

Biostatistics (MATH11230)

Vanda Inácio

University of Edinburgh



Semester 1, 2021/2022

Parametric estimation

General context

- ↪ The Kaplan–Meier and the Nelson–Aalen estimators of the survival function are nonparametric: besides independence between observations, we did not make any assumptions about the distribution of the survival times.
- ↪ In a parametric setup we instead choose a (parametric) distribution that we believe to appropriately describe the distribution of the survival times.
- ↪ As we have discussed last week, popular approaches are the Weibull, lognormal, gamma, and logistic distributions.
- ↪ The exponential distribution, although analytically tractable, has the caveat of leading to a constant hazard function with respect to time and so it may be too simplistic in most situations.

Parametric estimation

General context

- ↪ Suppose we have a random sample of n individuals from a specific population whose true survival/event times are T_1, \dots, T_n .
- ↪ However, due to right censoring (study period ends, dropouts, losses to follow up, competing risks, etc) we do not always have the opportunity of observing those survival times.
- ↪ Let us denote by C the censoring process and by C_1, \dots, C_n the (potential) censoring times for each individual.
- ↪ If a subject is not censored we have observed their event time (in this case, we may not observe the censoring time for this individual).
- ↪ On the other hand, if the subject is censored, we observe their censoring time (even time is larger than censoring time).

Parametric estimation

Likelihood construction

↪ Let

$$Y_i = \min\{T_i, C_i\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_i = I(T_i \leq C_i).$$

↪ The observed data are then composed of couples (y_i, δ_i) , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

↪ If y_i is not censored, observation i contributes with a factor to the likelihood equal to the density function of T evaluated at y_i , $f(y_i)$.

↪ If y_i instead represents a censored time, it is only known that t_i exceeds y_i and the contribution to the likelihood is the probability that $T_i > c_i$ (equal to $\Pr(T_i > y_i)$) and this probability is $S(y_i)$, where S is the survival function of T .

Parametric estimation

Likelihood construction

- ↪ Assuming independent censoring and independent observations, we have that the likelihood is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i; \theta)^{\delta_i} S(y_i; \theta)^{1-\delta_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n [h(y_i; \theta) S(y_i; \theta)]^{\delta_i} S(y_i; \theta)^{1-\delta_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n h(y_i; \theta)^{\delta_i} S(y_i; \theta). \end{aligned}$$

- ↪ Based on this likelihood, we can then determine the maximum likelihood estimate of θ and having this we have all we need to estimate the survival, hazard, and cumulative hazard functions.

Parametric estimation

Example: exponential distribution

↪ Let us assume that the true survival times T_1, \dots, T_n follow an exponential distribution.

↪ We have that

$$f(t; \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta t}, \quad S(t; \theta) = e^{-\theta t}, \quad h(t; \theta) = \theta, \quad \theta > 0.$$

↪ According to the result in the previous slide we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n h(y_i; \theta)^{\delta_i} S(y_i; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{\delta_i} e^{-\theta y_i} \\ &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i} e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \end{aligned}$$

Parametric estimation

Example: exponential distribution

↪ The corresponding log likelihood is given by

$$\log L(\theta) = \log \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

and the derivative with respect to θ is

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

↪ Setting the derivative to zero and solving for θ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\theta) = 0 &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \end{aligned}$$

Parametric estimation

Example: exponential distribution

↪ We take the second derivative to verify this is, in fact, the maximiser

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log L(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i.$$

- ↪ Evaluating this second derivative at $\hat{\theta}$ we conclude that it is negative and therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is the maximum likelihood estimate.
- ↪ Note that in the case where all observations are uncensored, $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = n$, and we recover the 'usual' maximum likelihood estimate, $\hat{\theta} = n / \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$.

Parametric estimation

Example: Exponential distribution

↪ As an example let us consider the data we have used yesterday to manually compute the Kaplan–Meier estimate:

1 3 3 6⁺ 8⁺ 9 10⁺

↪ We have four uncensored observations and so we know that $\sum_{i=1}^7 \delta_i = 4$.

↪ We further have that $\sum_{i=1}^7 y_i = 1 + 3 + 3 + 6 + 8 + 9 + 10 = 40$.

↪ Therefore, $\hat{\theta} = 4/40 = 0.1$.

Parametric estimation

Example: Weibull distribution

- ↪ A more flexible alternative to the exponential distribution is the Weibull distribution.
- ↪ The defining feature of the Weibull distribution is that the corresponding hazard function is monotonic. It can be monotonically increasing, monotonically decreasing or constant with respect to time.
- ↪ It is fair to mention that the assumption of ever increasing or ever decreasing hazards may be unrealistic in certain situations as well.
- ↪ Nevertheless, the Weibull distribution is ubiquitous in survival analysis and we should consider it.

Parametric estimation

Example: Weibull distribution

- ↪ The probability density, survival and hazard functions of the Weibull distribution are given by

$$f(t; \lambda, \alpha) = \lambda \alpha t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t^\alpha}, \quad S(t; \lambda, \alpha) = e^{-\lambda t^\alpha}, \quad h(t; \lambda, \alpha) = \lambda \alpha t^{\alpha-1}, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

- ↪ Here λ is the scale parameter and α is the shape parameter.
- ↪ Note that the exponential distribution is a particular case of the Weibull distribution when the shape parameter α is equal to 1.

Parametric estimation

Example: Weibull distribution

↪ The likelihood function of $\theta = (\lambda, \alpha)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n h(y_i; \theta)^{\delta_i} S(y_i; \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n [\lambda \alpha y_i^{\alpha-1}]^{\delta_i} e^{-\lambda y_i^{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

↪ However, there is no closed form for the MLEs of $\theta = (\lambda, \alpha)$.

↪ We can use, for instance, `optim` to maximise the above (log) likelihood function. We can also use, and it is indeed what we will do, the function `survreg` from the package `survival`.

↪ Please see the Supplementary Materials file for more details about the fitting of the model.

Parametric estimation

- ↪ Before fitting a parametric model, we should check that it is appropriate to the data.
- ↪ For example, we could plot the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function and the estimated survival model under an exponential or Weibull model.
- ↪ Personally, I prefer the Kaplan-Meier estimator which makes no assumptions regarding the shape of the distribution of the event times.
- ↪ In most medical applications, the default is to go with the Kaplan-Meier estimator.