

Project Management & Engineering Practice (GENG5505)

Scope management: Delivering on changing expectations (Ch 4)

(Week 3a) - Lecture five, 6th August, 2024



Navigating the project lifecycle (Slide review)

Planning/Schedule Execution/Progress Complete Concept Decision Decision Decision Decision Gate Gate Gate Gate Project Project Report Project Project Scope/Charter Audit Plan



Project life cycle inputs (Slide review)

 Project selection Problem/opportunity trigger Alternative solutions discussed Consistent with organizational capability Project benefits identified Critical success factors identified Risks identified Source & assign resources Procurement specifications finalised Stakeholders confirmed Explicit decision made to proceed to next stage (resources & time commitment) TBL & Life cycle thinking Project variables reviewed & redefined Break down project into activities Project variables reviewed & redefined Break down project into activities Progress status & forecast reports Manage change requests Manage contracts Corrective &/or reinforceme action Manage escalation issues Manage escalation issues Manage escalation issues Manage escalation issues Manage meetings Control & report progress Explicit decision made to commitment) TBL & Life cycle thinking TBL & Life cycle thinking TBL & life cycle thinking 	 Retentions certificates & warranties Archiving & recording Celebrate team's success Decision to close out TBL & life cycle thinking



Project life cycle outputs (Slide review)

Concept outputs	Planning outputs	Execution/monitoring outputs	Finalization outputs
 Client brief Business case Feasibility study / Cost benefit analysis /Life cycle impact assessment, etc. Risk assessment Charter/Scope documentation Stakeholder analysis Budget forecasts Procedures & policies Meeting minutes TBL & life cycle thinking Approvals 	 Stage, task & milestone detail – including duration, sequencing & resources Revised timelines (PERT/Gantt/etc.) Revised cash flows & budgets Resource matrix Baseline project schedule TBL & life cycle thinking Approvals 	 Performance standards Inspection & monitoring /testing plan Purchase orders Performance reports Change of scope request Progress claims Corrective action Contracts Revised schedules TBL & life cycle thinking Approvals 	 Handover Acceptance testing Project audit Completion checklist Feedback & evaluation Approvals



Planning scope management

1. A scope management plan documents '...how the project scope will be defined, validated and controlled' (PMBOK 2013).

2. Establishes the direction and guidance parameters on how the scope itself (project or product/service based) will be managed.

3. Provides a formal mechanism o limit, assess and authorise changes on a consistent and transparent basis.



Defining the scope

- >What is (inclusions) and what isn't (exclusions) required
- Establishes a scope baseline for comparisons and updates
- Forms the foundation of the project plan
- Investigates if expectation meets capability
- >Identifies the project deliverables, results and benefits



EXPECTATIONS DON'T ALWAYS MATCH CAPABILITY

Client expectation		Project capability	
Expectation 1		Capability 1	
Expectation 2		Capability 2	
Expectation 3		Capability 3	
Expectation 4		Capability 4	
Expectation 5		Capability 5	
Expectation 6		Capability 6	




The work breakdown structure (WBS)

- > Decomposes the project:
 - What work must be performed? Identifies all required activities;
 - How long will each activity take? Determines the duration;
 - What resources can perform the work? Determines who is needed;
 - How much investment is required? Determines what budget is needed.



GRAPHICAL WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE





Objective validation criteria

- ➤ Client acceptance will never be automatic in any project. Consider the following criteria to add a little formality to the process:
- •Issuing compliance certificates
- Measuring work performance
- Conducting variance analysis
- Undertaking physical inspections
- Conducting quality testing
- Scheduling independent audits
- Assessing technical feasibility
- Maintaining a traceability matrix




Satisfying client expectations: Delivering the agreed output

- > Highly satisfied (over performance)
- > 100%
- ➤ Satisfaction (agreed performance)
 - = 100%
- ➤ Dissatisfaction (under performance)
 - < 100%



SATISFYING CLIENT EXPECTATIONS: DELIVERING THE AGREED OUTPUT...CONTINUES





Controlling the scope

- ➤ Scope will always change over time (scope creep);
- ➤Other words for scope creep are innovation, continuous improvement, a client change of mind or just poor management;
- Scope control requires a written process with formal approval;
- ➤ Proposed changes should be assessed against all other project variables (time, cost, risk, contracts, quality, ...) and approved by key stakeholders;
- Implemented changes must produce updated project plans and related documents;



Starting the project: Core outputs from the concept stage

- 1. Identifying key stakeholders
- 2. Assigning the project manager
- 3. Creating the project charter
- 4. Developing preliminary project scope statement

The Project Charter

Example of charter format:

- ➤ Project title
- ➤ Project start date
- ➤ Project finish date
- ➤ Key stakeholders
- ➤ Business case supporting the project (e.g. Solving a problem or pursuing an opportunity)
- ➤ Deliverables / Project goals
- ➤ Budget information
- > Foreseeable risks
- >TBL and life cycle thinking



Selling the project charter/scope

Tangible benefits...

- ➤ Nominates key stakeholders
- ➤ Agrees deliverables/expectations
- ➤ Builds commitment & conveys capability
- ➤ Documents agreement
- ➤ Identifies direction & requirement
- ➤ Defines baseline
- >Authorizes scheduling
- > Facilitates management
- ➤ Pre-empts scope changes

>...

Latent dangers...

- >Imprecise language
- ➤ Inaccurate estimates
- Lack of detail i.e. Ignored specifications
- ➤ Inability to close-out
- ➤ Potential for misleading information
- ➤ Economic 'truth'
- ➤ Variation disputes
- ➤ Inconsistency with quality specifications
- ➤ Schedule delays
- ➤ Unavailability of resources
- **>**...



Project Scope Statement

- > Project title
- ➤ Project start and finish date
- > Detailing key stakeholders
- ➤ Project deliverables
- > Detailed description of all objectives, characteristics & requirements
- > Project justification
- > Detailing milestones
- ➤ Detailing risks
- > Detailing assumptions
- > Project success criteria
- > TBL & life cycle thinking



Setting project objectives

SMART Framework

- Specific
- Measure
- Achieve
- Realistic
- Time frame


- > Appropriate
- ➤ Unambiguous
- **≻**Concise
- **≻**Communicated
- >Written
- ➤ Agreed

>...

**To measure your progress (success) objectively, you must first have clear objectives to measure against



Optimizing & negotiating the project variables



Optimal input

Optimal output

Courage, confidence & TBL and life cycle principles to challenge & change the current status quo



BATNA

The intent of the concept stage is to:

- > Document the key project stakeholders
- ➤ Confer project status on the idea/initiative/change
- ➤ Describe what is to be accomplished
- > Document the project in all essential respects before final estimates are made
 - Time, cost, quality, resources, TBL and life cycle
- Communicate the size, complexity/potential risks, TBL & life cycle & interdependencies of the project
- >Identify how much is to be achieved (& what will not be achieved)
- **>...**



So, how can you capture the concept in your project?

Search for:

- ➤ The project charter
- ➤ The project scope
- >Client/sponsor briefs
- **≻**Proposals
- ➤ Information on key stakeholders
- ➤Information detailing the "business case" and alignment with vision, mission & overall company strategy
- Feasibility studies/cost benefit analysis/life cycle assessment analysis, etc.
- > Memorandum of understanding
- ➤ Risk assessment documents
- **≻**Benefits
- >Agreed aims & objectives
- **>...**



Reading week 3

Hartman F. and Ashrafi R, 2004, Development of the SMART project planning framework, *International Journal of Project Management*, pp 499 – 510

