

## CS 726: Practice Questions on Learning Potentials

1. Consider an undirected graphical model  $G$  used to model  $\Pr(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with only a single potential over each edge  $(i, j) \in G$  as  $\psi(x_i, x_j) = \sigma$  if  $x_i = x_j$ ,  $\psi(x_i, x_j) = 1$  otherwise. Thus,  $\Pr(x_1, \dots, x_n | \sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{(i,j) \in G} \psi(x_i, x_j)$

Assume each  $x_j$  takes values from  $1 \dots m$ . Let the training data consist of a single fully labeled graph, that is,  $D = \{\mathbf{x}^1\}$ .

- (a) Assume,  $\mathbf{x}^1 = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$  and  $G$  a chain graph  $x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4$ , and  $m = 2$ . Write the value of  $\Pr(\mathbf{x}^1 | \sigma)$  purely in terms of  $\sigma$ , that is, even  $Z$  should be written in terms of  $\sigma$ . ..2

$\Pr(\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\sigma^{n_s(\mathbf{x})}}{Z(\sigma)}$  where  $n_s(\mathbf{x})$  is the number of adjacent vertices in  $\mathbf{x}$  that have the same label.

$$\Pr(\mathbf{x}^1 | \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^1}{Z}$$

For  $Z$ , we go over all 16 possible ways of labeling  $\mathbf{x}$  and count for each value of  $n_s$ , the count  $c(n_s)$  of labelings  $\mathbf{x}$  that will have that many adjacent variables with same labels.

$$\text{This comes to } \sum_{n_s=0}^3 \sigma^{n_s} c(n_s) = 2 + \sigma * 6 + \sigma^2 * 6 + \sigma^3 * 2$$

$$\text{Thus, we have } \Pr(\mathbf{x}^1 | \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^1}{2 + \sigma * 6 + \sigma^2 * 6 + \sigma^3 * 2}$$

- (b) Write the gradient of the training objective wrt  $\sigma$  in as simplified a form as possible. [The gradient should be for general graphs, and not just for the example graph in part (a) above.] ..2

The loglikelihood of the training data

$$LL(D | \sigma) = n_s(\mathbf{x}^1) \log \sigma - \log Z(\sigma)$$

Its gradient wrt  $\sigma$  is

$$\frac{n_s(\mathbf{x}^1)}{\sigma} - \sum_{(i,j) \in E} \left( \sum_{\ell} \Pr(x_i = \ell, x_j = \ell) \right)$$

- (c) Solve for  $\sigma$  in closed form in terms of properties of  $D$  for the case when  $G$  is a tree? ..5 In this case since we have no node potentials and only the given edge potential, the message that any node  $i$  sends to a node  $j$  is uniform. In a tree, the marginal probability of any edge is equal to  $\psi_{ij}(x_i, x_j) m_{i \rightarrow j}(x_i) m_{j \rightarrow i}(x_j)$ . This implies that:  $\sum_{\ell} \Pr(x_i = \ell, x_j = \ell) = m \frac{\sigma}{m\sigma + (m-1)m}$ . Thus, we solve for  $n_s/\sigma - E \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + (m-1)} = 0$  to get the value of  $\sigma$ .

- (d) Now assume that we have a training dataset  $D$  with partially observed set of variables with  $n = 3, m = 2$ , and  $G$  a complete graph (a triangle since  $n = 3$ ). Let  $D = \{(x_1^1, x_2^1) = (1, 1), (x_2^2, x_3^2) = (0, 1)\}$ , that is, the first instance has variable  $x_3$  hidden and second instance has  $x_1$  hidden. We will use the EM algorithm to solve for  $\sigma$ . Assume at some time  $t$ ,  $\sigma_t = 2$ . For the next iteration, work out the  $E$  and  $M$  steps. Solve for the optimal value of  $\sigma$  in the  $M$  step.

i.  $E$ -step. ..3  $\Pr(x_3^1 = 1 | (x_1^1, x_2^1) = (1, 1), \sigma_t) = \frac{\sigma_t^2}{\sigma_t^2 + 1} = 4/(4 + 1) = 4/5$ .

$$\Pr(x_1^2 = 1 | (x_2^2, x_3^2) = (0, 1), \sigma_t) = \frac{\sigma_t}{\sigma_t + \sigma_t} = 1/2.$$

ii.  $M$ -step.

..3  $Z$  for this problem is  $6\sigma + 2\sigma^3$ .

The  $M$  step becomes:

$$\max_{\sigma} (4/5 \log \sigma^3 + 1/5 \log \sigma + 1/2 \log \sigma + 1/2 \log \sigma - 2 \log (6\sigma + 2\sigma^3))$$

2. Consider a  $n \times n$  grid graph  $G = (V, E)$  where  $V$  are vertices and  $E$  are edges of  $G$ . Each node  $k \in V$  is a binary random variable  $y_k$  which takes value 1 or 0 depending on whether it is part of foreground or background. Each node is attached with a  $x_k$  that is a real-value denoting its propensity to be foreground. There are only three features in this UGM

$$f_1((y_k), (k), \mathbf{x}) = x_k y_k$$

$$f_2((y_k), (k), \mathbf{x}) = y_k$$

$$f_3((y_k, y_j), (k, j), \mathbf{x}) = y_k y_j + (1 - y_k)(1 - y_j) \text{ if } (k, j) \in E, 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

(1)

Let  $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3]$  denote the corresponding weights of these three features  $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, f_2, f_3]$ .

Also, consider an instance  $(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$  for a  $3 \times 3$  grid for which the value of features  $x_k^i$  and correct

label  $y_k^i$  are as given as:

$x_1 = 0.0, y_1 = 0$	$x_2 = 1.5, y_2 = 1$	$x_3 = 1.0, y_3 = 0$
$x_4 = 1.4, y_4 = 1$	$x_5 = 2.6, y_5 = 1$	$x_6 = 1.0, y_6 = 1$
$x_7 = 0.5, y_7 = 0$	$x_8 = 2.0, y_8 = 1$	$x_9 = 0.0, y_9 = 0$

- (a) Write the expression for  $\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  in terms of  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, x_k, y_k$  for  $k \in V$  [Do not use  $f_k()$ s but their defined values above. E.g. use  $x_k y_k$  in place of  $f_1()$  etc.] ..1

$$\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{x}^i)} \prod_{k \in V} (\exp(\theta_1 x_k y_k + \theta_2 y_k)) \prod_{(k,j) \in E} \exp(\theta_3 (y_k y_j + (1 - y_k)(1 - y_j)))$$

- (b) Compute the value of the normalizer  $Z(\mathbf{x}^i)$  at  $[\theta_1^t, \theta_2^t, \theta_3^t] = [0, 0, 0]$  ..2

Since all the  $\theta$ s are zero, we have that for all  $\mathbf{y}$  the  $\theta \cdot \mathbf{f}$  term is zero, that is numerator above is 1. Thus,  $Z(\mathbf{x}^i) = \text{number of } \mathbf{y} \text{ combinations possible which is } 2^9$

- (c) Compute the gradient of  $\log \Pr(\mathbf{y}^i | \mathbf{x}^i, \theta^t)$  wrt  $\theta_1$  at  $[\theta_1^t, \theta_2^t, \theta_3^t] = [0, 0, 0]$  ..2

Since all  $\mathbf{y}$ -s are equally likely, the marginal probability for each  $y_k$  is the same at  $1/2$ . Thus, the gradient:  $f_1(\mathbf{y}^i, \mathbf{x}^i) - E_{\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}^i, \theta^t)}[f_1(\mathbf{y}^i, \mathbf{x}^i)]$  can be easily computed as.  $\sum_{k \in V} [x_k^i y_k^i - 1/2(x_k^i)] = \sum_{k \in V} x_k^i y_k^i - x_k^i/2$

3. Consider the problem of training the parameters of a simple HMM of length two where the state and observation variables are binary. Thus, we have two state variables  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  and two observation variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and all four variables can take one of two possible values. The parameters of the HMM are  $\Pr(y_1)$   $\Pr(y_2|y_1)$  and  $\Pr(x_1|y_1)$  and  $\Pr(x_2|y_2)$ . Assume  $\Pr(x_1|y_1) = \Pr(x_2|y_2) = \Pr(x_t|y_t)$  We use the EM algorithm for training the parameters.

Let the initial values at  $t = 0$  be

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr^t(y_1 = 0) &= \theta_0^t = 0.5 \\ \Pr^t(y_2 = 0|y_1 = 0) &= \theta_1^t = 0.7, \quad \Pr^t(y_2 = 0|y_1 = 1) = \theta_2^t = 0.2 \\ \Pr^t(x_t = 0|y_t = 0) &= \theta_3^t = 0.1, \quad \Pr^t(x_t = 0|y_t = 1) = \theta_4^t = 0.8. \end{aligned}$$

For a dataset  $D$  consisting of these two sequences  $\mathbf{x}^1 = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^2 = [1, 1]$ .

- (a) E-step: Estimate the values of  $\Pr(y_1|\mathbf{x}^1, \theta^t)$  ..3

For the E-step

The node potentials at  $y_1$ , call them  $\psi(y_1) = \Pr(y_1) \Pr(x_1^i|y_1)$

The node potentials at  $y_2$ , call them  $\psi(y_2) = \Pr(x_2^i|y_2)$

The edge potential  $\psi(y_1, y_2) = \Pr(y_2|y_1)$ .

Using this we get that for  $\mathbf{x}^1$ ,  $\psi(y_1) = [0.1, 0.8]$ ,  $\psi(y_2) = [0.9, 0.2]$ ,

The message from  $y_2$  to  $y_1 = \sum_{y_2} \psi(y_2) \Pr(y_2|y_1) = [0.9 * 0.7 + 0.2 * 0.3, 0.9 * 0.2 + 0.2 * 0.8]$

Multiplying this message with  $\psi(y_1)$  gives us  $\Pr(y_1|\mathbf{x}^1)$ .

- (b) M-step: In the M-step write the formula for the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta_0$  in terms of  $\Pr(y_1|\mathbf{x}^1, \theta^t)$  and  $\Pr(y_1|\mathbf{x}^2, \theta^t)$ . ..1

This will just be  $(\Pr(y_1 = 0|\mathbf{x}^1, \theta^t) + \Pr(y_1 = 0|\mathbf{x}^2, \theta^t))/2$