

λ -calculus

Valeran MAYTIE

Halting problem

There is no λ -term H such has $H[t] = T$ if T has a normal form and $H[T] = F$ if T has no normal form.

Let N the set of λ -term that have a normal form.

N is not empty (it contains all the variables) and N is not equal to Λ because it not contains the λ -term Ω .

So we have $\Lambda \setminus N$ non-empty and non-equal to Λ

N and $\Lambda \setminus N$ are closed by the β -reduction.

If $n, n' \in N$ such that $n =_{\beta} n'$ then $n' \in N$. Because n' has the same normal form as n .

If $n, n' \in \Lambda \setminus N$ such that $n =_{\beta} n'$ then $n' \in \Lambda \setminus N$. Because n has no normal form so n' has no normal form.

By Scott's theorem, the set N and $\Lambda \setminus N$ are not recursively separable. So the λ -term H does not exist.

List in pure λ calculus

We define this useful lambda term :

- $[I] = \lambda x.x$
- $[T] = \lambda x.y.x$
- $[F] = \lambda x.y.y$
- $\langle t, u \rangle = \lambda x.x\ t\ u$
- $\pi_1 \langle t, u \rangle = \langle t, u \rangle [T]$
- $\pi_2 \langle t, u \rangle = \langle t, u \rangle [F]$

We define our integers as follows :

- $[0] = [I]$
- $[S] = \lambda n.\langle [F], n \rangle$
- $[isZ] = \lambda n.\pi_1\ t$
- $[P] = \lambda n.\pi_2\ t$

We take the following fixpoint :

$$A = (\lambda xy.y(xxy))$$
$$\Theta = A\ A$$

Finally, we define our lists as follows :

- $[\text{Nil}] = \lambda n.f.n$
- $[x :: l] = \lambda n.f.f\ x\ l$

The list $0 :: 1 :: [\text{Nil}]$ is represented as follows:

$$\lambda n_0.f_0.f_0\ [0]\ (\lambda n_1.f_1.f_1\ [1]\ (\lambda n.f.n))$$

The function $\text{nth}\ k\ l$ which return the k^{nth} element of the list l in an option type.

The options are defined as follows :

- $[\text{None}] = \lambda ns.n$
- $[\text{Some}(x)] = \lambda ns.sx$

Now we can define nth function :

```

nth =  $\Theta$  ( $\lambda$  f k l. l [None]
           ( $\lambda$  x l'. [isZ] k [Some(x)])
           (f ([P] k) l'))

```

Listing 1: Nth function on list

We want to proof this property $\forall k l x, nth[k] [l] =_{\beta} nth[k+1] [x :: l]$.

Proof – We just need to compute one step of $nth[k+1] [x :: l]$

$$\begin{aligned}
nth[k+1] [x :: l] &\rightarrow_{\beta}^* [x :: l] [None] (\lambda x l. [isZ] [k+1] [Some(x)] (nth[k] l)) \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta}^* [x :: l] [None] (\lambda x l. [F] [Some(x)] (nth[k] l)) \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta}^* [x :: l] [None] (\lambda x l. (nth[k] l)) \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta}^* (\lambda x l. (nth[k] l)) x [l] \\
&\rightarrow_{\beta}^* nth[k] [l]
\end{aligned}$$

So by calculation, we have $\forall k l x, nth[k] [l] =_{\beta} nth[k+1] [x :: l]$.

□