λ -calculus

Valeran MAYTIE

Halting problem

There is no λ -term H such has H[t] = T if T has a normal form and H[T] = F if T has no normal form.

Let N the set of λ -term that have a normal form.

N is not empty (it contains all the variables) and N is not equal to Λ because it not contains the λ -term Ω . So we have $\Lambda \setminus N$ non-empty and non-equal to Λ

By Scott's theorem, the set N and $\Lambda \setminus N$ are not recursively separable. So the λ -term H does not exist.

List in pure λ calculus

We define this useful lambda term :

• $[I] = \lambda x.x$

• $\langle t, u \rangle = \lambda x.x \ t \ u$

• $[T] = \lambda x \ y.x$

• $\pi_1 \langle t, u \rangle = \langle t, u \rangle [T]$

• $[F] = \lambda x \ y.y$

• $\pi_2 \langle t, u \rangle = \langle t, u \rangle [F]$

We define our integers as follows:

• [0] = [I]

• $[isZ] = \lambda n.\pi_1 t$

• $[S] = \lambda n.\langle [F], n \rangle$

• $[P] = \lambda n.\pi_2 t$

We take the following fixpoint :

$$\begin{split} A &= (\lambda xy.y(xxy))\\ \Theta &= A\ A \end{split}$$

Finally, we define our lists as follows:

- [Nil] = $\lambda n f. n$
- $[x :: l] = \lambda n f. f x l$

The list 0::1::[Nil] is represented as follows:

$$\lambda n_0 \ f_0. \ f_0 \ [0] \ (\lambda n_1 \ f_1. \ f_1 \ [1] \ (\lambda n \ f. \ n))$$

The function $nth\ k\ l$ which return the k^{nth} element of the list l in an option type. The options are defined as follows :

- $[None] = \lambda ns. n$
- $[Some(x)] = \lambda ns. \ sx$

Now we can define nth function:

Listing 1: Nth function on list

We want to proof this property $\forall k \ l \ x, nth \ [k] \ [l] =_{\beta} nth \ [k+1] \ [x::l].$

 $\mathbf{Proof}-\text{ We just need to compute one step of } nth\left[k+1\right]\left[x::l\right]$

$$\begin{aligned} nth \ [k+1] \ [x :: [l]] \rightarrow^*_{\beta} \ [x :: [l]] \ [None] \ (\lambda x \ l. \ [isZ] \ [k+1] \ [Some(x)] \ (nth \ [k] \ l)) \\ \rightarrow^*_{\beta} \ [x :: [l]] \ [None] \ (\lambda x \ l. \ [F] \ [Some(x)] \ (nth \ [k] \ l)) \\ \rightarrow^*_{\beta} \ [x :: [l]] \ [None] \ (\lambda x \ l. \ (nth \ [k] \ l)) \\ \rightarrow^*_{\beta} \ (\lambda x \ l. \ (nth \ [k] \ l)) \ x \ [l] \\ \rightarrow^*_{\beta} \ nth \ [k] \ [l] \end{aligned}$$

So by calculation, we have $\forall k\ l\ x, nth\ [k]\ [l] =_{\beta} nth\ [k+1]\ [x::l].$