

**Project Presentation and Demo Rubric: CITS 5506**

**Group Number** \_\_\_\_\_ **Project Title** \_\_\_\_\_ **Student Names** \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria	100% - 80% Exemplary	80% - 60% Good	60%-50% Satisfactory	50%-30% Needs improvement	30%- 0% Poor	Wt	Score
<b>Organization</b>	Presentation has a clear opening statement that catches audience's interest; organized and maintains focus throughout with a logical flow of arguments, demonstrating deep understanding.	Has opening statement relevant to topic; is mostly organized; provides adequate "road map" for the listener, demonstrating good understanding.	Has opening statement relevant to topic; is mostly organized and focused to large extent. The logical flow could be improved.	The presentation is poorly organized, arguments lack natural flow, and is not successful in maintaining focus, shows only basic understanding.	Has no opening statement or has an irrelevant statement; gives listeners no focus, lacks understanding.	10%	
<b>Content</b>	Presentation demonstrates substance and depth; is comprehensive; shows mastery of material. System Block Diagram, experiment details, cost, results i.e. accuracy etc. are well expressed.	Covers topic; is objective with depth. System Block diagram, experimental details and results, cost are appropriately discussed.	Covers topic; is objective with adequate depth. System Block diagram, experimental details and results, cost are given.	Covers topic with some depth. System Block diagram, experimental details and results, cost not given or some missing.	Does not give adequate coverage of topic; lacks System Diagram, and other relevant information.	20%	
<b>Technical Implementation</b>	Highly effective technical implementation with no errors. Efficient use of hardware and software.	Effective technical implementation with minor errors. Good use of hardware and software.	Adequate technical implementation with some errors. Basic use of hardware and software.	Limited technical implementation with several errors. Inefficient use of hardware and software.	Poor technical implementation with numerous errors. Ineffective use of hardware and software.	20%	
<b>Delivery and Presentation Skills</b>	Clear, confident, and engaging presentation within time limit. Excellent use of visuals and aids.	Clear and confident presentation with good use of visuals and aids, within time limit.	Adequate presentation skills with some use of visuals and aids, within time limit.	Basic presentation skills with limited use of visuals and aids. Exceed time limit.	Lacks clarity and confidence in presentation. Poor use of visuals and aids, exceeds time limit.	10%	
<b>Demonstration Effectiveness</b>	Highly effective demonstration, all components work seamlessly.	Effective demonstration, most components work well.	Adequate demonstration, some components work with minor issues.	Limited demonstration, several components do not work properly.	Ineffective demonstration, most components do not work.	25%	
<b>Q&amp;A</b>	Handles questions with confidence and provides insightful answers.	Handles questions well and provides clear answers.	Adequately handles questions and provides reasonable answers.	Limited ability to handle questions and provides basic answers.	Unable to handle questions and provides unclear or incorrect answers.	15%	

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_