



## MSc Project Outside Course Scope

## Trace inequalities and Stahl's theorem

Date: August 2, 2025

Advisor: Søren Eilers

Department [Department of Mathematical Sciences]  
Author(s): [Valdemar Skou Knudsen]  
Title and subtitle: [Trace inequalities and Stahl's theorem]  
Description: The recently proven BMV conjecture (now known as Stahl's theorem) represents a class of Hermitian matrix Trace formulae in terms of the Laplace transform of a positive measure, and yields a number of interesting trace inequalities. In this project we detail a proof of the theorem and discuss corollaries using Bernstein's theorem for monotone functions.  
Advisor: [Søren Eilers]

# 1 Bernstein's theorem on monotone functions

**Definition 1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty)) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty((0, \infty))$  is said to be completely monotone if  $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.**  $f$  is completely monotone if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e. if and only if

$$\forall x \in [0, \infty), \quad f(x) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-xt} d\mu(t).$$

*Proof.* We proceed with the forward implication. Let  $t > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $(-1)^n g^{(n)}$  is non-negative and non-increasing, averaging and iterating yields:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n g^{(n)}(t) &\leq \frac{2}{t} \int_{t/2}^t (-1)^n g^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{t} |g^{(n-1)}(t) - g^{(n-1)}(t/2)| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{t} (|g^{(n-1)}(t)| + |g^{(n-1)}(t/2)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^n} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k g(t/2^k) \leq \frac{1}{t^n} \sum_{k=0}^n C_k g(0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_k \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $k$ . In particular this means that  $g^{(n)}(t) = o(1/t^n)$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, since  $g(+\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)$  exists, iteratively integrating by parts (the boundary terms vanish due to the previous calculation) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) - g(+\infty) &= - \int_x^\infty g'(t) dt \\ &= -(t-x)g'(t) \Big|_x^\infty + \int_x^\infty (t-x)g''(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^n g^{(n+1)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n-1)!} \int_{x/n}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{x}{tn}\right)^n (nt)^n g^{(n+1)}(nt) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi_n(xt) d\sigma_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_n(x) = (1 - x/n)^n \mathbb{1}_{[0, n]}(x)$  and

$$\sigma_n(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{1/t}^\infty (ns)^n |g^{(n+1)}(ns)| ds.$$

Now,  $\sigma_n(t)$  is increasing for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and integration by parts again yields:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(t) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty (ns)^n |g^{(n+1)}(ns)| ds = g(0) - g(+\infty) < \infty,$$

which means that  $\sigma_n$  has an uniform upper bound, and hence admits a convergence subsequence  $\sigma_{n_k} \rightarrow \sigma$  to some non-negative function. Monotone convergence then yields:

$$g(x) - g(+\infty) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \varphi_{n_k}(xt) d\sigma_{n_k}(t) = \int_0^\infty d\sigma(t),$$

and rearranging with  $\mu := \sigma + g(+\infty)\delta_0$  completes the proof (the converse follows directly from Leibniz integral rule).  $\square$

## 2 Stahl's theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are Hermitian matrices, with  $B$  positive definite. Then the function  $f(t) = \text{tr}(e^{A-Bt})$  is the Laplace transform of a finite Borel measure on  $[0, \infty)$ , i.e.*

$$\forall t \in [0, \infty), \quad f(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-xs} d\mu(s).$$

We may freely assume  $B = \text{diag}(b_n, \dots, b_1)$  is diagonal with decreasing eigenvalues  $b_n > \dots > b_1 > 0$ . Indeed,  $B$  is unitarily diagonalizable by some matrix  $T_0$ , and we easily see that  $e^{A-Bt}$  has the same eigenvalues as  $T_0 * e^{A-Bt} T_0$ . Furthermore, since  $A - Bt$  is also Hermitian, it is unitarily diagonalizable by some matrix  $T_1$ , i.e.  $T_1 * (A - Bt) T_1^* = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_n(t))$ , with eigenvalues determined by the multi-variable equation  $\det(\lambda I - A + tB) = 0$ . Taking  $t$  out of the determinant and substituting  $x = 1/t$ ,  $y = \lambda/t$  we obtain an equation of the form

$$0 = \det(yI + B - xA) = \prod_{i=1}^n (y + b_i - x a_{i,i}) + O(x^2),$$

which implies that we may consider  $\lambda(t)$  as a multi-valued function with  $n$  holomorphic branches; its natural domain is a Riemann surface  $S$  with  $n$  sheets laid over the Riemann sphere. Each branch  $\lambda_j$  satisfies  $\lambda_j(t) = -b_j t + a_{j,j} + o(1/t)$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By definition of trace we have that:

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\lambda_j(t)}.$$

We need a technical result on these functions:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the  $n$  holomorphic branches defined above, and let  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$h(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n g(\lambda_j)$$

is an entire function.

*Proof.* Indeed, the function holomorphic in an open set containing  $\mathbb{R}$ , and since it uniquely extends everywhere on  $\mathbb{C}$ , it follows from the monodromy principle that  $f$  is entire.  $\square$

We now define an explicit formula for the positive measure in Stahl's theorem:

$$d\mu(s) := \left( \sum_{j=1}^n e^{a_{j,j}} \delta_{b_j}(s) + w(s) \right) ds,$$

where  $ds$  is the Lebesgue measure and

$$w(s) := - \sum_{j: b_j < s} \operatorname{res}_{\infty} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta) + s\zeta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j: b_j < s} \int_C e^{\lambda_j(\zeta) + s\zeta} d\zeta,$$

for some sufficiently large circle  $C$  centered at the origin.

*Proof of equality:* First observe that Lemma 2.2 implies that  $w(s) = 0$  for  $s > b_n$  (and trivially also for  $s < b_n$ ). We directly compute the Laplace transform of  $w$ :

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} w(s) ds = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{b_k}^{b_{k+1}} e^{-st} w(s) ds =: \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k(t).$$

Let  $t > 0$  be fixed. Since  $\lambda_j$  is holomorphic in some open set containing  $\mathbb{R}$  we may deform, without changing the value of  $I_k(t)$ , the circle  $C$  in such a way so that it does not contain the positive ray. Changing the order of summation and integration yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{b_k}^{b_{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta) + s(\zeta-t)} d\zeta ds \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta)} \int_{b_j}^{b_n} e^{s(\zeta-t)} ds d\zeta \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta)} (e^{b_n(\zeta-t)} - e^{b_j(\zeta-t)}) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - t}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Lemma 2.2 again implies that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{C'} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta)} e^{b_n(\zeta-t)} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta-t} = 0.$$

Writing  $\lambda_j(\zeta) = -b_j\zeta + a_{j,j} + r_j(\zeta)$  where  $r_j(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$  for  $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ , applying the Cauchy integral formula on the unbounded domain defined by  $C'$  then yields for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} e^{\lambda_j(\zeta)+b_j(\zeta-t)} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta-t} &= -\frac{e^{a_{j,j}-b_j t}}{2\pi i} \int_{C'} e^{r_j(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta-t} \\ &= e^{a_{j,j}-b_j t} (e^{r_j(t)} - \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} e^{r_j(\zeta)}) \\ &= e^{\lambda_j(t)} - e^{-b_j t a_{j,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summation over  $j$ , and comparing to the measure  $\mu$  defined above completes the proof of equality.

*Proof of positivity:* Recall first that we only need to show  $w(s) \geq 0$ , and that this is trivial for  $s \notin [b_1, b_n]$ . So let  $s$  be fixed with  $b_k < s < b_{k+1}$ . Recall the natural domain of the full derivative function  $\lambda$  is a Riemann surface  $S$  with  $n$  sheets over the Riemann sphere  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $\pi : S \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  be the projection map. The asymptotic expressions above imply that there exists an  $R > 0$  such that the functions  $\lambda_j(\zeta) + s\zeta = (s - b_j)\zeta + \dots$  are holomorphic for  $|\zeta| > R$  and with positive derivatives for  $j \leq k$  and negative derivatives for  $j > k$ . In particular,  $g(p) := \lambda(p) + s\pi(p)$  for  $p \in S$  is a meromorphic 1-form. Increasing  $R$  as necessary, we may also assume that  $\text{sign}(\text{Im}(\lambda_j(\zeta) + s\zeta)) = \text{sign}(\text{Im}\zeta)$  for  $|\zeta| > R/2$  and  $j \leq k$  and likewise  $\text{sign}(\text{Im}(\lambda_j(\zeta) + s\zeta)) = -\text{sign}(\text{Im}\zeta)$  for  $j > k$ . We choose the circle  $C = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = R\}$  to define the function  $w$ . Consider now the open sets:

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ &= \{p \in S : |\pi(p)| < R, \text{Im}(\pi(p)) > 0, \text{Im}(\lambda(p) + s\pi(p)) > 0\}, \\ D^- &= \{p \in S : |\pi(p)| < R, \text{Im}(\pi(p)) < 0, \text{Im}(\lambda(p) + s\pi(p)) < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the set  $D = \text{Int}(\overline{D^-} \cup \overline{D^+})$ . The pre-image  $\pi^{-1}(C)$  consists of  $n$  disjoint circles  $C_j \subseteq S$ , which we label in accordance with the branch functions  $\lambda_j$ . It follows from our choice of  $R > 0$  that  $C_j \in \partial D$  for  $j \leq k$  and  $C_j \cap \overline{D} = \emptyset$  for  $j > k$ . Let  $D_1 \subseteq D$  be a (connected) component of  $D$ . It is a Riemann surface of finite type, and hence its boundary can be parameterized by a family smooth curves  $(\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . We need a technical result on these boundary curves:

**Lemma 2.3.** *No curve  $\gamma \in (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  can project into the open upper or lower half-plane.*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $\gamma$  projects into the upper half-plane. The Cauchy-Riemann equations directly imply that  $\text{Reg}$  has constant tangential derivative along  $\gamma$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\text{Reg}$  is single-valued on the closed curve  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

Since  $\lambda$  is holomorphic on  $D_1$ , the maximum principle ensures that there is a circle  $C_j$  with  $C_j \subseteq D_1$ . In order to prove positivity we assert that:

$$w(s) = \sum_{\substack{D_1 \subseteq D \\ D \text{ connected}}} \sum_{j: C_j \subseteq \partial D_1} \int_{C_j} e^{\lambda(p) + s\pi(p)} d\pi(p),$$

so it suffices to prove that each integral of this form is positive. The part of  $\partial D_1$  that projects into  $C$  is exactly the circle  $C_j$ . The remainder is by definition projected into  $\{\zeta : |\zeta| < R\}$ , and since  $g$  is holomorphic in  $D_1$  we have:

$$\int_{\partial D_1} e^{g(p)} d\pi = 0,$$

and it therefore suffices to show negativity of the part of  $\partial D_1$  which projects into  $\{\zeta : |\zeta| < R\}$ . To show this, let  $\gamma$  be an arbitrary boundary curve which projects into  $\{\zeta : |\zeta| < R\}$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\gamma$  intersects the real line and is therefore split into two pieces  $\gamma^+$  and  $\gamma^-$  which project into the upper and lower half-plane, respectively. Furthermore, since  $\gamma$  is mapped to itself by the involution induced by complex conjugation, we also have that the pieces  $\gamma^+$  and  $\gamma^-$  are symmetric. Writing out  $e^g = e^{\text{Reg}}(\cos \text{Img} + i \sin \text{Img})$  and since  $\text{Img} = 0$  and  $\text{Reg}$  is increasing by construction, we conclude that  $t \mapsto e^{g(\gamma(t))}$  is real and increasing. Combining all this, and using integration by parts, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{g(p)} d\pi(p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{\gamma^+} + \int_{\gamma^-} \right) (d\xi(t) + i d\eta(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma^+} e^{g(\gamma(t))} d\eta(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma^+} \eta(t) d(e^{g(\gamma(t))}) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summation over all curves  $\gamma$  which project into  $\{\zeta : |\zeta| < R\}$  then yields the desired negativity, completing the proof.

The Bernstein theorem then implies the positivity  $\text{Tr}(e^{A-Bt}) \geq 0$ , and similar inequalities for the (higher) derivatives. In particular, the trace derivative formula implies that if  $AB = BA$  then we have  $\text{Tr}(Be^{A-Bt}) \geq 0$ . It is worth noting that inequalities of this type has applications in eigenvalue problems in mathematical physics, although this is beyond the scope of this report.