EE-559 Deep Learning Mini-Project 2

Haeeun Kim, Valentin Loftsson, and Antoine Madrona

May 2021

Introduction

We present lamp , a lightweight deep learning framework written in Python using PyTorch. The framework was tested for binary classification on synthetic data using SGD. In this report, we discuss the architecture of the framework, its features and implementation details, advantages and disadvantages, and test performance.

Architecture

The architecture of the framework is illustrated in Figure 1. It is split into three main components: data loaders, modules, and optimizers. It was designed with extensibility in mind to facilitate the expansion of the framework.

Implementation and Features

The framework depends only on PyTorch's tensor operations and the standard math library. It was implemented such that the syntax resembles PyTorch syntax.

All neural network modules inherit from the Module class and each module needs to implement at least the forward() and backward() methods. The Module class also provides the store_inputs() method that subclasses need to call in forward() to enable the computation of the gradient in backward(). By default, the parameters() method returns an empty list. Currently, the only module in the framework that has parameters is the Linear module. The Parameter class is used internally for convenience to represent a parameter and its gradient.

The Linear module implements a fully-connected layer of arbitrary dimensions. It provides two types of parameter initialization: (1) the default initialization from the normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ (2) Xavier initialization from $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ where

$$\sigma = gain \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{l-1} + N_l}}$$

for layer l. This type of initialization avoids vanishing gradients during the forward pass. The gain is a scaling parameter that depends on the activation function used.

The Sequential class provides a container for modules. This facilitates building neural networks that consist of multiple layers and activations. Modules will be added to it in the order they are passed in the constructor.

Optimizers inherit from the Optimizer superclass which provides the common zero_grad() method to subclasses. All optimizers need to implement a step() method, that updates the parameters. This method needs to be called after gradients have been computed with backward().

The DataLoader class facilitates iterating over minibatches of data samples. Users can enable shuffling the data before each epoch through the shuffle parameter. This shuffles the data internally before each epoch.




Figure 1: The framework architecture. Blue boxes represent categories and red boxes represent classes

Usage

The entire framework can be imported from lamp.py using import lamp. Example of usage can be found in test.py and helpers.py.

Advantages and Disadvantages

The lamp framework shares some features with PyTorch. However, it lacks many commonly used modules, functionalities, and parameters. For instance, it does not provide a convolutional layer module. Also, it cannot be used efficiently to train on very large datasets since computation cannot be moved to the GPU and no particular optimization measures were taken in its implementation.

Framework Testing and Conclusion

We provide a file test.py that tests the framework on a synthetic dataset with SGD (see Figure 2). The model and objective used are as per the project outline. The activation function at each layer is Tanh and Sigmoid activation is used at the end to get an output in [0, 1] which is rounded to obtain the prediction. The model was trained in 100 epochs with batch size of 50 and 0.16 learning rate.





Figure 2: Training and test data sets. Each includes 1,000 points sampled uniformly from $[0,1]^2$. Each point is associated with a binary label, 0 if it is inside the disc centered at (0.5,0.5) of radius $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and 1 inside.



(a) Example of test prediction correctness of a trained model. Red dots represent incorrectly classified samples and green pluses correctly classified samples.

Figures 3a and 3b illustrate the test results. We report an average of 96% test classification accuracy over 50 cycles of training, testing, and evaluating—regardless if shuffling was performed or not.

To conclude, we have built a deep learning framework that can be used for typical classification scenarios. It performs very well on the given test scenario. The design of the framework allows for its future expansion.