



Running Multi-model multi-member HR experiments

JRA1/WP9





JRA1 and M4HR experiments

D9.6: Run a M4HR ensemble experiment, i. e.

- Multi-model: at least 3 ESMs
- Multi-member: at least 10 members
- High-resolution: 20-50 km

as one job on a single machine with

Enhanced efficiency (w.r.t. start of project)

while considering

Models, IO, post-processing, work-flow







Software components

Models: CNRM-CM, EC-Earth, HadGEM,

NorESM, CESM-NEMO

Coupler: OASIS3 \rightarrow OASIS3-MCT

IO: CDI and XIOS

Post-processing: CDO

Work-flow: Autosubmit and Cylc

How to organise on the scale of

collaboration, coordination, convergence?





D9.6: Scope change

- 3 HR models x 10 members on
- 1 system with 1 work-flow manager:
- Synchronised model development roadmap between institutes (CMIP6!)
- Large computational resources at relatively short notice
- This turned out impossible.
- Instead: Build and analyse an M4HR demonstrator.





Demonstrator implementation

New objective: Run

- two ensemble members
- of two models (CNRM-CM5, EC-Earth3)
- in high-resolution configuration (T351/T511+ORCA025L75)
- on a single machine (MareNostrum3)
- in a single job submission step (Autosubmit).







Results

- Demonstrator defined (previous slide)
- Autosubmit extended with multi-model features
- Appropriate model configurations provided (incl. Porting to MN3)
- Autosubmit configuration implemented
- Experiments made and performance assessed
- Demonstrator user guide and package provided
- Document D9.6 written (almost)







M4HR computational performance

	Demonstrator		2014 version	
	ARPEGE-NEMO	EC-Earth	ARPEGE-NEMO	EC-Earth
Model version	5	3.2	5	3.1
Platform	MareNostrum III	MareNostrum III	Curie	Ekman
Speed (SYPD)	3.5	1.2	5.0	2.6
Actual speed (ASYPD)	0.11		1.60	n/a
Computing cost (CHPSY)	2783	14521	5190	10353
Energy cost (JPSY)	50.8	294.5	n/a	n/a
Memory bloat	12.8	19.0	n/a	n/a
Data Output cost (%)	1.50	1.12	1.40	n/a
Data intensity (GB/CH)	0.0053	0.0045	0.3	n/a





Thank you for your attention!





JRA1/WP9 deliverables

- D9.1: Initial model performance analysis
- D9.2: Improved coupler performance
- D9.3: Workflow tool assessment
- D9.4: IO server benchmarks
- D9.5: CDO performance analysis
- D9.6: M4HR implementation and analysis