# Bonding mechanism with liquidity on Solana

### Overview

According to <u>aip-1</u>, here is the workflow to enable LP-token bonding on different chains:

- 1. Move OLAS token from Ethereum to the target chain, using (preferably) bridges with minimal trust assumptions, with the best-known security, and minimal manual and team interaction to start the process. Alternatively, acquire OLAS tokens on the target chain using other means of exchange.
- 2. Create an LP-token on the target chain with the bridged OLAS token on the target chain and use popular decentralized exchanges with a Uniswap-v2-style AMM design.
- 3. Transfer LP tokens back to Ethereum using the same bridge methods.
- 4. Enable LP tokens in Autonolas' Treasury in order to create bonding products.
- 5. Use transferred LP tokens for bonding in created bonding products on Ethereum.

On Solana, the Wormhole portal is considered for bridging.

In pursuit of the workflow above, the focus is on selecting a Solana-based Automated Market Maker (AMM) among the top of decentralized exchanges (DEXes) that adhere to a Uniswap-like approach. The aim is to smoothly integrate this with the existing depository model, minimizing the need for modifications. <a href="Orca">Orca</a>, the AMM on Solana, shares similarities with Uniswap but does not allow the creation of non-concentrated pools.

Despite the possibility of creating a <u>Full range deposit</u>, LPs retain the ability to provide concentrated liquidity within a specific range, and receive non-fungible tokens as representations of their liquidity. Since our depository model requires fungible tokens, to address this characteristics of Orca's liquidity provision model, a specialized fungible liquidity wrapper contract is required, the liquidity lockbox program. We implemented two versions of for a possible lockbox program summarized as follows:

- The lockbox program v1 (cf. https://github.com/valory-xyz/lockbox-solana/tree/main/lockbox/programs/liquidit y\_lockbox/src) is designed to allow "bonders" to deposit concentrated liquidity tokens (NFTs) from Orca whirlpool contracts and receive fungible token equivalents. To make this work, only LP NFTs representing a full range can be deposited in order to be exchanged for fungible tokens. (Cf. subsection 'lockbox-v1' below for a more detailed description of the contract)
- The lockbox program v2 (cf. <u>https://github.com/valory-xyz/lockbox-solana/tree/main/lockbox2/programs/liquidity\_lockbox/src</u>) is designed to allow "bonders" to receive in exchange for OLAS

and SOL tokens fungible token equivalents to the liquidity created by depositing a such amount of OLAS and SOL tokens with full range in the (OLAS-SOL) Orca whirlpool. (Cf. subsection 'lockbox-v2' below for a more detailed description of the contract)

Note that both approaches ensures compatibility with the existing depository model (cf. depository math section for the depository model).

To finalize the bonding process, "bonders" will transfer fungible tokens representing the liquidity from Solana to Ethereum via Wormhole, and use the bridged wrapped fungible liquidity tokens to participate in bonding programs on Ethereum mainnet.

## Liquidity lockbox contracts

#### lockbox-v1

The key methods to understand the contract purpose are the following.

### Deposit method:

For a successful deposit, the following conditions must be met:

- Transfer of liquidity NFT to the program lockbox account occurs.
- NFT parameters are verified, including the whirlpool address, all associated accounts, and Tick index ranges (Tick\_lower\_index=-Max, Tick\_upper\_index=Max).

Upon verification, the lockbox data account becomes the rightful owner of the NFT. An amount of fungible liquidity tokens corresponding to the NFT position liquidity is minted in favor of the current LP owner.

#### Withdraw method

This method facilitates a liquidation process, enabling users to exchange a designated quantity (X) of fungible tokens, which represent liquidity NFTs within the contract, for the corresponding liquidated assets tied to those liquidity NFTs. Specifically, once the user selects to withdraw from the liquidity position with identifier id the contract checks whether the identifier id exists and if the liquidity (L) associated with position id is larger than or equals to the requested withdrawal amount X. If these conditions are met, the corresponding liquidity is decreased, applicable fees are accrued and are sent to a predefined fees-collector address, and the X amount of fungible tokens is burnt. Additionally, when L equals X, the position is fully liquidated and closed.

Note. It's important to note that multiple withdrawal calls may be necessary, contingent on whether the user's fungible token amount X is greater than all the deposited position

NFTs. Specifically, if X is less than or equal to the liquidity of the selected position NFT, users can perform the withdrawal with the full amount X. Conversely, if X exceeds the liquidity of all the NFT positions, users must perform successive withdrawals until the total withdrawal amount X is reached.

Finally, note that the current implementation of the withdraw() method presents certain issues as summarized <a href="here">here</a>.

#### lockbox-v2

The key methods to understand the contract purpose are the following.

### Deposit method:

The deposit workflow is the following:

- Once the deposit is called, users holding OLAS and SOL tokens can send them respectively in the OLAS-SOL lockbox PDA accounts.
- Lockbox PDA accounts send the OLAS and SOL tokens to Orca in order to
  increase the liquidity of the lockbox liquidity position (with Tick\_lower\_index=-Max,
  Tick\_upper\_index=Max). Note that, only tokens properly used to create the
  liquidity will be removed from the user accounts, while the remaining ones will still
  be owned by the user.
- Once the liquidity for the lockbox position is increased by an amount L, L fungible tokens are minted in favor of the current user.

The lockbox data account is the rightful owner of all the liquidity contained in its unique position. While the user is the owner of the fungible tokens representing part of the lockbox position.

#### Withdraw method

This method facilitates a liquidation process, enabling users to exchange a designated quantity of fungible tokens, which represent part of the liquidity NFT owned by the contract, for the corresponding liquidated assets tied to such liquidity amount.

Specifically, once the user selects to withdraw a designated quantity of fungible tokens (X) from the lockbox liquidity position, the contract checks whether the liquidity (L) associated with its position is larger than or equals to the requested withdrawal amount X. If this condition is met, the corresponding liquidity is decreased from the lockbox position, applicable fees are accrued and are sent to a predefined fees-collector address, and the X amount of fungible tokens is burnt. Additionally, when L equals X, the position is fully liquidated.

**Advantage with respect to the first approach.** This approach has the advantage of removing the necessity of multiple withdrawal calls. Moreover, the implementation of lockbox-v2.withdraw() method does not present the lockbox-v1.withdraw() issues illustrated <a href="here">here</a>.

## Depository math

In the current depository math model, the OLAS payout calculation for the specified amount of X LP tokens involves:

- applying the formula for liquidity removal in constraint product AMM,
- evaluating another pair asset (e.g. ETH, XDAI, or SOL) using their spot price within the pool.

For simplification, let's consider the Uniswap-v2 pool OLAS-ETH. The same principles apply to the OLAS-xDAI pool, given its 50:50 weighted balancer pool configuration. When creating a bonding program at time t, the 'spot' price of 1 LP in OLAS can be selected as an input parameter and this can be represented as:

priceLP= 2\*RESERVE\_OLAS(t)/totalSupply<sup>1</sup>.

For a bond of X LP-tokens, the resulting OLAS payout is determined by:

OLAS\_payput=priceLP\* tokenAmount\*IDF.<sup>2</sup>

In the Solana case, not all values accrued by the pool can be utilized, as we cannot guarantee that all the LP providers selected are full rangeTherefore, only the liquidity amount deposited for full range is considered, resembling the constraint product AMM, such as Uniswap v2.

When initiating bonding programs, there is no need to get the LP price on-chain, hence the following

https://everlastingsong.github.io/account-microscope/#/whirlpool/listPositions/poolAddress can be utilized. Specifically, from this, we will accrue the amount of liquidity designated as full range, the balances of OLAS token and the assets deposited for full range. These values will be used to determine the LP 'spot' price and the OLAS spot price as for the constant AMM product.

It is important to note that this approach represents an approximation of real prices represented in the pool. Notably, the prices in the Orca pool, considering positions that are not in full range, may differ from the spot prices. However, this approximation is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Appendix to understand where this formula comes from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> IDF is an inverse discount factor applied to the bond, and depends on the production of code from the past tokenomics epoch. IDF is larger or equal then 1 and smaller or equal then 1.1.

necessary measure to maintain consistency with the current depository logic and avoid unnecessary changes.

## **Appendix**

LP-price formula using Uniswap formula for liquidation

Hence, the first thing to do is try to evaluate an LP-share in OLAS. To do that, it is possible to use the following formula from Uniswap liquidation.

 If user holds x LP-share and remove its liquidity at time t=t0, the users will receive

no=RESERVE\_OLAS(t)\*x/totalSupply OLAS and ne=RESERVE\_ETH(t)\*x/totalSupply ETH, (1) Where RESERVE\_OLAS(t) and RESERVE\_ETH(t) are respectively the reserves of OLAS and ETH in the pool at the time t.

2. Since we need to estimate how many OLAS we need to allocate, we need to compute how many OLAS I can receive by swapping one ETH in the pool.

Which can be obtained as follows:

mo= RESERVE\_OLAS(t)ne/( RESERVE\_ETH(t)+ne)

Assuming that ne is significantly smaller than Re(t) this value can be approximated as

mo~ RESERVE\_OLAS(t)/ RESERVE\_ETH(t)\*ne

In the above eq. replacing the ne value we get mo $\sim$  x/totalSupply\*Ro(t).

Hence, in this idealist case, we can estimate the price of x LP-share as

x\*2\* RESERVE\_OLAS(t)/totalSupply. (\*)

#### References

- 1. <a href="https://pencilflip.medium.com/solanas-token-program-explained-de0ddce29714">https://pencilflip.medium.com/solanas-token-program-explained-de0ddce29714</a>
- 3. <a href="https://docs.orca.so/orca-for-liquidity-providers/master">https://docs.orca.so/orca-for-liquidity-providers/master</a>
- 4. <a href="https://orca-so.gitbook.io/orca-developer-portal/orca/welcome">https://orca-so.gitbook.io/orca-developer-portal/orca/welcome</a>
- 5. <a href="https://docs.orca.so/orca-for-liquidity-providers/community-listing/how-to-guides/how-to-add-a-token-to-the-orca-token-list">https://docs.orca.so/orca-for-liquidity-providers/community-listing/how-to-guides/how-to-add-a-token-to-the-orca-token-list</a>
- 6. https://pencilflip.medium.com/solanas-token-program-explained-de0ddce29714
- 7. <a href="https://github.com/solana-nft-programs/token-manager">https://github.com/solana-nft-programs/token-manager</a>
- 8. <a href="https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpool/s\_sdk/create\_own\_whirlpools/tools">https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpool/s\_sdk/create\_own\_whirlpools/tools</a>
- 9. <a href="https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/pool/sdk/swap\_using\_phantom/with\_wallet\_adapter">https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/tree/main/orca/pool/sdk/swap\_using\_phantom/with\_wallet\_adapter</a>
- 10. <a href="https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpool/s\_sdk/08a\_close\_position.ts#L216">https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpool/s\_sdk/08a\_close\_position.ts#L216</a>
- 11. <a href="https://github.com/everlastingsong/solsandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpoolssandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpoolssandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpoolssandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpoolssandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpool/whirlpool/sandbox/blob/main/orca/whirlpool/whirlpo
- 12. <a href="https://stackoverflow.com/questions/70082314/convert-ethereum-uint256-to-sola">https://stackoverflow.com/questions/70082314/convert-ethereum-uint256-to-sola</a> na-rust-u64-in-smart-contract
- 13. <a href="https://github.com/orca-so/whirlpools/blob/2c9366a74edc9fefd10caa3de28ba8a0603fc1e/programs/whirlpool/src/state/position.rs#L20">https://github.com/orca-so/whirlpools/blob/2c9366a74edc9fefd10caa3de28ba8a0603fc1e/programs/whirlpool/src/state/position.rs#L20</a>
- 14. Deploy SPL and add liquidity to SPL/SOL pool on Orca <a href="https://gist.github.com/Flydexo/c4ed592dcb83c338c5ac7e4246512e83">https://gist.github.com/Flydexo/c4ed592dcb83c338c5ac7e4246512e83</a>
- 15. Helper class to interact with a Whirlpool account and build complex transactions <a href="https://orca-so.github.io/whirlpools/interfaces/Whirlpool.html">https://orca-so.github.io/whirlpools/interfaces/Whirlpool.html</a>
- 16. <a href="https://medium.com/coinmonks/pricing-uniswap-v3-nft-positions-ad18608b8c81">https://medium.com/coinmonks/pricing-uniswap-v3-nft-positions-ad18608b8c81</a>
- 17. <a href="https://solanacookbook.com/core-concepts/accounts.html#facts">https://solanacookbook.com/core-concepts/accounts.html#facts</a>
- 18. <a href="https://www.sec3.dev/blog/solana-programs-part-2-understanding-spl-associated-token-account">https://www.sec3.dev/blog/solana-programs-part-2-understanding-spl-associated-token-account</a>