

Mitigation review

Project: Olas Lockbox v2 (after Cantina campaign)

Commit: <u>0c652b0528dc522f92b7191862897cbbe8f159f9</u>

Start Date: 2024-03-08

Scope of mitigation measures to review:

PR 13 - Adding vulnerabilities doc for lockbox2 specified by the Cantina audit findings.

PR 14 - Addressing sandwich attack fix in the deposit() function

PR 15 - Addressing small Cantina audit findings

Reaction to suggestions of this mitigation review:

Commit: 8b61218c4cbfaad05689f9e9f303239ec14d4918

Review Date: 2024-03-15

Scope: PR 18 - Addressing external audit findings

1. Sandwich attack mitigation

After introduction of a <u>liquidity_amount</u> parameter in the deposit() function, it is ensured the a user receives the expected <u>liquidity_amount</u> of bridged tokens while only spending token max a of SOL and token max b of OLAS in the worst-case.

As a consequence of those in- and output constraints, a user is **sufficiently** protected from a sandwich attack.

Furthermore, the direct use of liquidity_amount, token_max_a and token_max_b with the underlying Whirlpool program led to obsolete code, which was previously used for the computation of the liquidity & token b amounts, that facilitated the sandwich attack in the first place.

Consequently, the <u>get liquidity from token a()</u> function became obsolete too and was removed and therefore also resolved the <u>Division before multiplication in liquidity lockbox::get liquidity from token a(...) #50</u> issue.

In addition, the approval of any unused SOL & OLAS tokens (not all of token max a/token max b used) is revoked (set to 0).





2. Improvements according to Low and Informational findings

1. Hardcoded PROGRAM_ID was replaced with the implicitly available ID constant. Instances: #1 & #2

 $\textbf{\it Recommendation:} \ \textbf{\it Use } \ \textbf{\it liquidity_lockbox::ID} \ to \ improve \ readability/clarity.$

OK 🇸

2. Length verification of position account now returning / reverting with error code instead of using assert!() macro.

Instance: #1

OK 🗸

3. Moved ownership checks of lower/upper tick arrays from function body to function account context constraints (of deposit() and withdraw() methods). Instances: from #1 & #2 to #3 & #4

OK 🗸

4. Error handling improvement: Return / revert with error code instead of using panic!() macro.

Instances: #1 [overflow handling, deposit()] & #2 [underflow handling, withdraw()] OK

5. Implemented address check to ensure that the pda_position_account is exactly the one in the lockbox account.

Instances: #1 & #2

OK 🗸

6. Use actually declared token account in corresponding Anchor constraint (instead of elsewhere declared token mint).

It's still ensured that the token mint is exactly the one in the lockbox account. Instances: #1 & #2

OK 🇸

7. Removed unused system_program and rent from function account context. Instance: #1

OK 🗸



3. Further concerns

1. Wrong comment over deposit() method: User deposits SOL & OLAS tokens, not an NFT.

Instance: #1 Fixed: #1 OK ✓

2. Inconsistent declaration of position account.

Instances: #1 vs. #2

Recommendation: Add has one = position mint to #1.

Fixed: #1; also at initialization and explicitly added position mint account with

correct address & supply checks

OK 🗸

3. Issue <u>Attacker can frontrun lockbox initialization to provide own fee token accounts #52</u> is unmitigated.

Acknowledged by the team: By design the contract has no ownership access, so we assume that the initialization is correctly done by the deployer.

OK 🗸

4. Out-of-scope concerns

Not part of Lockbox v2 but still part of PR 15.

1. Anchor's account <u>close()</u> function, see <u>source code</u>, is not doing exactly the same as the <u>previous code</u>.

After defunding, instead of zeroing the account's data and overwriting it with the CLOSED_ACCOUNT_DISCRIMINATOR, the account is now assigned to the system program and then its size is reallocated to 0.

Acknowledged by the team: here

OK 🗸

2. The signer account is <u>declared read-only</u> (missing Anchor mutable constraint) which is technically incorrect since it's modified/written when closing a position.

Fixed: here

OK 🗸