

T. 2.1

Prove the correctness of RECURSIVE-DFT, supposing $n = 2^k$

Algorithm 2 RECURSIVE-DFT

Require: An integer $n = 2^k$ and a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$.

Ensure: $\text{DFT}_{\omega_n}(\mathbf{a}) = \hat{\mathbf{a}} = (\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_{n-1})$.

```

1:  $\mathbf{a}^{\text{even}} := (a_0, a_2, \dots, a_{n-2})$ 
2:  $\mathbf{a}^{\text{odd}} := (a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{n-1})$ 
3: if  $n = 2$  then
4:    $\mathbf{a}^{\text{even}} := \mathbf{a}^{\text{even}}$ 
5:    $\mathbf{a}^{\text{odd}} := \mathbf{a}^{\text{odd}}$ 
6: else
7:    $\mathbf{a}^{\text{even}} := \text{RECURSIVE-DFT}(n/2, \mathbf{a}^{\text{even}})$ 
8:    $\mathbf{a}^{\text{odd}} := \text{RECURSIVE-DFT}(n/2, \mathbf{a}^{\text{odd}})$ 
9: end if
10:  $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ 
11:  $w = 1$ 
12: for  $i = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$  do
13:    $\hat{a}_i = \mathbf{a}_i^{\text{even}} + w \mathbf{a}_i^{\text{odd}}$ 
14:    $\hat{a}_{i+2^{k-1}} = \mathbf{a}_i^{\text{even}} - w \mathbf{a}_i^{\text{odd}}$ 
15:    $w := w \cdot \omega_n$ 
16: end for
17: return  $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (\hat{a}_0, \dots, \hat{a}_{n-1})$ .
```

Some preliminary facts:

$$\hat{a}_j = f(\omega_n^j) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} a_t \omega_n^{jt} \quad \text{with } f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \quad (*)$$

$$\omega_n = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right), \quad \omega_{n/2} = \omega_n^2 \quad (**)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= (a_0 + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-2} x^{n-2}) + x(a_1 + a_3 x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-2}) \\
 &= f_{\text{even}}(x^2) + x \cdot f_{\text{odd}}(x^2) \quad (***)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{with } f_{\text{even}}(y) &= a_0 + a_2 y + \dots + a_{n-2} y^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
 f_{\text{odd}}(y) &= a_1 + a_3 y + \dots + a_{n-1} y^{\frac{n-2}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{n-2}{2} = \frac{n}{2} - 1$

IN GENERAL we have

$$\hat{a}_i^{\text{even}} = f_{\text{even}}(\omega_{n/2}^i) = f_{\text{even}}(\omega_n^{2i})$$

$$\hat{a}_i^{\text{odd}} = f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_{n/2}^i) = f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_n^{2i})$$

We want to prove that lines 13-14 of RECURSIVE-DFT actually yield

\hat{a}_i and $\hat{a}_{i+\frac{n}{2}}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, \frac{n}{2}-1\}$.

For line 13 we have:

$$\hat{a}_i^{\text{even}} + \omega_n^i \hat{a}_i^{\text{odd}} = f_{\text{even}}(\omega_n^{2i}) + \omega_n^i f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_n^{2i}) \stackrel{(***)}{=} f(\omega_n^i) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \hat{a}_i \quad \checkmark$$

For line 14 we have:

$$\hat{a}_i^{\text{even}} - \omega_n^i \hat{a}_i^{\text{odd}} = f_{\text{even}}(\omega_n^{2i}) - \omega_n^i f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_n^{2i}) \stackrel{(**)}{=} f_{\text{even}}(\omega_{\frac{n}{2}}^i) - \omega_n^i f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_{\frac{n}{2}}^i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f_{\text{even}}(\omega_{\frac{n}{2}}^{2i} \cdot 1) + \omega_n^{i+\frac{n}{2}} \cdot f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_{\frac{n}{2}}^{2i} \cdot 1) \\ &\quad \text{AS SEEN IN CLASS} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega_{\frac{n}{2}}^{2i} = \omega_n^{4i} \\ \omega_n^{i+\frac{n}{2}} = \omega_n^i \cdot \omega_n^{\frac{n}{2}} = \omega_n^i \cdot (-1) \end{array} \right. \\ &= f_{\text{even}}(\omega_n^{2(i+\frac{n}{2})}) + \omega_n^{i+\frac{n}{2}} \cdot f_{\text{odd}}(\omega_n^{2(i+\frac{n}{2})}) \\ &= f(\omega_n^{i+\frac{n}{2}}) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \hat{a}_{i+\frac{n}{2}} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, lines 13-14 yield what they should $\forall i \in \{0, \dots, \frac{n}{2}-1\}$ and thus the correctness of the algorithm is proven. \square