

Engineering AI Assistant

General Oil & Gas Engineering Teams

Date: February 2026

Author: ACE Engineer

Confidential

Engineering AI Assistant

General Oil & Gas Engineering Teams

Powered by large language model technology with deep O&G engineering, production analytics, and regulatory data context

Page 1 — What It Does

Engineering Areas Covered

Drilling & Well Engineering

- **ROP modelling** — Bourgoyne-Young (8-parameter) and Warren power-law models; parameter fitting from offset well data
- **Drilling hydraulics** — ECD calculation, annular pressure loss, bit hydraulics; kick tolerance and kill mud weight
- **Casing & tubular design** — API 5CT burst/collapse/tension envelopes; casing pipe sizing to API RP 7G
- **Wellhead fatigue** — S-N based wellhead fatigue life; drilling riser integrity checks
- **Dysfunction detection** — stick-slip, whirl, and bit-bounce pattern recognition from surface data

Reservoir & Production Analytics

- **Decline curve forecasting** — Arps exponential/hyperbolic/harmonic with `fit_from_data()` from production history; multi-well batch CLI
- **BSEE GoM production data** — monthly well/lease/block production (API-12), well spud/completion records, infrastructure inventory
- **US shale analytics** — EIA Drilling Productivity Report by basin; `ShaleDeclineAnalyzer` for rate/EUR benchmarking
- **Global production databases** — SODIR (Norway NCS), UKCS, ANP (Brazil), Texas RRC — decline analysis and field benchmarking
- **Field economics** — NPV/IRR, lease cash flow, workover decision support; BSEE cost calibration against GoM actuals
- **Decommissioning economics** — cost model, late-life P&A, regulatory obligation quantification

Pipeline & Subsea Integrity

- **Wall thickness design** — DNV-OS-F101 pressure containment, collapse, propagation buckling; ASME B31.8 operating limits
- **Free span VIV** — DNV-RP-F105 full implementation: natural frequency (Se/Pcr , Ca), onset screening (K_s , ψ proximity), IL/CF amplitude, fatigue damage ($D_{IL} + D_{CF}$), allowable span length
- **Fitness for Service** — API 579-1 Level 1/2: UT thickness grid → CTP map → RSF → MAWP re-rating; B31G/RSTRENG MAOP de-rating; remaining life and re-inspection scheduling
- **Cathodic protection** — DNV-RP-B401/F103 anode design (4 standard routes); sacrificial anode sizing; inspection scheduling

Regulatory Data & Compliance

- **BSEE GoM data** — operator lease activity, rig fleet, well verification, environmental incident records; GoA Cook Inlet data
- **Safety incident analysis** — BSEE/USCG MISLE casualty records; ISM Code non-conformity extraction; root-cause taxonomy; statistical benchmarking against comparable incidents
- **Metocean data** — NOAA NDBC buoy data; return period estimation; 100-yr $H_s/T_p/\text{current}$ for GoM, GoA, North Sea, Brazil
- **Standards quick-lookup** — API RP 7G, API 5CT, DNV-OS-F101, DNV-RP-F105, API 579-1, NACE MR0175, API RP 580 — version-aware code interpretation on demand

Sample Conversations

QUESTION	TIME: MANUAL	TIME: AI
"Fit Arps decline to this well's production history and forecast 5-yr EUR"	2–4 hr	10 min
"Design casing string for 12,000 ft well, 8.5 ppg mud, 5,500 psi shut-in"	2–4 hr	20 min
"Assess FFS for 20% wall loss at a girth weld — RSTRENG vs B31G"	2–4 hr	15 min
"Calculate DNV-RP-F105 allowable span for 10\" OD, 0.562\" WT, 300m WD"	1–2 hr	5 min
"What is the GoM 100-yr H_s for Green Canyon and how does GoA compare?"	1–2 hr	2 min
"Analyse this BSEE incident report — identify root causes and CFR citations"	3–6 hr	20 min

Page 2 — Roadmap & Next Steps

Phased Deployment

PHASE 1 – Desktop Q&A Demo	Week 1
Live demo: drilling · production · pipeline · regulatory data	
Cost: \$0 additional · uses existing AI subscriptions	
PHASE 2 – Microsoft Teams Chatbot	Weeks 2-4
Discipline-specific bots in your existing Teams channels	
Separate context per team: wells · reservoir · integrity	
Cost: ~\$20-200/month · Azure Bot Service + Claude API	
PHASE 3 – Document Intelligence	Months 2-3
Index your well files, inspection records, production reports	
"What was the EUR on our Permian infill programme?" → instant	
Cost: ~\$500-2,000/month · RAG backend + document index	
PHASE 4 – Automated QC & Analytics	Months 4-6
Cross-check AFEs vs actuals · flag code non-compliance	
Decline curve monitoring · pipeline integrity trend alerts	
Grows smarter with every well and inspection added	

What the AI Does Not Do

- Does not replace the drilling engineer, reservoir engineer, or integrity assessor
- Does not execute simulation software (PIPESIM, Eclipse, Landmark, Petrel) directly
- All outputs carry a disclaimer: *preliminary/informational, requires qualified engineer verification*
- No proprietary well or production data stored without explicit setup
- Regulatory data (BSEE/EIA) is public-domain; company well data requires explicit connection

Expected Returns

METRIC	CURRENT	WITH AI	SAVING
Code & standard lookups	15–30 min	1–2 min	90%
Decline curve fit + 5-yr EUR	2–4 hr	10–15 min	88%
Casing / wall thickness sizing	2–4 hr	20–30 min	85%
FFS Level 1/2 screening	2–4 hr	15–30 min	85%
BSEE/regulatory data lookup	1–2 hr	5 min	92%
Incident report root-cause analysis	3–6 hr	20–40 min	88%

Pilot Proposal

- Week 1** — Live demo (30 min) with 3–5 real engineering questions from your teams
- Week 2–3** — Pilot: wells + reservoir + integrity teams on active project work
- Week 4** — ROI review · decision on Teams chatbot (one bot per discipline team)
- Month 2+** — Full team rollout · well file and inspection record indexing

Full O&G engineering stack — wells, production, pipeline, and compliance — in one assistant. Real regulatory data, real standards, real calculations. Not a generic chatbot.