

# Data exploration: Integrated single-visit occupancy models

Valentin Lauret, Hélène Labach, Matthieu Authier, Olivier Gimenez

## Contents

<b>About bottlenose dolphins</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Monitoring programs</b>	<b>1</b>
At-sea monitoring . . . . .	2
Aerial pelagic and coastal monitoring program . . . . .	3
Detection of bottlenose dolphins . . . . .	3

---

Supporting information of the article *Using single visits into integrated occupancy models to make the most of existing monitoring programs*.

The objective of this document is to provide supplementary information about common bottlenose dolphin data.

## About bottlenose dolphins



Figure 1: Bottlenose dolphins in the French Mediterranean Sea

Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea. In the marine world, many species of conservation interest are elusive, and ecological data can be costly to obtain. In particular, the high seas are difficult to access and ecological monitoring is often performed through aerial surveys. However, coastal seas allows performing detailed at-sea monitoring. Besides, many species such as marine megafauna are mobile and occur in both coasts and high seas. Combining monitoring programs that are carried out in each realm (i.e. coasts and high seas) has the potential to provide relevant information about these species.

## Monitoring programs

We focused on the North-Western Mediterranean, an area of 255,000 km<sup>2</sup>, which includes the Gulf of Lion and the Ligurian sea, the French coast of Provence, Corsica, and the Northern part of Sardinia (Figure 2). Our study area includes the Pelagos Sanctuary, which is a transboundary marine protected area for

Mediterranean marine mammals covering an area of 90,000 km<sup>2</sup> between Italy, France and Monaco. The North-Western Mediterranean Sea is a critical habitat for many cetaceans species. Due to its coastal behaviour, bottlenose dolphins suffer from several anthropogenic pressures (e.g. collisions, fisheries bycatch, pollution, or acoustic perturbations), which raise concerns about their coexistence with human activities. The Mediterranean population of Bottlenose dolphins is considered “vulnerable” by the IUCN Red List and is one of the two cetacean species listed on Annex 2 of the European Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC). The protected status of this species within the French seas led to the development of specific programs to monitor Mediterranean bottlenose dolphins within the implementation of the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC; MSFD).




Figure 2: A. Location of study area in the Mediterranean basin. B. Sampling design of the two monitoring programs studied. The aerial surveys (Samm program; dark blue) prospected 24,624 km of both sea shelf and high seas. At-sea surveys (GDEGeM program; light blue) prospected 21,646 km of the French continental shelf. C. Distribution of sampling effort of each monitoring program over the range of bathymetry. One dot is a grid-cell sampled either by aerial surveys (dark blue dot), or by at-sea surveys (light blue dot). D. Distribution of sampling effort of each monitoring program over the range of Sea Surface Temperature (SST). One dot is a grid-cell sampled either by aerial survey (dark blue dot), or by at-sea survey (light blue dot).

## At-sea monitoring

We used data from the first large-scale study of Bottlenose dolphins in the French Mediterranean Sea. Four NGOs and one marine reserve performed at-sea surveys over 21,464 km of the French continental shelf including the Gulf of Lion, the French Riviera, and Corsica (Figure 2). Observers performed monitoring aboard small sailing and motor boats to locate and photo-identify bottlenose dolphins all year long between 2013 and 2015 (observers collected group size, behaviour, and took pictures of the dorsal fin of each individual in the group when possible). Such surveys with small vessels are expected to recorded detailed information

while being limited to coastal area. Between summer 2013 and summer 2015, at-sea surveys detected 129 bottlenose dolphin groups located in 89 different grid-cells. The sampling effort of at-sea surveys was heterogeneous in space (i.e. between 1 and more than 500 km prospected per sampled grid-cell), and time (i.e. higher prospection in spring and summer than in autumn and winter). At-sea surveys did not include repeated visits. Some sites have been visited once, and others have been visited 50 times.

### **Aerial pelagic and coastal monitoring program**

Data were collected during aerial surveys targeting the main taxa of marine megafauna within the French Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) including the Pelagos Sanctuary. The survey covered 24,624 km of line-transect performed by scientific institutional partners of the French Biodiversity Office and sampled 1336 grid-cells (i.e. 30.67% of the total number of grid-cells, Fig. 1). Two trained observers collected cetacean data following a distance sampling protocol (i.e. recording species identification, group size, declination angle). Aerial surveys were conditional on a good weather forecast and were performed using high-wind aircrafts with bubble windows. Sampling effort for aerial surveys was homogeneous over the studied area with three or four replicates per line-transect between November 2011 and August 2012. While aircrafts surveyed large area quickly, the limited temporal coverage may reduce overall number of detections. In the future, this survey will be conducted every six years to inform the MSFD (for more details).

The two monitoring programs collected either photo-identification or distance sampling data. For both programs, we used the locations of bottlenose dolphins encounters and the survey tracks. We used two environmental covariates to estimate the space-use of bottlenose dolphins: i) bathymetry, and ii) sea surface temperature (SST).

### **Detection of bottlenose dolphins**

In the following maps, we displayed the sampling effort and detections of common bottlenose dolphins made by aerial line-transect, and by the boat at-sea monitoring programs.

Aerial surveys detected several dolphin groups in the high depths while at-sea surveys detected none. Detecting offshores groups tempered the preference for low-depth seafloors in aerial occupancy models.




Figure 3: Location of common bottlenose dolphins detection and sampling effort of i) aerial line transect monitoring (left panel)