

## QUESTION 2

PART (a)

$$(i) \Pr(q_{t+1}=k | q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_T) = \frac{\Pr(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_T)}{\Pr(q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_T)}$$

Taking numerator,

$$p_r(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_T) = p_r(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_t) \cdot p_r(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, o_1, \dots, o_t)$$

$$= \beta_{t+1}(\kappa) \cdot \Pr(a_{t+1} = \kappa, o_{t+1} | a_t = j, o_1, \dots, o_t) \cdot \Pr(a_t = j, o_1, \dots, o_t)$$

↑  
due to output  
independence

$$= \beta_{t+1}(u) \cdot \Pr(O_{t+1} | q_{t+1} = u) \Pr(q_{t+1} = u | q_t = j) \cdot \alpha_t(j)$$

due to output  
independence

$\pi$   
due to work  
property

$$= \beta_{t_{el}}(u) \cdot b_{u}(O_{t_{el}}) \cdot a'_{jk} \cdot \alpha_t(j)$$

Taking denominator,

$$Pr(q_k=j, o_1, \dots, o_T) = Pr(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | q_k=j) Pr(q_k=j, o_1, \dots, o_t)$$

due to ~~input~~<sup>↑</sup> independence

$$= \beta_t(j) \alpha_t(j)$$

∴ Finally,

$$Pr(q_{t+1}=k | \mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{j}, o_1, \dots, o_T) = \frac{\beta_{k+1}(u) b_{jk}(o_{t+1}) a_{jk}(j)}{\beta_T(j) \alpha_T(j)}$$

$$= \frac{[\beta_{t+1}(k)] [\alpha_k(0_{t+1})] [a_{jk}]}{\beta_t(j)}$$

$$(ii) \Pr(q_{t+1}=i, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=k | o_1, \dots, o_T)$$

$$= \frac{\Pr(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=i, o_1, \dots, o_T)}{\Pr(o_1, \dots, o_T)}$$

Taking numerator,

$$\Pr(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=i, o_1, \dots, o_T)$$

$$= \Pr(o_{t+1} \dots o_T | q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=i, o_1 \dots o_{t+1})$$

$$\Pr(q_{t+1}=k, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=i, o_1 \dots o_{t+1})$$

$$= \beta_{t+1}(k) \Pr(q_{t+1}=k, o_{t+1} | q_t=j) \cdot \Pr(q_t=j, o_t | q_{t-1}=i)$$

↑  
due to output  
independence

↓  
due to  
hidden properties  
(marker, output)

$$\cdot \Pr(q_{t-1}=i, o_1 \dots o_{t-1})$$

$$= [\beta_{t+1}(k)] [b_k(o_{t+1}) \cdot a_{jk}] [b_j(o_t) a_{ij}] [d_{t-1}(i)]$$

Taking denominator,

$$\Pr(o_1, \dots, o_T) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Pr(o_1, \dots, o_T, q_t=i) \quad \begin{matrix} (N \text{ is number of} \\ \text{states} \\ t \text{ is any number} \\ \text{in } [1, T]) \end{matrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \Pr(o_{t+1} \dots o_T | o_1 \dots o_t, q_t=i)$$

$$\Pr(o_1 \dots o_t, q_t=i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \Pr(o_{t+1} \dots o_T | q_t=i) \Pr(o_1 \dots o_t, q_t=i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_t(i) d_t(i)$$

↖ Noted that for any  $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$ ,  
this value must be same

∴ Finally,

$$\Pr(q_{t+1}=i, q_t=j, q_{t-1}=k | o_1 \dots o_T)$$

$$= \frac{\beta_{t+1}(k) b_k(o_{t+1}) a_{jk} b_j(o_t) a_{ij} d_{t-1}(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N d_t(i) \beta_t(i)}$$



(b) The original Viterbi recursion involves finding;

$$V_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^N V_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t)$$

$$= b_j(o_t) \max_{i=1}^N V_{t-1}(i) a_{ij}$$

Now, we have  $a_{ii} = p$ ,  $a_{ij} = q$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $p > q$ .

\* Note that while computing  $V_t(j)$  themselves, we can keep track of the top two maximums of  $V_{t-1}$  without any additional overhead. Let them be  $\alpha, \beta$  for  $V_{t-1}$  array.

\* Now, ~~if~~ if we find the value of  $V_t(j)$  in  $O(1)$ , we are done and left with an  $O(NT)$  algorithm.

\* Now, ~~for~~ for that we need to find  $\max_{i=1}^N V_{t-1}(i) a_{ij}$  in  $O(1)$ .  
i.e., we want  ~~$\max_{i=1}^N V_{t-1}(i) a_{ij}$~~   $\max(p V_{t-1}(j), q \max_{i \neq j} V_{t-1}(i))$

\* So, if we find  $\max_{i=1, i \neq j}^N V_{t-1}(i)$  in  $O(1)$ , we are done.

Now, this can be found as follows,

if  $V_{t-1}(j) == \alpha$ , then  $\max_{i=1, i \neq j}^N V_{t-1}(i) = \beta$ .

else  $\max_{i=1, i \neq j}^N V_{t-1}(i) = \alpha$ .

This is obvious since  $\alpha, \beta$  are the top two maximums of  $V_{t-1}$ . Note that if the maximum value  $\alpha$  occurs multiple times, then  $\beta = \alpha$ .

\* Now, while we get the values of  $V_t(j)$ , we keep track of top two maximum values to help in next iteration.

\* Similarly,  $\alpha_{\max}$  can be computed along the same lines.

Thus, we have an  $O(NT)$  algorithm.

(C) Viterbi recursion is essentially a dynamic programming method (DP)

~~So, we extend the states of the BDP to as follows~~  
 ~~$V_{t,n,y}'(j)$  to denote  $\max_{a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, q_1, \dots, q_{t-1}} P(a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, q_1, \dots, q_{t-1} | \lambda)$~~   
~~under the constraint that~~  
~~if  $q_t = j, \exists x <$~~

let  ~~$V_{t,n,y}'(j)$~~  denote the usual  ~~$V_{t,n,y}'(j)$~~   $V_{t,n,y}'(j)$  denotes that  
 $\max_{a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, q_1, \dots, q_{t-1}} P(a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, q_1, \dots, q_{t-1} | \lambda)$  under the constraint that  
 $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}$   $k$ -length  
 $\exists$  a consecutive subsequence in  $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}$  in which all are equal.

~~Now, the usual recursion of  $V_{t,n,y}'(j)$  will hold, i.e.,~~

~~Now, we have, the usual~~  
 We compute the usual Viterbi recursion,

$$V_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^N V_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t)$$

Additionally, we compute  $V_t'(j)$  as follows,

$$V_t'(j) = \max_{i=1}^N \left( V_{t-1}'(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t) \right) \quad \text{support is considered if } t \geq k.$$

$$V_t'(j) = \max_{i=1}^N \left( \underbrace{[a_{ij} b_j(o_t)] [a_{ij} b_j(o_{t-1})] \dots [a_{ij} b_j(o_{t-k+1})]}_{k-1 \text{ terms } b_j = a_{ij}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_j(o_{t-i+1})} \right) \times V_{t-k+1}(j)$$

The explanation of the above expression is as follows,

\* ~~Either~~ we could simply consider all  $a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}$  which already ~~containing~~ contain a  $k$ -length subsequence with equal values,

(or)  
 \* we consider the possibility that  $q_t$  to  $q_{t-k+1}$  are all equal to  $j$  ~~and~~, which is nothing but finding,

$$\max_{a_1, \dots, a_{t-k}} P(a_1, \dots, a_{t-k}, o_1, \dots, o_t, a_{t-k+1} = j, \dots, a_t = j | \lambda)$$

$$= \max_{a_1, \dots, a_{t-k}} \left[ P(a_1, \dots, a_{t-k}, o_1, \dots, o_t, a_{t-k+1} = j) \times \right. \\
\left. P[a_{t-k+1} = j, \dots, a_t = j | a_{t-k+1} = j] \right]$$

↓ due to Markov property.



$$= \max_{a_1 \dots a_{t-k}} p(a_1 \dots a_{t-k}, 0_1 \dots 0_{t-1}, a_{t-k+1} = j, \dots, a_{t-1} = j | \lambda) \\ \times \underbrace{p(a_t, a_t = j | a_{t-1} = j)}_{= a_{jj} b_j(o_t)}$$

∴ doing this  $k-1$  times

$$= \left[ a_{jj}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_j(o_{t-i+1}) \right] \underbrace{\max_{a_1 \dots a_{t-k}} p(a_1 \dots a_{t-k}, 0_1 \dots 0_{t-k}, a_{t-k+1} = j | \lambda)}_{= \cancel{v_{t-k+1}} v_{t-k+1}(j)} \quad (\text{by definition}) \\ = \left[ a_{jj}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_j(o_{t-i+1}) \right] v_{t-k+1}(j).$$

Now, finally we get  $v'$  which is what we wanted.

To, backtrack, just take

$$\cancel{bt_t(j)} \quad (we\ have, \ v'_t(j) = \max \left( \begin{array}{l} \max_{i=1}^N v'_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t), \\ \left[ a_{jj}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} b_j(o_{t-i+1}) \right] v_{t-k+1}(j) \end{array} \right) \quad \text{--- (A)})$$

Now,

$$bt_t(j) = \begin{cases} \max_{i=1}^N v'_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t) & \text{if } A \geq B \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{--- (B)}$$

Now, while backtracking, if we encounter  $-1$ , we simply take the current state  $k$  times and then continue from  $bt_{t-k+1}$  onwards.

Thus, the algorithm is complete, by computing both  $v_t(j)$  &  $v'_t(j)$  simultaneously.