# **CS61BL Project 3: Solver Readme**

# Section 110, Doug Wreden

**Project Members:** 

- Valerie Cook cs61bl-ne
- Jennifer Dai cs61bl-nd
- Yangzi He cs61bl-nc
- Daniel Radding cs61bl-ns

# **Division of Labor**

For this project, Valerie and Jennifer worked more closely together on Solver.java, while Daniel and Yangzi did the same for Tray.java. Daniel and Yangzi wrote an initial Tray file that used a 2D array as its data structure along with multiple methods; however, we later decided that instead of just having a **tray** object, we also wanted **block** objects to place into a **tray**, and our 2D array implementation made it difficult to distinguish between distinct **block** objects. Valerie reimplemented Tray using an ArrayList, and wrote a new Block class.

We decided to split the work in this manner because Solver and Tray are the two most important files that need to be completed in order to get the project up and running. Solver 's logic is needed in order for us to be able to tell blocks how to move on the tray, such that the block configuration will eventually be the same as the goal configuration. Tray was necessary because without deciding on what data structures we were going to use for both the tray and the blocks, Solver could only be written using pseudo-code.

# Design

# **Solver**

### **Data Structures**

Solver uses two Data Structures we created to create abstraction. The first is a <code>BlockCollection</code> object called <code>myGoals</code>. <code>BlockCollection</code> objects hold <code>Block</code> objects and <code>myGoals</code> specifically holds <code>BlockCollection</code> that represent the solution to the puzzle. The second structure is an <code>AlreadySeenCollection</code> named <code>alreadySeen</code>.

<code>AlreadySeenCollection</code> objects store <code>BlockCollection</code> objects the have previously been tried as a possible a solution. Finally we also use a Graph structure to find actually solutions to the puzzle. We use <code>node</code> objects we wrote ourselves.

## **Methods**

## Constructor

The Constructor takes in two arguments. One is a Tray object named tray and the other is a "BlockCollection object named goals. Constructor are sets five of the instance variables. The first is a Tray object named myTray which is set the to value of the argument tray. The next is a Block Collection object named myGoals and is set to the value of the argument goals. This is followed by a Node object named myRoot which set to equal a Node with null values. The next variable is a also a Node object and is called currentNode, this Node is set to equal myRoot. Lastly is an AlreadySeenCollection object named alreadySeen is initialized.

# MatchesGoal

This method returns a boolean that checks if the current "BlockCollection" matches the solution to the puzzle.

#### addPossibleMoves

This is a **void** method that calls the **Tray** method **getPossibleMoves** and to fill an **ArrayList** named **moves**. The method then loops through **moves** to create a **node** for each **Move** object and then add it to the children of the **currentNode**.

#### makeMove

This method actually starts the motions of making a move. The method checks to see if the currentNode has a Move stored in myMove. If it doesn't then it returns false. If there is a Move object then it calls makeMove. From there the method checks to see if the new BlockCollection has been seen before. If it has then the Move is undone by calling undoMove and the method returns false. If the Move hasn't been scene then the BlockCollection is added to alreadySeen and the method returns true.

#### getNextNode

This void method finds the next Node to be tried. If currentNode does not have children then undoMove is called. Otherwise currentNode is set to the first Node stored in myChildren of the currentNode.

# undoMove

This void method undoes a move. It first looks at the currentNode and reverses the myDir value of its myMove variable. It then calls the Tray makeMove method to move to the most recently moved Block back to its previous spot. After that it resets currentNode to the

myParent value of the currentNode. It then removes the first value in myChildren of the currentMove so that Move is not made again.

#### findSolution

The method <code>findSolution</code> is a <code>void</code> method. To start, the boolean <code>solved</code> is set to equal the result of a call of the method <code>matchGoal</code>. If <code>solved</code> is <code>true</code> then solved is immediately return. Otherwise if <code>solved</code> equals <code>false</code> then the current <code>BlockCollection</code> is added to <code>alreadySeen</code>. Then there is a call to <code>addPossibleMoves</code> followed by a call to <code>getNextNode</code>. Next there is a <code>while loop</code> that runs while <code>currentNode</code> does not equal <code>myRoot</code> and <code>solved</code> is <code>false</code>. Inside is an <code>if</code> statement that calls <code>getNextNode</code> if <code>makeMove</code> is <code>false</code>. Otherwise there is an <code>else</code> statement. Inside the <code>else</code> statement in <code>if</code> statement that sets <code>solved</code> to <code>true</code> if a call to <code>matchesGoal</code> return true. Otherwise there is an <code>else</code> statement that makes calls to <code>addPossibleMoves</code> and <code>getNextNode</code>. The <code>while Loop</code> then finishes.

# printSolution

This method is a <code>void</code> method that only works is the <code>boolean</code> <code>solved</code> is <code>true</code>.

<code>currentNode</code> is then set to equal <code>myRoot</code>. The method then uses a <code>while loop</code> that runs while the <code>currentNode</code> has children. <code>Inside the loop</code> currentNode is set to equal the <code>child at the Oth index of myChildren</code>. Then the <code>myMove variable of the currentNode</code> is printed.

#### Node

The Node class creates the objects we use for the vertices of the graph used to find the solution to the puzzle. Nodes have three instances variables: myMove which stores a Move object, myParent which stores a Node object, and myChildren which is an arrayList that stores Node objects. The Node class also has three methods:

# Constructors

The Node class has two constructors. One takes no arguments and sets <a href="myMove">myMove</a> and <a href="myMove">myMove</a> and <a href="myMove">myMove</a> and <a href="myMove">initializes</a> <a href="myMove">myMove</a> is set to <a href="myMove">myMove<

#### getParent

This method returns the value of myParent

### getMove

This method returns the value of myMove.

## main

The Solver class also holds the main method for our program. The method first creates a SolverChecker object named checker with the String array args as the provided argument. main then creates a Tray object named tray with length, width, and blocks values of checker as the arguments. Then a try block is opened. Inside there is a call to the findSolution method of solver which is the followed by a call to the printSolution method of solver. If an exception is thrown by any method called the exception is caught in the catch block and the result of calling the getMessage method of the exception is printed.

# **Block**

## **Data Structures**

A 'block' object represents any block that can legally be put into the tray. It has four instance variables: <a href="myUpperRow">myUpperRow</a>, <a href="myUpperRow">myUpperColumn</a>, and <a href="myLowerColumn">myLowerColumn</a>, all of which are the primitive type <a href="int">int</a>.

### **Methods**

#### Constructor

The constructor takes in four arguments, which are <a href="upperRow">upperRow</a>, <a href="upperRow">upperColumn</a>, <a href="lowerRow">lowerRow</a>, and sets the instance variables of the object accordingly.

# length

A method that calculates and returns the length of the block by subtracting <a href="myUpperRow">myUpperRow</a> from <a href="myUpperRow">myUpperRow</a>, and then adding one.

## width

A method that calculates and returns the width of the block by subtracting <a href="myUpperColumn">myUpperColumn</a>, and then adding one.

#### getters

Four methods that each return one of the block's instance variables, which have the <a href="private">private</a> access modifier before them.

#### setters

Four methods that each lather one of the block's instance variables, based on the first int

argument, **coord**. The second argument is an **int** named **limit**, which is passed either the max length or width of the Tray in which the setters are called, in order to make sure that the instance variables of block objects don't go out of bounds.

#### isValid

Method that is called by the setters to determine whether or not the desired change to a block's instance variables is consistent with the dimensions of the tray. Returns a boolean.

#### clone

Makes a copy of a block and returns it.

### equals

Overrides Object's equals method. The method takes in an argument of type Object, casts it to a Block, and determines equality by checking whether or not the instance variables are equal.

#### hashCode

Returns an int, which is the hashCode for a block object. The hashCode is calculated by multiplying the length and width of the block together.

### setDebugLevel

This 'void' method takes an 'int' argument and sets the debug options based on the 'int' value provided.

## startTimer

This 'void' method takes in a DebugEvent object as an argument and then starts the timer for a specific event.

## stopTimer

This 'void' method takes in a DebugEvent object as an argument and then stops the timer for a specific event.

# printDebugResults

This 'void' method prints out the results of the previously specified debugging options.

# actOnInvalidInput

This 'void' method catches incorrect user input, prints a useful message, then exits.

# debuggingOptions

This 'void' method Changes our boolean flags to true when we call our debugging options and makes sure our debugging options do what they're supposed to.

#### printOptions

This 'void' method prints the options when -ooptions is called.

# **Space**

#### **Data Structures**

A space object represents an empty cell in a tray. Similar to Block, it also has int instance variables: myRow and myColumn. Unlike a block, the only size that a space can be is a 1 x 1 cell.

#### Methods

#### Constructor

Takes in two int arguments, row and column, and sets myRow and myColumn equal to them respectively.

## getPosition

A method that creates and returns an int [] named rtn of size 2. The first element is myRow, while the second is myColumn. This is necessary because the instance variables are set to private.

# equals

This method overrides **Object**'s equals method. It determines equality by checking whether or not the instance variables are equal between two space objects.

## Move

#### **Data Structures**

Move objects are used to create and store possible moves for a Block. The move object stores a block and the direction that it could potentially move in.

# **Methods**

#### Constructor

The Constructor takes in two arguments, a <code>Block</code> object <code>b</code> and a <code>String</code> object <code>dir</code> which represents a direction the <code>Block</code> might move. The Constructor sets six different instance variables. The first two are a <code>Block</code> object named <code>myBlock</code> and a <code>String</code> object named <code>myDir</code>. <code>myBlock</code> is set equal to <code>b</code> while <code>myDir</code> is set equal to <code>dir</code>. The next two variables are <code>ints</code> named <code>OldUpperRow</code> and <code>OldUpperColumn</code>. These two variables store the original <code>UpperRow</code> and <code>UpperColumn</code> values of the given <code>Block</code> object. The last two variables are <code>int</code> values also and are named <code>newUpperRow</code> and <code>newUpperColumn</code>. These two variables are set dependent on the value of <code>dir</code> which can equal "up", "down", "left", or "right". Through four different if statements <code>newUpperRow</code> and <code>newUpperColumn</code> are set to values the would move the given block in the direction of <code>dir</code>.

#### toString

This method returns the **String** representation of a **Move** object. The **String** returned is the **String** representation of the following four values each separated by a space: **oldUpperRow**, **oldUpperColumn**, **newUpperRow**, and **newUpperColumn**.

# Tray

### **Data Structures**

Tray is not actually implemented with it's own separate data structure; instead it relies myBlocks and myEmptySpaces instance variables, which are a BlockCollection and a SpaceCollection respectively, to tell it where everything is located. In other words, a Tray object cannot be physically represented independently of the blocks and spaces. It is initialized with length and width instance variables, and a BlockCollection, which represents the current configuration of the blocks on the tray.

#### Methods

#### Constructor

It takes in three arguments: a length, a width, and a BlockCollection. The BlockCollection is set to myBlocks, and length and width are stored as the instance variables myLength and myWidth, which are referenced by other Tray methods to make sure that the blocks and spaces created are legal.

## findEmptySpaces

Within Tray's constructor is the method <code>findEmptySpaces()</code>, which given the initial <code>BlockCollection</code>, creates <code>space</code> objects for all the cells in the tray that aren't occupied by a <code>block</code>, and stores everything in <code>myEmptySpaces()</code> works by filling

myEmptySpaces with every possible 1 x 1 cell in the tray. Then, it iterates through myBlocks, and for each block, it deletes the space objects that correspond with the position of the block by using a nested for loop.

# getMyBlocks

A getter for the blocks that are placed into the tray. It returns an ArrayList<Block> object.

#### addBlock

A method that takes in a 'Block' b as input and add its to the end of myBlocks.

#### getPossibleMoves

This method is called when the user wants to get a collection of all the valid moves given a specific configuration of blocks in the tray. It returns an <code>ArrayList</code> of <code>Move</code> objects. The method creates a local variable called <code>possibleMoves</code> of type <code>ArrayList</br>
. It then iterates through <code>myBlocks</code>, and for each block in the <code>ArrayList</code>, it checks whether or not it can move in the up, down, left, and right directions by calling <code>canMove</code>. If <code>canMove</code> returns true for a specific direction, then a new <code>move</code> object is created with the corresponding <code>block</code> as the first argument, and the direction of the valid move as the second argument. The <code>move</code> object is then added to <code>possibleMoves</code>. When the <code>for</code> loop concludes, it returns <code>possibleMoves</code>.</code>

#### canMove

canMove takes in two arguments: a block and a direction, which is passed in as a string object. It returns true if it is possible for the block to move one cell in the indicated direction, and false otherwise. It does this by checking that the cell necessary for the move are in myEmptySpaces, as this indicates that the cell is actually empty, and not being occupied by another block. For up and down, this involves making sure that the row (either immediately above or below the block depending on direction) starting from the block 's upper column number with a length equal to the block 's length is within myEmptySpaces. The same process is used for left and right directions, but instead, the columns immediately to the left and right of the blocks are checked, and the columns are determined based on the width of the block rather than the length.

#### makeMove

This method also takes in a **block** and **string** argument called direction. Depending on the direction, it either changes the upper and lower rows of a block or the upper (*left*) and lower (*right*) columns by one. It then calls **findEmptySpaces()** to determine where new **space** objects are.

# **Debugging**

# **Debugging options**

Our SolverChecker class contains all the debugging options. To get all the debugging options that you can type in, just type in –ooptions. Otherwise, there are different levels of tray and solver debugging options that you can enable, basically denoted as –o(insert level)(insert t or s or both depending on which options you want to enable). The levels are 1 for counts, 2 for times, 3 for times and counts, and 4 for output about every move. Below is a comprehensive list of what options are enabled.

- o1t: counts of important events in Tray
- o2t: the times of important events in Tray
- o3t:both the counts and the times of important events in Tray
- o4t:both the counts and times of important events in Tray and the blocks, spaces and possible moves for each call to getPossibleMoves
- o1s:the counts of important events in Solver
- o2s: the times of important events in Solver
- o3s: both the counts and the times of important events in Solver
- o4s: both the counts and the times of important events in Solver and the successful and unsuccessful moves that Solver makes
- o1ts or -o1st: the counts of important events in both Tray and Solver
- o2ts or -o2st:the times of important events in both Tray and Solver
- o3ts or -o3st:both the counts and the times of important events in both Tray and Solver
- o4ts or -o4s: both the counts and the times of important events in both Tray and Solver and possible moves for each call to getPossibleMoves and the successful and unsuccessful moves that Solver makes

# **isOK**

Our isOK method checks to make sure that each block does not have dimensions that are impossible relative to each other, for example, if the upper column is greater than the lower column. It also checks to make sure that there are less empty spaces than there are total spaces in the entire tray. It then checks to make sure that there are no overlapping blocks and that the block is not out of bounds. To be complete, there is also a check to see if any block spaces are in empty spaces. The isOK method was somewhat useful in checking for program bugs when we were first writing the program because we realized that we had done our upper row, upper column, lower column, and lower row inconsistently from one another. However, the check is really slow and therefore makes running the hard puzzles a lot longer. It is also not suggested that you run level 4 debugging options with any hard puzzles unless you're using breakpoints. This is because there is so much output that it gets lost and stops being useful. Level 4 was mainly used to make sure the program's methods were working properly and getting the correct possible moves given the tray's current blocks and empty spaces.

# **Evaluating Tradeoffs**

**Experiment 1: HashSets** 

# Summary

When we first began the coding we used **ArrayLists** to store just about everything. It was a quick and dirty way to store objects while we focused on getting our code to actually solving puzzles. However once we got a fuctioning program we needed to find ways to optimize. Something that was immediately obvious was replacing the **ArrayLists** with **HashSets** where possible. The **ArrayLists** were clearly slowing down the program and we knew, with an efficient **HashCode**, we could probably make the biggest difference in speed with this change. Specifically we changed **AlreadySeenCollection**, which stores all of the previous **BlockCollections**, to use a **HashSet**. The results were dramatic. We went from solving only through about half the Medium puzzles to solving all of the Medium puzzles except one and many of the Hards.

## **Methods**

Using our debugging options we were able to see real differences in a the amount of time it took to run any given test. The relevant test from out debugging options is a test called **checkAlreadySeen** which times how long it takes to see if a **BlockCollection** is a the **AlreadySeenCollection** object's collection of previous **BlockCollections**. We recorded the time it took our program to solve puzzles with and without **HashSets** implemented. Across the board we saw dramatic improvements.

#### Results

The follwing is a sample of the differences in times for various tests on a Laptop with 4 GB of RAM and a 1.7GHz processor. Below is a chart of that shows the test we ran as well as the time our previously mentioned **checkAlreadySeen** debugging option recorded when **AlreadySeenCollection** used an **ArrayList** versus a **HashSet**.

Test Name	ArrayList	HashSet
big.tray.2	right-aligned	\$1600
140x140	4ms	1ms
c38	531960	3149ms
big.tray.4	630033 ms	1384 ms
supercompo	5209 ms	85 ms
c54	944 ms	51ms

# Conclusion

The reason why a Hashset was superior to an ArrayList comes down to the fundamentals of the contains method for both Data Structures. The contains method for an ArrayList has to iterate through every element to look for a match which takes O(N) time where N is the number elements in the arrayList. This can work okay for a small number of elements but quickly becomes slow and cumbersome with a large number of elements. The contains method for a HashSet on the other hand is more or less efficient as possible if the objects it is storing has a quality hashCode function. In fact the contains method for a HashSet can effectively search for an object in constant time. This is because a HashSet stores and searches for objects by the index of the object's compressed HashCode which can be done in constant time. If the object's HashCode collides with the hashCode of other object's then the HashSet must iterate through a LinkedList of objects at the given index. However if the HashCode function is efficient then these collisions happen so infrequently that any LinkedLists are so small the time to iterate through them is negligible.

While the difference in time in the easy tests was often small we saw huge differences in the medium and hard tests. Some of the largest puzzles can generate thousands of **BlockCollection**. Having to iterate through thousands of elements to check for a match is simply unnacceptable and we were very pleased with the result of this experiment.

# **Experiment 2: Hash codes**

# **Summary:**

In order to speed up our program, we noticed that making a move was taking a longer time than we would have liked. Specifically, the check to alreadySeen was taking up almost half the total time to find the solution to the puzzle. Therefore, we started to experiment with our hashCode. As a result, our program went from failing 13 of the medium puzzles to passing all of them and passing 11 more of the hard ones than it had before.

## Methods:

At first, we started with our block collection as an ArrayList, so we just called the built-in arrayList hashCodes for BlockCollection and Block. We realized that all the blocks were being given the same hashCode because the arrayList hashCode was just using the Block's position in the arrayList, which we weren't changing because we were simply setting the new values of block's corners when we moved it. We decided we needed to use the block's position on the tray (it's upper and lower column) for our new hashCode, so that it would change when we moved the blocks. This changed proved to be very effective and was taking almost no time. Unfortunately, we started running it against some larger puzzles and realized that we weren't catching all of the repeat trays. This is because the hashCode used by arrayList was using the block's position in the array to determine the hashCode. This meant that if two blocks of the

same size had switched locations on the board, we gave them separate hashCodes. To fix this we set up the block collection hashCode to add up hashCodes of each block in the block collection. Then we started to optimize our block hashCode.

We changed the hash codes in our **Block** and **BlockCollection** classes to see if we could make our program faster because we were trying to optimize when we checked our **alreadySeenCollection**, which is a class that has a **HashSet** of **block** collections.

To optimize our block hashcode, we started with (myUpperRow + 1) + 31 \* (myLowerColumn + 1). We timed a couple of different puzzles with this hashCode a couple of times just to make sure that we got a good indication of time. All units are in milliseconds.

C22	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	6216	6087	6109
Adding possible moves	364	359	299
Checking already seen trays	3669	3507	3587
Finding a solution	6682	6528	6506
Lane rouge	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	326	424	344
Adding possible moves	121	102	86
Checking already seen trays	184	251	173
Finding a solution	496	617	478
Big.tray.3	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	225	220	211
Adding possible moves	1401	1347	1301
Checking already seen trays	3	4	1
Finding a solution	1627	1575	1515

We then changed our hashcode to (int)(myUpperRow  $^*$  1.5) + 31  $^*$  (int)(myLowerColumn  $^*$  2.34) + (int)(width()  $^*$  3.13) + (int)(length()  $^*$  9.087129); because we realized that we had too many collisions, and this hashcode helped us to solve the rest of the medium puzzles that we weren't solving.

C22	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	2709	2604	2589
Adding possible moves	335	282	275
Checking already seen trays	1581	1478	1417
Finding a solution	3128	2982	2955
Lane rouge	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	188	246	200
Adding possible moves	140	70	143
Checking already seen trays	100	100	95
Finding a solution	368	370	395
Big.tray.3	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	220	230	197
Adding possible moves	1249	1306	1262
Checking already seen trays	0	5	2
Finding a solution	1471	1539	1466

We then tried making sure our hashcode changed based on how large our board was. if (myLowerRow < 16 && myUpperColumn < 7)⇒ (int) Math.pow(2, myLowerRow) + (int) Math.pow(31, myUpperColumn); Otherwise, (int)(myUpperRow \* 1.5) + 31 \* (int) (myLowerColumn \* 2.34) + (int)(width() \* 3.13)+(int)(length() \* 9.087129);

## Conclusion

We realized that in order to get the least amount of collisions, we should use unique numbers to multiply by the dimensions of our block so that we could spread it out as far as possible. Also, the multiplying by 31 is important because the block dimensions are so close together that they would just immediately collide otherwise. We also realized that if our tray is smaller, we can get better results by using a different hashcode than when the tray is large. For example, we use powers when we have smaller trays. With bigger trays, using powers would take up a lot more memory and make our program a lot slower.

C22	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	1195	1418	1265
Adding possible moves	351	271	328
Checking already seen trays	738	785	706
Finding a solution	1641	1803	1679
Lane rouge	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	204	164	161
Adding possible moves	19	150	96
Checking already seen trays	69	68	66
Finding a solution	341	372	344
Big.tray.3	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Making a move	211	202	233
Adding possible moves	1269	1289	1314
Checking already seen trays	1	2	1
Finding a solution	1481	1491	1551

# **Experiment 3: Data Structure Change**

Note: Shu Zhong said that this experiment was okay for the required data structure experiment in Tray.

# Summary:

We tested a different implementation of our nodes in our tree to see if by storing a tray, we could get our program to run faster. As a result, it does run faster but runs out of memory before it can finish the puzzles for the harder puzzles that require more moves.

#### Methods:

The way we implemented our project was to look through a tree of possible moves. Each node in the tree contained a move object, a parent node, and an arraylist of children nodes. When we traversed our tree, we changed the BlockCollection that was stored within our tray object by moving the blocks in that collection around. We decided to change the way that was implemented by concentrating on time efficiency rather than space efficiency. Since whenever we make or undo a move, we have to move the blocks within the BlockCollection, we decided to store all the possible children's trays so that when we undo a move, we just have to go to the parent and get the tray from the parent node and repeatedly try moves on the next children's trays. This would in theory save time because we wouldn't have to undo the move, we would just already have that information stored. The first table is data collected where we create new trays every time. This first algorithm fails for tests such as c31 and for most of all the hard puzzles because it runs out of memory. The following units are all in milliseconds.

Dads+180	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	50	39	78
getNextNode	5	4	4
findSolution	450	471	452
Handout.config.1+90	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	36	39	76
getNextNode	3	4	4
findSolution	323	310	327
blockado	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	5	4	7
getNextNode	0	0	0
findSolution	63	42	41

This following table is the data collected from when we simply undo our moves.

Dads+180	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	36	27	25
getNextNode	3	5	7
findSolution	76	80	80
Handout.config.1+90	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	225	189	179
getNextNode	28	37	38
findSolution	394	237	399
blockado	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
MakeMoves	120	115	139
getNextNode	31	25	33
findSolution	264	264	265

# **Conclusion:**

In order for this to work, we would have to have a much better way to check getPossibleMoves that was optimized so that we wouldn't be checking as many moves. This way, it would be less likely to run out of memory. However, it does run faster in some cases where it doesn't run out of memory. For some puzzles, the changing the block collections within tray causes it to run a lot faster while for other puzzles, creating new trays every time you want to store a new move causes it to run faster. However, creating new trays every time causes us to run out of memory a lot faster.

# **Disclaimers**

# **Problems**

There were four tests that we did not pass, 'pandemonium' and the three tests in 'big.tray.4'. In

both cases we ran out of memory due to the large size of these boards in combination of a large number of blocks. We believe this is because our program doesn't make smart enough decisions when deciding the next move so we waste time and memory going down bad subtrees as we look for possible answers.

# **Possible Improvements**

A possible improvement could be using the Manhattan distance of goal block to its goal position. We could have focused on moving the goal block along this line and moving any block that is in the way of its path. We believe this could have prevented us from going down bad and unecessary subtrees by helping us focus on which blocks were the most relevant to move. We believe this would not only significantly speed up our ability to solve puzzles, but also solve some of our memory issues because we would be creating fewer 'BlockCollection' objects.

# **Program Development**

The first thing we did was write main in Solver in pseudo code to get an idea of how we were going to run our program and which tasks would be done in which classes. We then decided to have the tray class keep track of the entire tray, which includes the tray's collection of block and empty spaces, and the length and width of the tray. And we chose to have the solver class keep track of the goals, the current tray, the already seen trays, and the tree of possible moves.

Once we had a general idea of how both the solver and tray class worked, we started working on the methods each of the classes would require. Since tray keeps track of the tray, we wrote headers for methods to find moves, make moves, and find empty spaces. And solver keeps track of finding the solution, so we wrote headers for methods to see if the current tray matches the board, to add all the possible moves to the tree, to find the solution, and to print the solution.

Then, we wrote each method in pseudo code and made new headers for methods that would help our current methods, like a can move method in tray that retuned true if a block could move in a given direction, and a make and undo move in solver, which adjusts the tree when we make or undo a move.

Finally, once everything was filled out in pseudo code and we mostly understood what each method needed to do, we added classes like <code>Block</code>, <code>Move</code>, <code>Space</code>, <code>BlockCollection</code>, <code>SpaceCollection</code>, and <code>AlreadySeenCollection</code>. We decided to make all of these things separate classes so that we would be able to modify them easily without affecting the rest of our program.

Then, we went back to Solver and Tray and started writing in actual code, adding small methods in the different classes as necessary (like getters and setters and equals methods for the Block, Move, Space, BlockCollection, SpaceCollection, and AlreadySeenCollection). As we were writing the code, our primary focus was on getting a working program, and not worrying too much about the efficiency.

We built the program in this sequence because we wanted to make sure we understood the big picture of how everything would work first, and then build accordingly. We also wanted to make sure it was set up in a way that would make changing certain aspects fairly simple and not too destructive to the rest of the code. And we decided to get a working program together first, so that we could then just use the debugging options we had to write to find where the program was slowest, and modify it accordingly.

For test cases, we tried to consider all the edge cases and unexpected behavior or input that could cause our program to stop or break.

- For the Solver class, we tested that when adding possible moves, you can't add a duplicate move to the tray. Also, when we get the next node and it's corresponding move, we can't retrieve a move that is in the alreadySeen collection. We tested to make sure that our program exits correctly; if there are no more possible moves then it exits with System.exit(1). However, if there is a solution, then it will exit normally and print out the moves taken to get to the solution.
- In the Block class, we tested the constructor and confirmed that it was making the blocks with the dimensions that we input into the constructor. We also had tests for setting and changing the length and width of the block objects. We ensured that our clone method made a deep clone instead of a shallow clone, because whenever we make and store a BlockCollection inside alreadySeen, we need to clone all the blocks currently in the tray. We had a test for the equals method so that when searching for blocks inside different types of collections, we would be able to retrieve the correct block. We had tests to make sure that the instance variables of block objects made sense (no negatives and lower row and column should always be bigger than upper row and colum).
- In the Space class, we tested the contructor and made sure that we were getting space objects with the desired instance variables and dimensions. We included tests for the getters and setters to ensure that we're setting and retrieving the correct instance variables. We also tested to make sure that the instance variables of spaces were consistent and made sense.
- In the Tray class, we checked for a lot of the same things that we did in our is0K method. Things that we tested for are that Tray can correctly identify when blocks and/or spaces would be overlapping. We checked that the tray is counting the correct number of blocks and spaces, and that it doesn't exceed the dimensions of the tray. We also test to make sure that the Tray recognizes if and when the dimensions of a block or space is outside the bounds of the tray's dimensions.
- As we created **BlockCollection** we systematically tested each method as we created it. We added tested **add** by adding block objects to a **BlockCollection** object and then checking too see if the blockb object was actually in **myBlocks** for that specific **BlockCollection**. To test **contains** we called it for a block object the

BlockCollection did not contain and it returned false and when we did the opposite it return true. For size we added block objects to the BlockCollection and called the size method which returned the right amount. We tested the Iterator method and found that it correctly returned all of the elements in BlockCollection. To test the clone method we called the equals method on the returned BlockCollection. For containsAll we tested to see if the method returned true for a given subset of a given BlockCollection and false for an unrelated BlockCollection. We tested equals by adding the same Block objects to two different BlockCollection objects and then testing whether or not they were equal. Finally we were able to test getBlockWithCorner by creating BlockCollection objects and then testing the method with coordinates we knew to be either True or false. In the creation of this class we simply made and tested methods as we needed them.

- As we created SpaceCollection we systematically tested each method as we created it. We added tested add by adding space objects to a SpaceCollection object and then checking too see if the Space object was actually in myEmptySpaces for that specific SpaceCollection. We then tested remove to see if the space object we wanted removed was no longer in myEmptySpaces for the specific SpaceCollection. To test contains we called it for a space object the SpaceCollection did not contain and it returned false and when we did the opposite it return true. For size we added spaces objects to the SpaceCollection and called the size method which returned the right amount. Finally we tested the Iterator method and found that it correctly returned all of the elements in SpaceCollection. In the creation of this class we simply made and tested methods as we needed them.
- In the SolverChecker class, we tested that it used the correct constructor depending
  on the arguments that we gave it, and that it gave the appropriate error messages when
  the number of arguments were outside the bounds of what was allowed for
  Solverchecker. We tested the debugging options and made sure that when -o was
  entered along with any of the options specified and the correct statements were printed.
- When we created the move object class we tested it immediately since it would be crucial to our code as a whole. The only method that needed testing was the Constructor. We tested that the coordinates were properly changed in the appropriate direction for each direction with numerous block objects and found the Constructor to be flawless.