

ICPSR 2760

Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996

ICPSR Codebook for Twin Screener Data

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996

Orville Gilbert Brim

*John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. Research Network
on Successful Midlife Development*

Paul B. Baltes

Max-Planck-Institut für Bildungsforschung.

Larry L. Bumpass

University of Wisconsin

Paul D. Cleary

Harvard Medical School

David L. Featherman

University of Michigan

William R. Hazzard

Wake Forest University

Ronald C. Kessler

Harvard Medical School

Margie E. Lachman

Brandeis University

Hazel Rose Markus

Stanford University

Michael G. Marmot

University College, London. Medical School

Alice S. Rossi

University of Massachusetts at Amherst

Carol D. Ryff

University of Wisconsin

Richard A. Shweder

University of Chicago

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/2760/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered for studies archived with ICPSR may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #02760
Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996
DS 2: Twin Screener Data

1. **Variable Links:** The variable **M2ID** is the individual-level linking variable for the MIDUS datasets. The variable **M2FAMNUM** is the household-level linking variable for the MIDUS datasets.

2. **Additional Information:** For additional information on the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996 study, please visit the [MIDUS](#) website.

ICPSR 2760

Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 1), 1995-1996

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Twin Screener Data

M2ID: MIDUS ID number

MIDUS ID number

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10005	-	1	0.1 %
10006	-	1	0.1 %
10007	-	1	0.1 %
10008	-	1	0.1 %
10010	-	1	0.1 %
10015	-	1	0.1 %
10030	-	1	0.1 %
10036	-	1	0.1 %
10038	-	1	0.1 %
10046	-	1	0.1 %
10049	-	1	0.1 %
10063	-	1	0.1 %
10067	-	1	0.1 %
10070	-	1	0.1 %
10087	-	1	0.1 %
10091	-	1	0.1 %
10096	-	1	0.1 %
10105	-	1	0.1 %
10106	-	1	0.1 %
10110	-	1	0.1 %
10115	-	1	0.1 %
10116	-	1	0.1 %
10118	-	1	0.1 %
10119	-	1	0.1 %
10124	-	1	0.1 %
10127	-	1	0.1 %
10129	-	1	0.1 %
10138	-	1	0.1 %
10139	-	1	0.1 %
10141	-	1	0.1 %
10148	-	1	0.1 %
10153	-	1	0.1 %
10155	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10157	-	1	0.1 %
10171	-	1	0.1 %
10173	-	1	0.1 %
10175	-	1	0.1 %
10182	-	1	0.1 %
10191	-	1	0.1 %
10196	-	1	0.1 %
10198	-	1	0.1 %
10202	-	1	0.1 %
10204	-	1	0.1 %
10207	-	1	0.1 %
10213	-	1	0.1 %
10215	-	1	0.1 %
10220	-	1	0.1 %
10221	-	1	0.1 %
10235	-	1	0.1 %
10237	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 14612.57
- Minimum: 10005.00
- Maximum: 19191.00
- Standard Deviation: 2643.90

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

M2FAMNUM: MIDUS 2 family number

MIDUS 2 family number

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
120002	-	2	0.1 %
120003	-	2	0.1 %
120004	-	2	0.1 %
120005	-	2	0.1 %
120006	-	2	0.1 %
120007	-	2	0.1 %
120008	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
120009	-	2	0.1 %
120010	-	2	0.1 %
120011	-	2	0.1 %
120012	-	1	0.1 %
120013	-	2	0.1 %
120014	-	2	0.1 %
120015	-	2	0.1 %
120016	-	2	0.1 %
120017	-	2	0.1 %
120018	-	2	0.1 %
120019	-	2	0.1 %
120020	-	2	0.1 %
120021	-	2	0.1 %
120022	-	2	0.1 %
120023	-	2	0.1 %
120024	-	2	0.1 %
120025	-	2	0.1 %
120026	-	2	0.1 %
120027	-	2	0.1 %
120028	-	2	0.1 %
120029	-	2	0.1 %
120030	-	2	0.1 %
120031	-	2	0.1 %
120032	-	2	0.1 %
120033	-	2	0.1 %
120034	-	2	0.1 %
120035	-	1	0.1 %
120036	-	2	0.1 %
120037	-	2	0.1 %
120038	-	2	0.1 %
120039	-	2	0.1 %
120040	-	2	0.1 %
120041	-	2	0.1 %
120042	-	2	0.1 %
120043	-	2	0.1 %
120044	-	2	0.1 %
120045	-	2	0.1 %
120046	-	2	0.1 %
120047	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
120048	-	2	0.1 %
120049	-	2	0.1 %
120050	-	2	0.1 %
120051	-	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 120477.62
- Minimum: 120002.00
- Maximum: 120964.00
- Standard Deviation: 279.20

Location: 6-11 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SAMPLMAJ: Major sample identification

Major sample identification (aka sample)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Main random-digit dialing (RDD)	0	0.0 %
2	Sibling	0	0.0 %
3	Twin	1914	100.0 %
4	City oversample	0	0.0 %
13	Milwaukee	0	0.0 %
14	Boston new	0	0.0 %
20	MIDUS refresher	0	0.0 %
21	Milwaukee refresher	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 12-13 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B1PRSEX: Respondent's sex, updated as of 5-8-2018

Respondent's sex,updated as of 5-8-2018

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	855	44.7 %
2	Female	1059	55.3 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8

ZYGCAT: Zygotic category

Zygotic category

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Monozygotic	719	37.6 %
2	Dizygotic - same sex	671	35.1 %
3	Dizygotic - different sex	497	26.0 %
4	Unable to determine zygosity	27	1.4 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

A1TSIDATE_YR: Twin screener interview date - year

Twin screener interview date -year

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1994	-	19	1.0 %
1995	-	1893	98.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,912 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 1994.99

- Median: 1995.00
- Mode: 1995.00
- Minimum: 1994.00
- Maximum: 1995.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.10

Location: 16-19 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

A1TSIDATE_MO: Twin screener interview date - month

Twin screening interview date- month

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	98	5.1 %
2	-	133	6.9 %
3	-	383	20.0 %
4	-	154	8.0 %
5	-	209	10.9 %
6	-	367	19.2 %
7	-	184	9.6 %
8	-	132	6.9 %
9	-	98	5.1 %
10	-	75	3.9 %
11	-	43	2.2 %
12	-	36	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,912 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.36
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 12.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.63

Location: 20-21 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

A1TS1: Is twin same sex

Q1. As I mentioned earlier, the questions I'll be asking you (tonight/today) are about your being a twin.

[Field code: (interviewer: mark one)]

[If NECI "Are you and your twin of the same-sex or of opposite sex?"]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same sex	1415	73.9 %
2	Opposite sex	497	26.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,912 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 22-23 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

A1TS2: Who was born first

Q2. Who was born first you or your twin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent	922	48.2 %
2	Twin	951	49.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	38	2.0 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,873 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 24-25 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS3A: Birth weight - pounds

Q3a. Do you know what your exact birth weight was? - pounds

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	27	1.4 %
3	-	67	3.5 %
4	-	132	6.9 %
5	-	200	10.4 %
6	-	147	7.7 %
7	-	87	4.5 %
8	-	30	1.6 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	1	0.1 %
11	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	1212	63.3 %
98	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 698 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 11.00

Location: 26-27 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS3B: Birth weight - ounces

Q3b. Do you know what your exact birth weight was? - ounces

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	132	6.9 %
1	-	36	1.9 %
2	-	47	2.5 %
3	-	42	2.2 %
4	-	49	2.6 %
5	-	41	2.1 %
6	-	52	2.7 %
7	-	26	1.4 %
8	-	74	3.9 %
9	-	38	2.0 %
10	-	27	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	43	2.2 %
12	-	37	1.9 %
13	-	20	1.0 %
14	-	19	1.0 %
15	-	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	1212	63.3 %
98	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 698 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 28-29 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS3AA: Birth weight low value estimate

Q3aa. Do you remember about what it was within a pound or two?

[Interviewer: Accept range of only 2 lbs., e.g., "3-4" or "6-7". Larger range is a "don't know".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	3	0.2 %
2	-	22	1.1 %
3	-	55	2.9 %
4	-	130	6.8 %
5	-	231	12.1 %
6	-	263	13.7 %
7	-	138	7.2 %
8	-	33	1.7 %
9	-	5	0.3 %
96	Only high value given	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	317	16.6 %
98	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	Inapp	698	36.5 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 895 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 6.91
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 11.72

Location: 30-31 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS3AB: Birth weight high value estimate

Q3ab. Would you say that it was low, somewhat low, normal, very (low/high) or somewhat high, or very high? (low/high)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	15	0.8 %
4	-	28	1.5 %
5	-	58	3.0 %
6	-	102	5.3 %
7	-	118	6.2 %
8	-	49	2.6 %
9	-	8	0.4 %
10	-	1	0.1 %
96	Only high value given	514	26.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	317	16.6 %
98	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
99	Inapp	698	36.5 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 895 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 96.00

Location: 32-33 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS3BA: Birth weight low/high approx

Q3ba. Would you say that it was low, somewhat low, normal, very (low/high) or somewhat high, or very high? (low/high)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very low	27	1.4 %
2	Somewhat low	48	2.5 %
3	Normal	141	7.4 %
4	Somewhat high	7	0.4 %
5	Very high	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	88	4.6 %
8	Refused/missing	7	0.4 %
9	Inapp	1593	83.2 %
Total		1,914	100%

Based upon 224 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 34-35 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS4A: Twin birth weight - pounds

Q4a. Do you know what your twin's exact birth weight was? - pounds

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	3	0.2 %
2	-	23	1.2 %
3	-	57	3.0 %
4	-	106	5.5 %
5	-	171	8.9 %
6	-	162	8.5 %
7	-	73	3.8 %
8	-	29	1.5 %
9	-	3	0.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	1273	66.5 %
98	Refused/missing	12	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 627 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 36-37 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS4B: Twin birth weight - ounces

Q4b. Do you know what your twin's exact birth weight was? - ounces

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	131	6.8 %
1	-	35	1.8 %
2	-	44	2.3 %
3	-	31	1.6 %
4	-	41	2.1 %
5	-	34	1.8 %
6	-	53	2.8 %
7	-	29	1.5 %
8	-	50	2.6 %
9	-	31	1.6 %
10	-	29	1.5 %
11	-	35	1.8 %
12	-	34	1.8 %
13	-	22	1.1 %
14	-	15	0.8 %
15	-	13	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	1273	66.5 %
98	Refused/missing	12	0.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 627 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 15.00

Location: 38-39 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS4AA: Twin birth weight low value estimate

Q4aa. Do you remember about what it was within a pound or two?

[Interviewer: Accept range of only 2 lbs., e.g., "3-4" or "6-7". Larger range is a "don't know".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	21	1.1 %
3	-	51	2.7 %
4	-	136	7.1 %
5	-	253	13.2 %
6	-	243	12.7 %
7	-	139	7.3 %
8	-	25	1.3 %
9	-	3	0.2 %
96	Only high value given	17	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	366	19.1 %
98	Refused/missing	27	1.4 %
99	Inapp	627	32.8 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 892 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 7.06
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 12.47

Location: 40-41 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS4AB: Twin birth weight high value estimate

Q4ab. Do you remember about what it was within a pound or two?

[Interviewer: Accept range of only 2 lbs., e.g., "3-4" or "6-7". Larger range is a "don't know".]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	3	0.2 %
3	-	17	0.9 %
4	-	29	1.5 %
5	-	68	3.6 %
6	-	116	6.1 %
7	-	108	5.6 %
8	-	56	2.9 %
9	-	5	0.3 %
96	Only high value given	490	25.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	366	19.1 %
98	Refused/missing	27	1.4 %
99	Inapp	627	32.8 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 892 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 55.49
- Median: 96.00
- Mode: 96.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 96.00
- Standard Deviation: 44.76

Location: 42-43 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS4BA: Twin birth weight low/high approx

Q4ba. Would you say that it was low, somewhat low, normal, very (low/high) or somewhat high, or very high? (low/high)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very low	26	1.4 %
2	Somewhat low	47	2.5 %
3	Normal	147	7.7 %
4	Somewhat high	10	0.5 %
5	Very high	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	118	6.2 %
8	Refused/missing	44	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Inapp	1519	79.4 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 231 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 44-45 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS5: Had same playmates

Q5. When you were children, how often did you and your twin have the same playmates - always, most of the time, sometimes, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	706	36.9 %
2	Most of the time	729	38.1 %
3	Sometimes	409	21.4 %
4	Never	65	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,909 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 46-47 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS6: In same classroom at school

Q6. How often were you in the same classrooms at school - always, most of the time, sometimes, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	568	29.7 %
2	Most of the time	389	20.3 %
3	Sometimes	619	32.3 %
4	Never	330	17.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,906 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 48-49 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS7: Dress alike

Q7. How often did you dress alike - always, most of the time, sometimes, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	409	21.4 %
2	Most of the time	363	19.0 %
3	Sometimes	684	35.7 %
4	Never	450	23.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,906 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 50-51 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS8: Share same bedroom

Q8. Did you ever share the same bedroom with your twin during the time you were growing up?

[Go to Q10]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1667	87.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	237	12.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	8	0.4 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 52-53 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS9: How many years in same bedroom

Q9. For how many years did the two of you have the same bedroom? (years)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.5	-	3	0.2 %
1.0	-	12	0.6 %
1.5	-	6	0.3 %
2.0	-	30	1.6 %
2.5	-	3	0.2 %
3.0	-	27	1.4 %
3.5	-	12	0.6 %
4.0	-	20	1.0 %
4.5	-	4	0.2 %
5.0	-	48	2.5 %
5.5	-	1	0.1 %
6.0	-	38	2.0 %
6.5	-	7	0.4 %
7.0	-	21	1.1 %
7.5	-	13	0.7 %
8.0	-	34	1.8 %
8.5	-	8	0.4 %
9.0	-	22	1.1 %
9.5	-	6	0.3 %
10.0	-	68	3.6 %
10.5	-	3	0.2 %
11.0	-	19	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11.5	-	6	0.3 %
12.0	-	65	3.4 %
12.5	-	9	0.5 %
13.0	-	44	2.3 %
13.5	-	10	0.5 %
14.0	-	65	3.4 %
14.5	-	10	0.5 %
15.0	-	75	3.9 %
15.5	-	9	0.5 %
16.0	-	126	6.6 %
16.5	-	15	0.8 %
17.0	-	196	10.2 %
17.5	-	27	1.4 %
18.0	-	346	18.1 %
18.5	-	6	0.3 %
19.0	-	54	2.8 %
19.5	-	4	0.2 %
20.0	-	69	3.6 %
20.5	-	1	0.1 %
21.0	-	42	2.2 %
22.0	-	26	1.4 %
23.0	-	9	0.5 %
24.0	-	6	0.3 %
24.5	-	1	0.1 %
25.0	-	5	0.3 %
26.0	-	1	0.1 %
27.0	-	3	0.2 %
28.0	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1.0	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97.0	Don't know	7	0.4 %
98.0	Refused/missing	15	0.8 %
99.0	Inapp	245	12.8 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,645 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 14.59

- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 0.50
- Maximum: 70.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.86

Location: 54-57 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.0 , 97.0 , 98.0 , 99.0

A1TS10: Which one was leader

Q10. When you were children and just the two of you were doing things together, which one of you was more likely to be the leader and which one the follower?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Respondent was leader	745	38.9 %
2	About equal	501	26.2 %
3	Co-twin was leader	597	31.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	68	3.6 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,843 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 58-59 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS11: Always the leader

Q11. (Were you/was your twin) always the leader or just most of the time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	379	19.8 %
2	Most of the time	954	49.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	1	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	9	0.5 %
9	Inapp	569	29.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,333 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 60-61 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS12: Interviewer checkpoint - same sex twin pair

Q12. Interviewer checkpoint

[Go to next question, Q13]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not skipped	1415	73.9 %
2	Skipped	497	26.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,912 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 62-63 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

A1TS13: Appearance similarity

Q13. Now I'm going to ask you a few questions about what you and your twin look like. When you and your twin were children, did the two of you look almost exactly alike or was there some difference in your appearance?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Looked alike	754	39.4 %
2	Some difference	659	34.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 64-65 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS13BA: OE-difference in appearance between twins when young #1

Q13ba. What was that difference?

[Interviewer: record verbatim]

[Probe: Were there any other differences?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difference in facial features	160	8.4 %
2	Difference in eye color, shape, or size	68	3.6 %
3	Difference in hair color or type	147	7.7 %
4	Difference in skin color or tone	53	2.8 %
5	Difference in height	24	1.3 %
6	Difference in weight, body shape, or build	144	7.5 %
7	Other reasons	89	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
97	Don't know	1	0.1 %
98	Missing	10	0.5 %
99	Inapp	1218	63.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 66-67 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS13BB: OE-difference in appearance between twins when young #2

Q13bb. What was that difference?

[Interviewer: record verbatim]

[Probe: Were there any other differences?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difference in facial features	5	0.3 %
2	Difference in eye color, shape, or size	40	2.1 %
3	Difference in hair color or type	94	4.9 %
4	Difference in skin color or tone	0	0.0 %
5	Difference in height	110	5.7 %
6	Difference in weight, body shape, or build	129	6.7 %
7	Other reasons	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
98	Missing	1536	80.3 %
Total		1,914	100%

Based upon 378 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 68-69 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS13BC: OE-difference in appearance between twins when young #3

Q13bc. What was that difference?

[Interviewer: record verbatim]

[Probe: Were there any other differences?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difference in facial features	3	0.2 %
2	Difference in eye color, shape, or size	12	0.6 %
3	Difference in hair color or type	12	0.6 %
4	Difference in skin color or tone	14	0.7 %
5	Difference in height	70	3.7 %
6	Difference in weight, body shape, or build	24	1.3 %
7	Other reasons	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
98	Missing	1779	92.9 %
Total		1,914	100%

Based upon 135 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 70-71 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS13BD: OE-difference in appearance between twins when young #4

Q13bd. What was that difference?

[Interviewer: record verbatim]

[Probe: Were there any other differences?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difference in facial features	1	0.1 %
2	Difference in eye color, shape, or size	0	0.0 %
3	Difference in hair color or type	0	0.0 %
4	Difference in skin color or tone	3	0.2 %
5	Difference in height	23	1.2 %
6	Difference in weight, body shape, or build	0	0.0 %
7	Other reasons	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
98	Missing	1887	98.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 27 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 72-73 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS13BE: OE-difference in appearance between twins when young #5

Q13be. What was that difference?

[Interviewer: record verbatim]

[Probe: Were there any other differences?]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Difference in facial features	0	0.0 %
2	Difference in eye color, shape, or size	0	0.0 %
3	Difference in hair color or type	0	0.0 %
4	Difference in skin color or tone	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Difference in height	1	0.1 %
6	Difference in weight, body shape, or build	0	0.0 %
7	Other reasons	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
98	Missing	1913	99.9 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 5.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 74-75 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS14: Have same eye color

Q14. Do you and your twin have the same eye color?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1175	61.4 %
2	No	220	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	20	1.0 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,395 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 76-77 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS15: Have same natural hair color

Q15. Do you and your twin have the same natural hair color?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1116	58.3 %
2	No	297	15.5 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 78-79 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS16: Have same complexion

Q16. Do you and your twin have the same complexion - that is, the same skin tone, color and texture?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1114	58.2 %
2	No	299	15.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 80-81 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS17: Have same facial features

Q17. Do you and your twin have the same facial features - that is, the same shape of eyes, nose, mouth, and face in general?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	984	51.4 %
2	No	427	22.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,411 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 82-83 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS18: Same height

Q18. When you and your twin were children, would you say that you were generally the same height - that is, within an inch of each other - or was one of you generally taller than the other?

[Interviewer: If NBC, "Who was taller?"]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same height	1045	54.6 %
2	Respondent taller	186	9.7 %
3	Co-twin taller	182	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 84-85 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS19: Same weight

Q19. Thinking again about when you were children, would you say that you generally weighed about the same - that is, within ten pounds of each other - or did one of you generally weigh more than the other?

[Interviewer: If NEC, "Who was heavier?"]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Same weight	1081	56.5 %
2	Respondent heavier	167	8.7 %
3	Co-twin heavier	166	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	1	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,414 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 86-87 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS20: Mother telling you apart

Q20. When you were children, how often did your mother have difficulty telling you and your twin apart - all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	43	2.2 %
2	Most of the time	43	2.2 %
3	Some of the time	267	13.9 %
4	Never	1038	54.2 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	18	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	4	0.2 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 88-89 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS21: Father telling you apart

Q21. How often did your father have difficulty telling the two of you apart when you were children - all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	73	3.8 %
2	Most of the time	81	4.2 %
3	Some of the time	296	15.5 %
4	Never	894	46.7 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	57	3.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	8	0.4 %
8	Refused/missing	6	0.3 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
Total		1,914	100%

Based upon 1,401 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 90-91 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS22: Household telling you apart

Q22. When you were children, how often did other people that you lived with or close friends have difficulty telling you and your twin apart - (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	140	7.3 %
2	Most of the time	212	11.1 %
3	Some of the time	377	19.7 %
4	Never	679	35.5 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	1	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	3	0.2 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
Total		1,914	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 92-93 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS23: Others telling you apart

Q23. How often did relatives that you didn't see as often, like distant aunts and uncles, have difficulty telling the two of you apart - (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	317	16.6 %
2	Most of the time	310	16.2 %
3	Some of the time	323	16.9 %
4	Never	450	23.5 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	11	0.6 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,403 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 94-95 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS24: Teachers telling you apart

Q24. What about teachers? How often did teachers have trouble in telling you and your twin apart - (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	237	12.4 %
2	Most of the time	292	15.3 %
3	Some of the time	328	17.1 %
4	Never	547	28.6 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	8	0.4 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,406 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 96-97 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS25: Acquaintances telling you apart

Q25. How often did acquaintances have difficulty telling the two of you apart - (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	234	12.2 %
2	Most of the time	337	17.6 %
3	Some of the time	382	20.0 %
4	Never	456	23.8 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	5	0.3 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 98-99 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS26: Parents dressed you different

Q26. When you and your twin were children, how often did your parents or the people who raised you do things like dress you differently or give you different haircuts to help people tell you apart - (all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	29	1.5 %
2	Most of the time	44	2.3 %
3	Some of the time	123	6.4 %
4	Never	1210	63.2 %
6	Inapp (specific reason)	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	7	0.4 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,406 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 100-101 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS27A: Present height - feet

Q27a. Thinking now about your present appearance, how tall are you? - feet

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	23	1.2 %
5	-	1190	62.2 %
6	-	202	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 4.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 102-103 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS27B: Present height - inches

Q27b. Thinking now about your present appearance, how tall are you? - inches

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00	-	133	6.9 %
0.25	-	1	0.1 %
0.50	-	2	0.1 %
0.75	-	3	0.2 %
1.00	-	92	4.8 %
1.25	-	2	0.1 %
1.50	-	18	0.9 %
2.00	-	113	5.9 %
2.25	-	1	0.1 %
2.50	-	29	1.5 %
2.75	-	2	0.1 %
3.00	-	93	4.9 %
3.25	-	1	0.1 %
3.50	-	20	1.0 %
3.75	-	3	0.2 %
4.00	-	113	5.9 %
4.25	-	3	0.2 %
4.50	-	24	1.3 %
4.75	-	1	0.1 %
5.00	-	93	4.9 %
5.25	-	1	0.1 %
5.50	-	31	1.6 %
6.00	-	95	5.0 %
6.25	-	1	0.1 %
6.50	-	22	1.1 %
6.75	-	2	0.1 %
7.00	-	92	4.8 %
7.50	-	31	1.6 %
7.75	-	3	0.2 %
8.00	-	88	4.6 %
8.50	-	16	0.8 %
8.75	-	1	0.1 %
9.00	-	57	3.0 %
9.50	-	18	0.9 %
9.75	-	1	0.1 %
10.00	-	81	4.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10.50	-	22	1.1 %
10.75	-	2	0.1 %
11.00	-	87	4.5 %
11.50	-	15	0.8 %
11.75	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1.00	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97.00	Don't know	1	0.1 %
99.00	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,414 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 5.23
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 11.75
- Standard Deviation: 3.41

Location: 104-108 (width: 5; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.00 , 97.00 , 98.00 , 99.00

A1TS28: Present weight - pounds

Q28. How much do you presently weigh? - pounds

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
95	-	2	0.1 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	10	0.5 %
101	-	1	0.1 %
102	-	5	0.3 %
103	-	2	0.1 %
104	-	2	0.1 %
105	-	11	0.6 %
106	-	3	0.2 %
107	-	5	0.3 %
108	-	5	0.3 %
109	-	2	0.1 %
110	-	23	1.2 %
111	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
112	-	4	0.2 %
114	-	4	0.2 %
115	-	23	1.2 %
116	-	5	0.3 %
117	-	5	0.3 %
118	-	16	0.8 %
119	-	3	0.2 %
120	-	39	2.0 %
121	-	2	0.1 %
122	-	7	0.4 %
123	-	6	0.3 %
124	-	2	0.1 %
125	-	28	1.5 %
126	-	7	0.4 %
127	-	7	0.4 %
128	-	12	0.6 %
129	-	2	0.1 %
130	-	51	2.7 %
132	-	9	0.5 %
133	-	2	0.1 %
134	-	5	0.3 %
135	-	52	2.7 %
136	-	4	0.2 %
137	-	2	0.1 %
138	-	9	0.5 %
139	-	2	0.1 %
140	-	60	3.1 %
141	-	1	0.1 %
142	-	8	0.4 %
143	-	3	0.2 %
144	-	2	0.1 %
145	-	46	2.4 %
146	-	1	0.1 %
147	-	4	0.2 %
148	-	7	0.4 %
149	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
997	Don't know	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
998	Refused/missing	17	0.9 %
999	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 163.80
- Median: 160.00
- Mode: 160.00
- Minimum: 95.00
- Maximum: 320.00
- Standard Deviation: 36.13

Location: 109-111 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 997 , 998 , 999

A1TS29: Identical-fraternal opinion

Q29. In your opinion, are you and your twin definitely identical, probably identical, probably fraternal, definitely fraternal, or are you not sure what kind of twins you are?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Definitely identical	574	30.0 %
3	Probably identical	146	7.6 %
5	Probably fraternal	99	5.2 %
7	Definitely fraternal	540	28.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97	Don't know	54	2.8 %
98	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
99	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,359 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 112-113 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 97 , 98 , 99

A1TS30: Number of placentas known

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q30. Were your parents told the number of placentas, or afterbirths, when you and your twin were born?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	274	14.3 %
2	No	266	13.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	875	45.7 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 540 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 114-115 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS31: Number of placentas

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q31. How many were there?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	138	7.2 %
2	-	107	5.6 %
3	-	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	23	1.2 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
9	Inapp	1638	85.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 248 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 116-117 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS32: Have twin type blood test

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q32. Did you and your twin ever have a blood test which told you what kind of twins you were?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	94	4.9 %
2	No	982	51.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	336	17.6 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,076 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 118-119 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS33A: OE-results of blood test to determine type of twins

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q33. What were the results?

[Interviewer: Record verbatim]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Identical twins	40	2.1 %
2	Fraternal twins	12	0.6 %
3	Same blood types	48	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Different blood type	16	0.8 %
5	Other reasons	11	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
7	Don't know	12	0.6 %
8	Missing	5	0.3 %
9	Inapp	1770	92.5 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 127 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 120-121 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS34: Told by doctor - twin type

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q34. Were you or your parents told by a doctor what kind of twins you were based on something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	356	18.6 %
2	No	593	31.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	456	23.8 %
8	Refused/missing	10	0.5 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 949 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 122-123 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS35A: OE-reason for type of twins told by doctor

There are several ways to tell the difference between being identical or fraternal twins. Which of the following are true for you...

Q35a. What was that reason?

[Interviewer: Record verbatim]

[Probe: "Did the doctor say anything else?"]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Appearance	16	0.8 %
2	Egg	25	1.3 %
3	Placenta	23	1.2 %
4	Sac	17	0.9 %
5	Birth certificate	23	1.2 %
6	Other reasons	43	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
7	Don't know	263	13.7 %
8	Missing	37	1.9 %
9	Inapp	1467	76.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 147 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 124-125 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS36: Interviewer checkpoint - Q30,Q32,Q34

Q36. Interviewer checkpoint

[See Q30-35]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Skipped	608	31.8 %
2	Not skipped	807	42.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	497	26.0 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 126-127 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 9

A1TS37: How much you look alike

Q37. Do you just know what kind of twins you are based on how much you look like each other?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	678	35.4 %
2	No	81	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	9	0.5 %
8	Refused/missing	39	2.0 %
9	Inapp	1105	57.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 759 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 128-129 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS38: Any other reason for twin type

Q38. Is there any other reason why you feel that you and your twin are (identical/fraternal)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	349	18.2 %
2	No	325	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	40	2.1 %
8	Refused/missing	93	4.9 %
9	Inapp	1105	57.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 674 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 130-131 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS39A: OE-reason why respondent feel that they are identical or fraternal twins #1

Q39a. (What is that reason?)

[Interviewer: Record verbatim]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Similarities in appearance, personality, interest, thoughts	147	7.7 %
2	Differences in appearance, personality, interest, thoughts	144	7.5 %
3	Special - able to read, connect, or tell minds or have special connections	21	1.1 %
4	Told by parents, doctors, tests or written in birth certificate	84	4.4 %
5	Other reasons	56	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
7	Don't know	1	0.1 %
8	Missing	33	1.7 %
9	Inapp	1428	74.6 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 452 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 132-133 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS39B: OE-reason why respondent feel that they are identical or fraternal twins #2

Q39b. (What is that reason?)

[Interviewer: Record verbatim]

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Similarities in appearance, personality, interest, thoughts	3	0.2 %
2	Differences in appearance, personality, interest, thoughts	0	0.0 %
3	Special - able to read, connect, or tell minds or have special connections	0	0.0 %
4	Told by parents, doctors, tests or written in birth certificate	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Other reasons	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	Missing	1909	99.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 5 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 134-135 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS40: Living with your twin

Q40. I'm now going to ask you a few questions about your relationship with your twin. Are you currently living with your twin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	52	2.7 %
2	No	1860	97.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,912 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 136-137 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS41: Current years together

Q41. Currently, how many total years have the two of you lived together?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2.5	-	1	0.1 %
5.0	-	1	0.1 %
8.5	-	1	0.1 %
17.0	-	1	0.1 %
18.0	-	1	0.1 %
19.0	-	1	0.1 %
20.0	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21.0	-	1	0.1 %
23.0	-	3	0.2 %
24.0	-	3	0.2 %
25.0	-	5	0.3 %
26.0	-	4	0.2 %
27.5	-	1	0.1 %
28.0	-	1	0.1 %
29.0	-	2	0.1 %
29.5	-	1	0.1 %
30.0	-	4	0.2 %
31.0	-	2	0.1 %
32.0	-	2	0.1 %
33.0	-	1	0.1 %
39.0	-	1	0.1 %
47.0	-	2	0.1 %
51.0	-	3	0.2 %
53.0	-	1	0.1 %
60.0	-	1	0.1 %
70.0	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1.0	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97.0	Don't know	1	0.1 %
98.0	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
99.0	Inapp	1860	97.2 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 49 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 29.22
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 2.50
- Maximum: 70.00
- Standard Deviation: 13.08

Location: 138-141 (width: 4; decimal: 1)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.0 , 97.0 , 98.0 , 99.0

A1TS42: Lifetime years together

Q42. In your lifetime, how many years did the two of you live together? - years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00	-	1	0.1 %
4.50	-	2	0.1 %
5.00	-	1	0.1 %
5.25	-	1	0.1 %
6.00	-	1	0.1 %
7.00	-	1	0.1 %
9.00	-	1	0.1 %
10.00	-	3	0.2 %
11.00	-	1	0.1 %
12.00	-	9	0.5 %
12.50	-	2	0.1 %
13.00	-	10	0.5 %
13.50	-	1	0.1 %
14.00	-	15	0.8 %
14.25	-	1	0.1 %
14.50	-	1	0.1 %
15.00	-	38	2.0 %
15.50	-	8	0.4 %
16.00	-	89	4.6 %
16.25	-	1	0.1 %
16.50	-	9	0.5 %
17.00	-	252	13.2 %
17.50	-	21	1.1 %
18.00	-	645	33.7 %
18.25	-	1	0.1 %
18.50	-	18	0.9 %
19.00	-	179	9.4 %
19.50	-	11	0.6 %
20.00	-	190	9.9 %
20.50	-	4	0.2 %
21.00	-	106	5.5 %
21.50	-	4	0.2 %
22.00	-	71	3.7 %
22.50	-	4	0.2 %
23.00	-	51	2.7 %
23.50	-	1	0.1 %
24.00	-	25	1.3 %
24.50	-	1	0.1 %
25.00	-	36	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25.50	-	1	0.1 %
26.00	-	11	0.6 %
27.00	-	6	0.3 %
27.50	-	1	0.1 %
28.00	-	4	0.2 %
29.00	-	4	0.2 %
30.00	-	4	0.2 %
31.50	-	1	0.1 %
32.00	-	1	0.1 %
34.00	-	1	0.1 %
37.00	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1.00	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
98.00	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
99.00	Inapp	52	2.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,857 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 18.81
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 50.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.09

Location: 142-146 (width: 5; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.00 , 97.00 , 98.00 , 99.00

A1TS43: Age when last lived with twin

Q43. How old were you when you last lived with your twin? - years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5.25	-	1	0.1 %
10.00	-	2	0.1 %
12.00	-	4	0.2 %
12.50	-	2	0.1 %
13.00	-	2	0.1 %
13.50	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14.00	-	11	0.6 %
14.50	-	1	0.1 %
15.00	-	31	1.6 %
15.50	-	8	0.4 %
16.00	-	74	3.9 %
16.50	-	5	0.3 %
17.00	-	243	12.7 %
17.50	-	16	0.8 %
18.00	-	605	31.6 %
18.50	-	14	0.7 %
19.00	-	178	9.3 %
19.50	-	6	0.3 %
20.00	-	155	8.1 %
20.50	-	4	0.2 %
21.00	-	127	6.6 %
21.50	-	2	0.1 %
22.00	-	88	4.6 %
22.50	-	4	0.2 %
23.00	-	57	3.0 %
23.50	-	2	0.1 %
24.00	-	38	2.0 %
25.00	-	43	2.2 %
26.00	-	18	0.9 %
27.00	-	21	1.1 %
27.50	-	2	0.1 %
28.00	-	13	0.7 %
29.00	-	12	0.6 %
30.00	-	10	0.5 %
31.00	-	2	0.1 %
31.50	-	1	0.1 %
32.00	-	4	0.2 %
32.50	-	1	0.1 %
33.00	-	7	0.4 %
34.00	-	3	0.2 %
35.00	-	2	0.1 %
36.00	-	1	0.1 %
37.00	-	3	0.2 %
38.00	-	4	0.2 %
39.00	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40.00	-	6	0.3 %
44.00	-	2	0.1 %
45.00	-	2	0.1 %
48.00	-	1	0.1 %
49.00	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1.00	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
97.00	Don't know	1	0.1 %
98.00	Refused/missing	8	0.4 %
99.00	Inapp	52	2.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,851 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Mean: 19.78
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 18.00
- Minimum: 5.25
- Maximum: 71.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.57

Location: 147-151 (width: 5; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1.00 , 97.00 , 98.00 , 99.00

A1TS44: Frequency seeing twin

Q44. How frequently do you and your twin see each other? Would you say once a week or more, or less than that?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Once a week	565	29.5 %
2	Less than once a week	1290	67.4 %
6	Never (if vol.)	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	52	2.7 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,860 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 152-153 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9)

A1TS44A: Frequency seen in week

Q44a. Would that be about once a week, several times a week, once a day, or several times a day?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Once a week	212	11.1 %
2	Several times a week	195	10.2 %
3	Once a day	104	5.4 %
4	Several times a day	52	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
9	Inapp	1347	70.4 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 563 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 154-155 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9)

A1TS44B: Frequency seen in month

Q44b. Would that be about 2 or 3 times a month, once a month, less than once a month, or never or hardly ever?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	2 or 3 times a month	182	9.5 %
2	Once a month	189	9.9 %
3	Less than once a month	616	32.2 %
4	Never or hardly ever	298	15.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	5	0.3 %
9	Inapp	622	32.5 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,285 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 156-157 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS45: Frequency in contact with twin

Q45. Not including visits, how frequently are you in contact with your twin - including phone calls, letters, or electronic mail messages? Would you say once a week or more, or less than that?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Once a week or more	789	41.2 %
2	Less than once a week	895	46.8 %
6	Never (if vol.)	16	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	4	0.2 %
9	Inapp	208	10.9 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,700 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 158-159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS45A: Frequency contact in week

Q45a. Would that be about once a week, several times a week, once a day, or several times a day?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Once a week	321	16.8 %
2	Several times a week	312	16.3 %
3	Once a day	121	6.3 %
4	Several times a day	34	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	5	0.3 %
9	Inapp	1119	58.5 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 788 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 160-161 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS45B: Frequency contact in month

Q45b. Would that be about 2 or 3 times a month, once a month, less than once a month, or never or hardly ever?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	2 or 3 times a month	262	13.7 %
2	Once a month	260	13.6 %
3	Less than once a month	270	14.1 %
4	Never or hardly ever	104	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
9	Inapp	1013	52.9 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 896 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 162-163 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS46: Twin understand feelings

Q46. How much does your twin understand the way you feel about things? Would you say a lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1259	65.8 %
2	Some	409	21.4 %
3	A little	170	8.9 %
4	Not at all	66	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	6	0.3 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 164-165 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS47: Rely on twin for help

Q47. How much can you rely on (him/her) for help if you have a serious problem - a lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1539	80.4 %
2	Some	203	10.6 %
3	A little	96	5.0 %
4	Not at all	60	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	7	0.4 %
8	Refused/missing	7	0.4 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,898 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 166-167 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS48: Twin really care

Q48. How much does your twin really care about you - (a lot, some, a little, or not at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1755	91.7 %
2	Some	101	5.3 %
3	A little	37	1.9 %
4	Not at all	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	6	0.3 %
8	Refused/missing	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,900 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 168-169 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS49: Open up to twin

Q49. How much can you open up to your twin if you need to talk about your worries - (a lot, some, a little, or not at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	1367	71.4 %
2	Some	325	17.0 %
3	A little	142	7.4 %
4	Not at all	71	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,905 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 170-171 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS50: Twin criticize you

Q50. How much does your twin criticize you - (a lot, some, a little, or not at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	202	10.6 %
2	Some	388	20.3 %
3	A little	599	31.3 %
4	Not at all	713	37.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	8	0.4 %
8	Refused/missing	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,902 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 172-173 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS51: Twin make too many demands

Q51. How often does your twin make too many demands on you - often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Often	61	3.2 %
2	Sometimes	216	11.3 %
3	Rarely	680	35.5 %
4	Never	951	49.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	1	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,908 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 174-175 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS52: Twin let you down

Q52. How often does your twin let you down when you are counting on (him/her) - often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Often	45	2.4 %
2	Sometimes	158	8.3 %
3	Rarely	569	29.7 %
4	Never	1133	59.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,905 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 176-177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS53: Twin get on your nerves

Q53. How often does your twin get on your nerves - (often, sometimes, rarely, or never?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Often	137	7.2 %
2	Sometimes	456	23.8 %
3	Rarely	642	33.5 %
4	Never	676	35.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,911 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 178-179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS54: Turn to twin for advice

Q54. When you have a personal or practical problem, how much of the time do you turn to your twin for advice or help? Would you say all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, a little of the time, or never or hardly ever?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	162	8.5 %
2	Most of the time	348	18.2 %
3	Some of the time	512	26.8 %
4	A little of the time	402	21.0 %
5	Never or hardly ever	487	25.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
8	Refused/missing	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,911 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 180-181 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9

A1TS55: Twin turn to you for advice

Q55. When your twin has a personal or practical problem, how often does (he/she) turn to you for advice or help - all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, a little of the time, or never or hardly ever?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All of the time	134	7.0 %
2	Most of the time	347	18.1 %
3	Some of the time	552	28.8 %
4	A little of the time	380	19.9 %
5	Never or hardly ever	493	25.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	No twin screener	2	0.1 %
7	Don't know	3	0.2 %
8	Refused/missing	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,914	100%

Based upon 1,906 valid cases out of 1,914 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 182-183 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 7 , 8 , 9