Probabilistic Robotics Course

Discrete Filtering: Localization

Barbara Bazzana

bazzana@diag.uniroma1.it

Tiziano Guadagnino

quadagnino@diag.uniroma1.it

Bartolomeo Della Corte

dellacorte@diag.uniroma1.it

Dominik Schlegel schlegel@diag.uniromal.it

Department of Computer Control and Management Engineering Sapienza University of Rome

Discrete filtering: recap


- Estimate the <u>current state belief</u> given
 - A) Previous state belief
 - B)Sequence of observations $z_{0:t}$
 - C)Sequence of controls $u_{0:t-1}$
 - D)Transition model
 - E)Observation model

$$b(\mathbf{x}_t) = \eta_t p(\mathbf{z}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{t-1}} p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) b(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$

Implementing a Bayes Filter

- Choose how to represent the state
- Choose how to represent the controls
- Choose how to represent the observations
- Implement a transition model
- Implement an observation model

Implementing a Bayes Filter



Implementation Outline

- Scenario: The map, grid-orazio
- Modeling the problem
 - A) Transition model
 - 1) without noise
 - 2) with noise
 - B) Observation model

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1})$$

$$p(\mathbf{z}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_t)$$

- Building the filter
 - C) Predict belief
 - D) **Update** belief

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{u}_{1:t-1},\mathbf{z}_{1:t-1})$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t|u_{1:t-1},\mathbf{z}_{1:t})$$

Scenario: The map

In this problem we will use some prior knowledge: a 2D *map* (maps/map.txt).

Our map is a grid, represented by a matrix with the convention:


- a cell having value 0 is free
- a cell having value 1 is occupied

Scenario: grid-orazio

grid-orazio (red) lives in a grid world. The cells of this world are either free (white) or occupied (black)

At each point in time, gridorazio can receive one of the 4 commands to move: UP/DOWN/LEFT/RIGHT

grid-orazio senses the state around it with 4 bumpers (blue) mounted at its 4 sides



Scenario: Our program

```
#retrieve new robot position according to our transition model
state ground truth = getNextState(map, state ground truth, control input);
#obtain current observations according to our observation model
observations = getObservations(map, state ground truth(1), state ground truth(2));
#PREDICT robot position belief
state belief previous = state belief;
state belief = zeros(map rows, map cols);
for row = 1:map rows
  for col = 1:map cols
    state belief += %TODO
  endfor
endfor
#UPDATE robot position belief and COMPUTE the normalizer
inverse normalizer = 0;
for row = 1:map rows
  for col = 1:map cols
    state belief(row, col) *= %TODO
    inverse normalizer += %TODO
  endfor
endfor
#NORMALIZE the belief probabilities to [0, 1]
normalizer = %TODO
state belief *= %TODO
```

A) Transition Model (transitionModel.m)

How to move grid-orazio? We need to implement a function in the form:

that given:

- a start state [row from , col from]
- a control input

```
#available robot controls
global MOVE_UP = 119; # W
global MOVE_DOWN = 115; # S
global MOVE_LEFT = 97; # A
global MOVE_RIGHT = 100; # D
```


returns the probability of moving to any cell in the map from the start state

A1) Transition Model: Without noise

We assume that the controls we issue to grid-orazio, have a deterministic effect (**no noise**).

To a control MOVE_RIGHT, the robot will respond by moving right with probability 1.0.


MOVE RIGHT:



A1) Transition Model: Motion constraint

The robot can move only to adjacent cells, for farther cells the transition probability becomes 0.0.


MOVE RIGHT:



A1) Transition Model: Motion feasibility

If the target cell (**noise free** transition) is occupied, the robot will stay where it is with probability 1.0.

MOVE RIGHT:



A1) Transition Model: Motion constraint

Loop over the rows and columns of the map The robot can move only to adjacent cells:

```
#compute resulting position difference
translation_rows = row_to - row_from_;
translation_cols = col_to - col_from_;

#allow only unit motions (1 cell): check if we have a bigger motion
if(abs(translation_rows) > 1 || abs(translation_cols) > 1)
    continue;
endif
```

If the two cells are farther away than 1, the transition probability remains 0.

A1) Transition Model: Next state

Retrieve the *noise free* next state based on the control input:

```
#compute target robot position according to input
target row = row from ;
target col = col from ;
switch (control input )
        case MOVE UP
                target row++;
        case MOVE DOWN
                target row--;
        case MOVE LEFT
                target col--;
        case MOVE RIGHT
                target col++;
        otherwise
                return;
endswitch
```

A1) Transition Model: Motion feasibility

We have to check if the next state is feasible on our map (i.e. the cell is not occupied and we're not going over the border):

```
#check if the desired motion is infeasible
invalid motion = false:
if (target row < 1 || target row > map rows || target col < 1 || target col > map cols) #if we're going over the border
  invalid motion = true;
elseif (map (target row, target col) == 1 || map (row to, col to) == 1) #obstacle in the goal cell
  invalid motion = true:
endif
if (invalid motion)
  #if the desired translation is zero
  if (translation rows == 0 && translation cols == 0)
    transition_probability_matrix(row_to, col_to) = 1; #we stay with 100% probability (no motion has full confidence)
    continue:
  else
    continue; #we cannot move
  endif
endif
```

A1) Transition Model: Without noise

Set the probability of moving to a cell depending on the control input:

```
#our motion is feasible - compute resulting transition
switch (control input )
 case MOVE UP
   if (translation rows
                            == 1 && translation cols == 0) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   endif:
 case MOVE DOWN
                            == -1 && translation cols == 0) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   if (translation rows
   endif:
 case MOVE LEFT
   if (translation rows
                            == 0 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   endif:
 case MOVE RIGHT
   if (translation rows
                            == 0 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   endif:
endswitch
```

Since we're assuming no uncertainty, the probability for moving to the next state is maximal. And 0 for all other states.


A2) Transition Model: Modeling the Controls

The controls we issue to gridorazio, do not have a deterministic effect anymore (because we have **noise**)

To a control MOVE_RIGHT, the robot will respond by moving

- right with prob. 0.8
- top-right with prob. 0.1
- bottom-right with prob. 0.1






A2) Transition Model: Modeling the Controls

The controls we issue to gridorazio, do not have a deterministic effect anymore (because we have **noise**)

To a control MOVE_RIGHT, the robot will respond by moving

- right with prob. 0.0
- top-right with prob. 0.0
- bottom-right with prob. 0.0

MOVE_RIGHT:



If the target (noise free) cell is occupied, the robot will stay where it is with probability 1.0.

A2) Transition Model: With noise

Introduce noise into the transition:


```
#our motion is feasible - compute resulting transition
switch (control input )
  case MOVE UP
   if (translation rows
                            == -1 && translation cols == 0) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
    elseif (translation rows == -1 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
    elseif (translation rows == -1 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
   endif;
  case MOVE DOWN
   if (translation rows
                            == 1 && translation cols == 0) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
    elseif (translation rows == 1 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
    elseif (translation rows == 1 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
   endif;
  case MOVE LEFT
                          == 0 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   if (translation rows
    elseif (translation rows == 1 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
    elseif (translation rows == -1 && translation cols == -1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
   endif:
  case MOVE RIGHT
                          == 0 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = 1.0;
   if (translation rows
    elseif (translation rows == 1 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
    elseif (translation rows == -1 && translation cols == 1) transition probability matrix(row to, col to) = TODO
   endif:
endswitch
```


Now we cannot be certain that grid-orazio is moving into the desired direction.

B) Observation Model

Each bumper can be toggled or not.

4 bumpers result in 16 possible configurations:





B) Observation Model (observationModel.m)

To retrieve the 4 observations around gridorazio we use our observation model:

that given:

- a start state
- a observation sample (4 values)

returns the probability of observing the current observation sample

B) Observation Model: Modeling the Bumper

Given the location, each of the 4 bumpers

is independent

A bumper gives a wrong measurement with probability 0.2

In this situation

$$p(z_{RIGHT} = toggled) = 0.8$$

During the synthesis of an observation model you **assume** you know **both** state and the measurement. The observation model tells you how likely the measurement is in the state

B) Observation Model: With noise

We have:

```
#update probability depending on observations
if (cell_up_occupied == observations_(1))
%TODO
endif
if (cell_down_occupied == observations_(2))
%TODO
endif
if (cell_left_occupied == observations_(3))
%TODO
endif
if (cell_right_occupied == observations_(4))
%TODO
endif
```

Hence we might obtain bumper readings for cells which are actually not occupied.

Localizing grid-orazio

We have knowledge of:


- the controls grid-orazio receives (MOVE_..)
- 0-4 observations from the bumpers

We want to determine the distribution over all possible locations on the map using this information.

Belief

The belief should contain a probability value for each state.

```
#initialize state_belief over the complete grid
number_of_free_cells = rows(map)*columns(map);
belief_initial_value = 1/(number_of_free_cells);
state_belief = ones(rows(map), columns(map))*belief_initial_value;
```



C) Predict belief

We have:

$$\underbrace{p(\mathbf{x}_{t}|\mathbf{u}_{1:t-1},\mathbf{z}_{1:t-1})}_{b_{t|t-1}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{t-1}} p(\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{u}_{1:t-1},\mathbf{z}_{1:t-1})$$

and:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{u}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}) = p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) \underbrace{p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{u}_{1:t-2}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1})}_{b_{t-1}}$$

```
#PREDICT robot position belief
state_belief_previous = state_belief;
state_belief = zeros(map_rows, map_cols);
for row = 1:map_rows
    for col = 1:map_cols
        state_belief += %TODO
        endfor
endfor
```

D) Update belief

We have:

$$b(\mathbf{x}_t) = \eta_t p(\mathbf{z}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_t) \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{t-1}} p(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) b(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$

with:

$$\eta_t = \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{x}_t} p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) b(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})}$$

Straightforward implementation:

```
#UPDATE robot position belief and COMPUTE the normalizer
inverse_normalizer = 0;
for row = 1:map_rows
    for col = 1:map_cols
        state_belief(row, col) *= %TODO
        inverse_normalizer += %TODO
    endfor
```

Test it

In a console run:

octave-cli grid_orazio.m <map_file.txt>

 grid-orazio can be controlled with the keys: W,A,S,D

You will notice that issuing a motion command has nondeterministic effects.

Observe the "belief" window, and see the probability mass changing as grid-orazio explores the map.

Exercise

What if grid-orazio has also an *orientation* and its available controls change to:

- MOVE_FORWARD
- MOVE_BACKWARD
- ROTATE_LEFT
- ROTATE_RIGHT

How does the state change?

What about the observation and transition model?