Calculus I

Exercise 1

Aviv Vaknin 316017128

1

1.1

While i and ii are statements, iii isn't a statement, because we haven't received any information about x's value.

1.2

i)

Statement:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{F} \ \exists m \in \mathbb{F} \mid n = m + m$$

Negated Statement:

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{F} \ \forall m \in \mathbb{F} \mid n \neq m + m$$

ii

Statement:

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{F} \ n = m + m \rightarrow -n = -m - m$$

Negated Statement:

$$\exists m,n \in \mathbb{F} \ n=m+m \ \land \ -n \neq -m-m$$

1.3

i)

Statement:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{F} \ \exists m \in \mathbb{F} \mid n = m + m$$

Negated Statement:

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{F} \ \forall m \in \mathbb{F} \ \big| \ n \neq m+m$$

2

i is the formal representation of a field's additive inverse axiom, i.e. A4. On the other hand, ii states that in the field \mathbb{F} , there's a certain number, x, that if we'll add it to **any** other number in \mathbb{F} , we'll receive $0_{\mathbb{F}}$.

The two statements are **not** logically equal.

3

3.1 Prove $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{F} - (a-b) = (b-a)$

First, let's find (a - b)'s inverse:

$$(a-b) + x = 0$$

We'll add (b-a) to both sides of the equation:

$$(a-b) + (b-a) + x = (b-a)$$

And find the inverse:

$$x = (b - a)$$

Now, we can easily see that (a - b) and (b - a) are the inverses of each other. And due to the additive inverse axiom (A4):

$$-(a-b) = x = (b-a)$$

3.2 Prove the 'uniquness of multiplicitive inverse' property

It is given that $ab, ac = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$, and we need to prove that $b = c = a^{-1}$.

$3.2.1 \quad \underline{ab = 1_{\mathbb{F}}}$

According to the multiplicitive inverse property (M4), we can deduct:

$$b=a^{-1}$$

3.2.2 $ac = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$

Exactly as above (M4), we can deduct:

$$c=a^{-1}$$

Therefore, we can conclude:

$$b = c = a^{-1}$$

4 H is a set that satisfies all of the field axioms, $H \neq \emptyset$, $1_H = 0_H$

Prove that H contains only a single member.

Adding two 0_H should result in a 0_H , due to axiom A3:

$$0_H + 0_H = 0_H$$

However, because $1_H = 0_H$, it also means that:

$$1_H + 1_H = 0_H$$

Because of that, we can conculde that no other members exist in H, except $1_H = 0_H$

5 F is an ordered field, prove the following:

5.1 $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{F} \ 0_{\mathbb{F}} < x < y \iff 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y^{-1} < x^{-1}$

5.1.1
$$0_{\mathbb{F}} < x < y \implies 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y^{-1} < x^{-1}$$
:

It is given that:

We'll multiple both sides of the inequality by 1, using axiom M_4 :

$$xyy^{-1} < yxx^{-1}$$

It is given that x, y > 0 therefore we can divide the equation by xy:

$$y^-1 < x^-1$$

$\textbf{5.1.2} \quad \underline{0_{\mathbb{F}}} < x < y \iff 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y^{-1} < x^{-1} \textbf{:}$

It is given that:

$$y^{-1} < x^{-1}$$

We'll multiple both sides of the inequality by 1, using axiom M4:

$$y^{-1}xx^{-1} < x^{-1}yy^{-1}$$

It is given that $x^{-1}, y^{-1} > 0$ therefore we can divide the equation by $x^{-1}y^{-1}$:

 $\mathbf{5.2} \quad \underline{x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{F} \big| \ x < y, \ z \le w \implies x + z < y + w}$

It is given that:

We'll add (z + w) to both sides, according to axiom O3:

$$x + (z + w) < y + (z + w)$$

According to axiom A1, we'll rearrange the inequality:

$$(x+z) + w < (y+w) + z$$

It is given that $z \leq w$, therefore if we'll remove w from the left side, and z from the right side, the inequality should remain correct:

$$x + z < y + w$$

5.3
$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{F} \ (0_{\mathbb{F}} < xy) \iff ((x < 0_{\mathbb{F}} \land y < 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \lor (0_{\mathbb{F}} < x \land 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y))$$

5.3.1 $0_{\mathbb{F}} < xy \Longrightarrow ((x < 0_{\mathbb{F}} \land y < 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \lor (0_{\mathbb{F}} < x \land 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y))$:

Due to the ordered field's trichotomy axiom, x, y must be > 0 or < 0, it is known that xy > 0 and therefore $x, y \neq 0$ (as proven before). If x > 0:

Let's divide by x:

Else, if x < 0:

If we divide by x, the > will change to a <, as proven previously in exercise 2.5:

Therefore, we can see that if xy > 0, x, y > 0 or x, y < 0 must be true.

5.3.2 $0_{\mathbb{F}} < xy \iff ((x < 0_{\mathbb{F}} \land y < 0_{\mathbb{F}}) \lor (0_{\mathbb{F}} < x \land 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y))$:

First, let's assume that $0_{\mathbb{F}} < x \wedge 0_{\mathbb{F}} < y$:

According to ordered field's axiom 4, we can multiply both sides of the inequality by y:

Now, let's assume that $0_{\mathbb{F}} > x \wedge 0_{\mathbb{F}} > y$:

If we multiply both sides of the equation by y(which is negative), the inequality will change signs:

5.4 Prove:

$$0 < b \in \mathbb{F} \ \forall a \in \mathbb{F} \ a^2 < b^2 \Longrightarrow -b < a < b$$

Due to the ordered field's trichotomy axiom, a is one of the following:

- *a* < 0
- a = 0
- *a* > 0

Therefore, we'll need to show that the statement is true for all three.

5.4.1 a = 0:

Using the ordered field's O3 axiom, we'll subtract b from both sides:

$$b-b > -b$$

Using A3:

$$-b < 0$$

Now, according to transitivity:

$$-b < 0 = a < b$$

5.4.2 a > 0:

First, we'll need a lemma to help us demonstrate an idea.

Lemma 1.

We want to show that

$$a, b > 0$$
 $a^2 > b^2 \Longrightarrow a > b$

We'll prove that by contraposition:

$$a \le b \Longrightarrow a^2 \le b^2$$

We'll multiply the left side by b, and by a:

$$a \leq b$$

$$a^2 < a \cdot b$$

$$a \cdot b < b^2$$

Therefore, according to the transitivity axiom:

$$a^2 < b^2$$

As shown in the lemma, we know that b > a. In addition, it was proven in class that if b > 0, -b < 0. Therefore, according to transitivity:

$$-b < 0 < a < b$$

5.4.3 a < 0:

One side of the inequality is easy to show:

Now, we'll try to show that -b < a:

$$b^2 > a^2$$

We'll deduct a^2 from both sides, according to O3:

$$b^2 - a^2 > 0$$

Now, using distributivity:

$$(b+a)(b-a) > 0$$

We can see that there are two possible scenarios where this inequality is true:

$$(b+a) > 0 \land (b-a) > 0$$

$$(b+a)<0 \land (b-a)<0$$

However, because b > a, we can tell that the second scenario cannot happen, therefore:

$$b-a>0$$

$$b+a>0$$

Therefore we can show that:

$$a > -b$$

6 Prove or disprove the following, for $a, b, x, y \in \mathbb{F}$:

$6.1 \quad \underline{ab < a + b}$

Let $a, b = 0_{\mathbb{F}}$:

$$0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot 0_{\mathbb{F}} < 0_{\mathbb{F}} + 0_{\mathbb{F}}$$

According to Axioms A3 and M3 it yields the **false** statement:

$$0_{\mathbb{F}} < 0_{\mathbb{F}}$$

$6.2 \quad \underline{x^2 < y^2 \Longrightarrow x < y}$

In order to disprove this statement, we need to find $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ such that:

$$x^2 < y^2 \land x \ge y$$

As an example, we can take:

$$x = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

$$y = -(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}})$$

We can see that $x \geq y$.

 x^2 :

$$x^2 = 1_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{F}} = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

 y^2 :

$$y^2 = -(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot (-(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}})) = 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

We can see that $y^2 > x^2$, thus, the statement is **false**.

$\textbf{6.3} \quad x < y \Longrightarrow x^2 < y^2$

In order to disprove this statement, we need to find $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ such that:

$$x < y \land x^2 \ge y^2$$

We can use the same examples from 6.2, only swapping the x and the y:

$$y = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

$$x = -(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}})$$

We can see that $y \geq x$.

 y^2 :

$$y^2 = 1_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{F}} = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

 x^2 :

$$x^{2} = -(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot (-(1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}})) = 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}} + 1_{\mathbb{F}}$$

We can see that $x^2 > y^2$, thus, the statement is **false**.

7 Prove $\forall a \in \mathbb{F} \mid -a \mid = |a|$:

According to the definition of absolute value, there are two different scenarios for a:

7.0.1 $a \ge 0$:

Since $a \geq 0$, using the abs definition we'll get:

$$|a| = a$$

According to the ordered field axioms -a < 0, and therefore:

$$|(-a)| = -(-a) = a$$

We can see that:

$$a \ge 0 \Longrightarrow |-a| = |a| = a$$

7.0.2 a < 0:

Since a < 0, using the abs definition will yield:

$$|a| = -a$$

We've previously proved that the negative of a negative is positive, i.e. -a > 0, therefore:

$$|-a| = -a$$

We can see that:

$$a < 0 \Longrightarrow |-a| = |a| = -a$$

8 Prove the following for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$:

8.1 $\max(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+|a-b|)$

This splits into three different cases:

- a < b
- \bullet a = b
- *a* > *b*

8.1.1 a < b:

$$\max(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|)$$

Since a < b, the term |a - b| is equal to b - a, therefore:

$$\max(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+b-a) = \frac{1}{2}(2b) = b$$

8.1.2 $\underline{a = b}$:

$$\max(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|)$$

Since a = b, the term |a - b| is equal to 0, therefore:

$$\max(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+0) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b) = \frac{a+b}{2} = a = b$$

8.1.3 $\underline{a > b}$:

$$\max(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|)$$

Since a > b, the term |a - b| is equal to a - b, therefore:

$$\max(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+a-b) = \frac{1}{2}(2a) = a$$

8.2 $\min(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|)$

This splits into three different cases:

- a < b
- $\bullet \ a = b$
- *a* > *b*

8.2.1 a < b:

$$\min(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$$

Since a < b, the term |a - b| is equal to b - a, therefore:

$$\min(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-(b-a)) = a$$

8.2.2 a = b:

$$\min(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$$

Since a = b, the term |a - b| is equal to 0, therefore:

$$\min(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-0) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b) = \frac{a+b}{2} = a = b$$

8.2.3 a > b:

$$\min(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$$

Since a > b, the term |a - b| is equal to a - b, therefore:

$$\min(a,b) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-|a-b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-(a-b)) = \frac{1}{2}(2b) = b$$