

<b>License Name</b>	<b>Short Description</b>
<b>No License</b>	Code is copyrighted; others cannot use, modify, or distribute it.
<b>Apache License 2.0</b>	Permissive license with patent protection; allows commercial use.
<b>GNU General Public License v3.0 (GPL-3.0)</b>	Strong copyleft; modified code must be open-sourced.
<b>MIT License</b>	Very permissive; allows almost any use with attribution.
<b>BSD 2-Clause “Simplified” License</b>	Permissive; minimal restrictions, similar to MIT.
<b>BSD 3-Clause “New/Revised” License</b>	BSD 2-Clause plus non-endorsement rule.
<b>Boost Software License 1.0</b>	Highly permissive; commonly used for C++ libraries.
<b>Creative Commons Zero v1.0 (CC0)</b>	Public domain; no restrictions or attribution needed.
<b>Eclipse Public License 2.0 (EPL-2.0)</b>	Weak copyleft; only modified files must be shared.
<b>GNU Affero General Public License v3.0 (AGPL-3.0)</b>	Strong copyleft; network/SaaS use requires source release.
<b>GNU General Public License v2.0 (GPL-2.0)</b>	Strong copyleft; derivatives must remain GPL-licensed.
<b>GNU Lesser General Public License v2.1 (LGPL-2.1)</b>	Weak copyleft; allows linking with proprietary software.
<b>Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)</b>	File-level copyleft; balances open source and commercial use.
<b>The Unlicense</b>	Public domain; free use with no conditions.

License	Type	Commercial Use	Source Code Must Be Shared?	Network (SaaS) Clause	Restriction Level
No License	Proprietary	✗ No	✗ Not allowed	✗ No	Very High
AGPL v3.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes (Always)	✓ Yes	Very High
GPL v3.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes	✗ No	High
GPL v2.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited	✓ Yes	✗ No	High
LGPL v2.1	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	Library only	✗ No	Medium
EPL 2.0	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	Modified files only	✗ No	Medium
MPL 2.0	File-level Copyleft	✓ Yes	Modified files only	✗ No	Medium
Apache 2.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	Low
MIT	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	Low
BSD 2-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	Low
BSD 3-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	Low
Boost 1.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	Low
CC0	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
Unlicense	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None

Platform	Type	Open Source	Best For	Key Points
GitLab	Cloud / Self-hosted	✓ Yes	DevOps, CI/CD	Built-in CI/CD, issue tracking, very powerful
Bitbucket	Cloud / Self-hosted	✗ No	Teams using Jira	Strong Atlassian integration
Gitea	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Lightweight Git server	Simple, fast, low resource usage
Forgejo	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Community-driven	Fork of Gitea, fully open-source
SourceForge	Cloud	✗ No	Open-source hosting	Oldest platform, still used
Azure DevOps	Cloud	✗ No	Enterprise projects	Git repos + pipelines + boards
Codeberg	Cloud	✓ Yes	Open-source projects	Privacy-focused, EU-based
AWS CodeCommit	Cloud	✗ No	AWS users	Secure Git repos inside AWS
Phabricator	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Code review	Advanced code review tools
Launchpad	Cloud	✓ Yes	Ubuntu projects	Used mainly by Canonical
Pagure	Self-hosted	✓ Yes	Fedora projects	Red Hat ecosystem
RhodeCode	Self-hosted	✗ No	Enterprises	Git + Mercurial + SVN