

λ -calculus

Valeran MAYTIE

1 Presentation

- 1935 (a theory of computable functions)
Alonzo Church, attempt at formalizing computation

Functions:

- maths : $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a set of pairs
- programming : instruction to compute an output

1.1 Definitions

We can define the set of λ -terms (Λ) with a grammar:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\Lambda := x, y, z, \dots & \text{(variable)} \\ \quad | \lambda. \Lambda & \text{(functions)} \\ \quad | \Lambda \Lambda & \text{(application)}\end{array}$$

The application is left associative: $(l_1 l_2) l_3$.

1.2 Computation

Example, we want to compute $(\lambda xyz. x z (y z)) (\lambda ab. a) t u$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda xyz. x z (y z)) (\lambda ab. a) t u \\ &= (\lambda yz. (\lambda ab. a) z (y z)) t u \\ &= (\lambda z. (\lambda ab. a) z (t z)) u \\ &= (\lambda ab. a) u (t u) \\ &= (\lambda b. u) (t u) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

Here are some examples of slightly more subtle calculations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\lambda x. (\lambda x. x)) y & (\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)) y \\ = \lambda x. x & = \lambda z. y \end{array}$$

We will define the reduction rewrite rule called β -reduction later.

1.3 Inductive reasoning

We can also define Λ with the smallest set such that :

- $\forall x \in \text{Var}, x \in \Lambda$
- $\forall x \in \text{Var}, \forall t \in \Lambda, \lambda x.t \in \Lambda$
- $\forall t_1 t_2, t_1 t_2 \in \Lambda$

We define Λ by induction, so we can write induction function.

For example, we can write f_v the function who compute the number of variable in term t and $f_{@}$ the function who compute the number of application

$$\begin{cases} f_v(x) &= 1 \\ f_v(\lambda x.t) &= f_v(t) \\ f_v(t_1 t_2) &= f_v(t_1) + f_v(t_2) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} f_{@}(x) &= 0 \\ f_{@}(\lambda x.t) &= f_{@}(t) \\ f_{@}(t_1 t_2) &= 1 + f_{@}(t_1) + f_{@}(t_2) \end{cases}$$

How to prove that some property $P(t)$ is valid for all λ -terms t ?

1. Prove that $\forall x \in \text{Var}, P(x)$ is valid
2. Prove that $\forall x \in \text{Var}, \forall t, P(t) \Rightarrow P(\lambda x.t)$ is valid
3. Prove that $\forall t_1, t_2, P(t_1) \wedge P(t_2) \Rightarrow P(t_1 t_2)$ is valid

Example 1 – We want to prove $H : \forall t, f_v(t) = 1 + f_{@}(t)$

Proof – We proof H by induction on the term t :

- $t = x$, $f_v(x) = 1$ and $f_{@}(x) = 0$, so we have $f_v(x) = 1 + f_{@}(x)$
- $t = \lambda x.t$, we assume that $f_v(t) = 1 + f_{@}(t)$. We calculate $f_v(\lambda x.t) = f_v(t) = 1 + f_{@}(t) = 1 + f_{@}(\lambda x.t)$
- $t = t_1 t_2$, we assume that $f_v(t_1) = 1 + f_{@}(t_1)$ and $f_v(t_2) = 1 + f_{@}(t_2)$. By the calculation $f_v(t_1 t_2) = f_v(t_1) + f_v(t_2) = 1 + f_{@}(t_1) + 1 + f_{@}(t_2) = 1 + f_{@}(t_1 t_2)$

□

1.4 Bound variables and free variables

1.5 α -equivalence

1.6 β -reduction

Example 2 – Make them nice

- $\lambda x. (\lambda x. x y)(\lambda y. x y)$
- $\lambda x y. x(\lambda y. (\lambda y. y) y z)$

Example 3 – Compute $(\lambda f. f f) (\lambda a b. b a b)$

Example 4 – Prove that $f_v(t[x \leftarrow u]) \subseteq (f_v(t) \setminus \{x\}) \cup f_v(u)$