $g(n) = n^2$

→ We have a Big Omega Notation $\Omega()$

$$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) \mid 0 \leq c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n), \forall n \geq n_0, \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0\}$$

To prove $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ we need to find explicit values of c and n_0 , where $f(n)$ is always larger than or equal to $c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n > n_0$

$$\therefore c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n)$$

$$f(n) = \frac{n^2}{3} + 10n - 2 \text{ \& } g(n) = n^2$$

$$c \cdot n^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{3} + 10n - 2$$

Let's consider $c = 1$ and $n^2 = 10^2$

$$(1)(100)^2 \leq \frac{(10)^2}{3} + 10(10^2) - 2$$

$$10000 \leq \frac{10000}{3} + 1000 - 2$$

$$10000 \leq 4331.33$$

$$10^4 \not\leq 4331.33$$

Here, if we consider

$$c = 3 \text{ \& } n = 2$$

$$3(2)^2 \leq \frac{(2)^2}{3} + 10(2) - 2$$

$$12 \leq \frac{4}{3} + 20 - 2$$

$$12 \leq 19.33$$

Here the condition gets satisfied, but if we take n_0 as a huge number it doesn't get satisfied.

But $f(n)$ should always be greater than $c \cdot g(n)$

Hence, for $\forall n \geq n_0$ the condition is not proved

$$\therefore \frac{n^2}{3} + 10n - 2 \notin \Omega(n^2)$$

Hence, we can say that $f(n) \notin \Omega(g(n))$, so it is disproved.

- c. Prove or disprove that $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ where $f(n) = 300000n^3 + 1$ & $g(n) = n^4$.

→ We have a Big-Oh Notation $O()$

$$O(g(n)) = \{f(n) \mid 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n), \forall n \geq n_0, \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0\}$$

To prove $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, we need to find explicit values of c and n_0 , where $f(n)$ is always less than or equal to $c \cdot g(n)$, for all $n > n_0$.

$$\therefore f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

Lets consider $c = 3$ & $n = 10^{18}$

$$\therefore 300000n^3 + 1 \leq c \cdot (n^4)$$

∴ We can drop +1, as it is a small value from the function.

$$\therefore 300000n^3 \leq 3n^4$$

Divide by n^3

$$\therefore 300,000 \leq 3n \quad \text{for } n = 10^{18}$$

$$3 \times 10^5 \leq 3(10^{18})$$

∴ Hence the condition is satisfied.

$$\therefore f(n) \in O(g(n))$$

∴ $f(n)$ is bounded by $O(g(n))$, so it is proved.

- d. Prove or disprove that $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ where $f(n) = 15n^5$ and $g(n) = n^{15}$

→ Here we have a Little Oh Notation $o()$

$$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) \mid 0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n), \forall n \geq n_0, \forall c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0\}$$

Other Alternative definition is

$$\{f(n) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0\}$$

$$f(n) < c \cdot g(n) \quad \forall n > n_0$$
$$15 \cdot n^{15} < c \cdot n^{15}$$

Here for $O(g(n))$ the condition for $\forall c$ is that $\forall c > 0$.

$$\therefore c = 2 \text{ and } n = n_0 = 3$$

$$\therefore 15(3)^{15} < 2(3)^{15}$$

Here the $f(n)$ function is greater than $c \cdot g(n)$.

Hence $f(n) \notin O(g(n))$

As the condition of $f(n)$ should be less than $c \cdot g(n)$ is not satisfied, $f(n) \notin O(g(n))$, so it is disproved

c. Prove or disprove that $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ where $f(n) = n^{20} - 17$ and $g(n) = n^{16}$

→ We have a Little omega notation $\omega(g(n))$

$$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) \mid 0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n), \forall n \geq n_0, \forall c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0\}$$

$$\therefore c \cdot g(n) < f(n)$$

Here we have to prove $f(n)$ is always greater than $c \cdot g(n)$

$$c \cdot n^{16} < n^{20} - 17$$

\therefore Lets consider $c = 1$.

$$n^{16} < n^{20} - 17$$

$$17 < n^{20} - n^{16}$$

$$17 < n^4$$

If we consider $n = 5$

$$17 < (5)^4$$

Hence, condition is satisfied, $\therefore f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$

Other alternative is,
 $c = 3, n = 7$.

$$3(7)^{16} < (7)^{20} - 17$$

Either way, the condition is satisfied

As the condition is satisfied $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$, it is proved.

Q2. Find the time complexities.

a.

```
def function1(n):  
    for i in range(0, n):  
        for j in range(0, i+1):  
            print("*")  
            break  
    return
```

Solⁿ: For the above code,

The outer for loop gives a time complexity of $O(n)$

The inner for loop also gives $O(n)$ time complexity, but as there is a break statement inside the loop, the inner loop will terminate after printing just one "*" on each iteration of the outer for loop.

```
∴ def function1(n)  
    for i in range(0, n)      ... runs n times  
        for j in range(0, i+1) ... will also run  
            print("*")        n times but as there  
            break              is break statement  
    return                    so it is  $O(1)$ 
```

∴ Time complexity of the above code is $O(n)$

b.

```
def function2(n):  
    i = 1  
    while i * i * 2 <= n:  
        i = i + 1  
    return
```

The above code uses the while loop, it iterate till $i^2 \leq n$. In each iteration i is incremented by 1.

$i = 1$		def function2(n)	
		$i = 1$	$\dots i = 1$
i	$i^2 \leq n$	while $i \times i \leq n$:	
1	✓	$i = i + 1$	$\dots i$ is incremented
2	✓	return	the loop works,
3	✓		$\text{floor}(\text{sqrt}(n))$
\vdots			$i = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$

x iteration where x iteration of i will satisfy the while loop.

\therefore The loop runs ~~'x' times~~ ' x ' times, where x is the integer part of the square root of ' n '
 \therefore The time complexity = $O(\text{sqrt}(n))$

\therefore Time complexity = $O(\sqrt{n})$

c. def function3(m, n):
 while (m != n):
 if (m > n):
 m = m - n
 else:
 n = n - m
 return

Lets consider the values here

~~As its~~ If $m = 2$ and $n = 2$, the while loop won't work giving the best case as $O(1)$.

But if m, n and value are different, it gives the worst time complexity.

	m	n	m = m - n	n = n - m
if cond 1:	3	2	✓ ∴ m = 1	✗
condition 2:	2	3	✗	✓ ∴ n = 1

Either way, and for any other number, there is a constant difference in each case.

∴ The time complexity depends on m and n values.
 ∴ Time complexity = $\max(m, n)$

d. def function4(n):

i = 1	∴ i = 1
while i < n	∴ iterated and depend on i ∴ $\log_2(n)$
j = n	∴ $\log_2 n$
while (j > 0):	∴ till j reaches zero
j = j // 2	∴ i is doubled.
i = 2 * i	
return	

Solⁿ: Here the outer while loop runs till $i < n$.
 The i value gets doubled in each iteration.
 ∴ The outer while loop iterates and depends upon 'i', as it is doubled in each iteration, it is expressed in $\log(n)$

The inner while loop runs as long as j is greater than 0, j is divided by 2 at each iteration.
 It will run as long as the j value reaches zero.
 ∴ It can also be expressed in $\log_2 n$

∴ The Total Time complexity = $O(\log_2 n)$

```

e. def function5(n):
    for i in range(0, n//2):
        for j in range(1, n-(n//2)+1):
            m=1
            while m<=n:
                m*=2
    return

```

Solⁿ: The outer for loop iterates from range 0 to $n//2$
 \therefore It completely on the value of n
Hence outer for loop complexity is $O(n)$

The inner for loop iterates from range 1 to $n-(n//2)+1$
The loop runs $n/2 + 1$ times, and is completely depended on the n value. Hence the inner for loop complexity is also $O(n)$

The while loop has a condition where $m \leq n$. It will run as long as it satisfies the above condition.
The iteration depends on how many times m is doubled until it exceeds n . Which give the time complexity of the while loop as $\log_2 n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \text{Total Time Complexity} &= \text{Outer for loop} * \text{Inner for loop} * \text{while loop} \\
 &= n * n * \log_2 n \\
 &= n^2 \log_2 n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Time complexity} = O(n^2 \cdot \log_2 n)$$