Supplementary Material for 'Long-term use of cover crops reduces weed seedbanks'

Nichols et al. 2020 7/15/2020

General Site Management Summary

Table 1: General Site Description

Site Description	General Location	Treatment Description	Year of Ini- tiation	Crop Planted in 2019	Number of Treatment Replicates	Sampled in 2019
G + 1G :	Boyd Farm, Boone, field 44	maize/soybes grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2009	maize	5	Y
Central Grain	ⁿ Boyd Farm, Boone, field 42	maize/soybean grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2009	soy	5	Y
	Boyd Farm, Boone, field 44	maize silage/soybea grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2002	maize silage	5	Y
Central Silag	Boyd Farm, Boone, field 42	maize silage/soybean grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2002	soy	5	N
West	Jefferson, IA	maize/soybes grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2008	maize	4	Y
East	Washington, IA	maize/soybean grain rotation, with and without rye cover crop	2009	soybeans	4	Y

Table 2: 2018-2019 Herbicide Use

Site Description	Herbicides Used in 2018 Growing Season	Herbicdes Used in Fall 2018	Herbicides Used in Spring 2019
	glyphosate 1 week before soybean planting	none	glyphosate 1 week before maize planting; metalochlor, atrazine, and mesotrione at planting
Central Grain	glyphosate 1 week before maize planting; metalochlor, atrazine, and mesotrione at planting	none	glyphosate 1 week before soybean planting
Control Cilere	glyphosate 1 week before soybean planting	none	glyphosate 1 week before maize planting; metalochlor, atrazine, and mesotrione at planting
Central Silage	glyphosate 1 week before maize planting; metalochlor, atrazine, and mesotrione at planting	none	glyphosate 1 week before soybean planting
West	glyphosate before planting; glyphosate and fluthiacet-methyl at planting	none	glyphosate before planting; glyphosate and fluthiacet-methyl at planting
East	glyphosate and acetochlor before planting (April 15), atrazine, acetochlor at planting (May 14); acetochlor and glyphosate after planting (June 15)	none	chlorimuron-ethyl, flumioxazin, pyroxasulfone, and glyphosate before planting, dicamba and acetochlor after planting

Table 3: General Management

Site Description	General Herbicide Regime	General Date of Cover Crop Termina- tion	General Date of Crop Planting	Inorganic Fertilizer Used	Organic Fertilizer Used	Tillage Used
0 + 10 :	burndown, residual herbicide at maize planting	15-Apr	26-Apr	Y	NA	N
Central Grain	burndown, residual herbicide at maize planting	25-Apr	5-May	Y	NA	N
Control Silogo	burndown, residual herbicide at maize planting	15-Apr	26-Apr	Y	NA	N
Central Silage	burndown, residual herbicide at maize planting	25-Apr	5-May	Y	NA	N
West	burndown, pre-emergent herbicide	1-May	10-May	Y	chicken or turkey manure	N
East	burndown, residual herbicide at planting, another application on maize at ~V6	1-May	5-May	Y	liquid swine, ~3000 gal/ac every other year to entire field	N

Cover crop biomass production over past 10 years of trials




Figure 1: Winter rye cover crop biomass production at each trial (inset map, more information in Table 1) from 2010-2019 with solid lines representing grain-based maize (Zea mays)-soybean (Glycine max) systems and the dashed line the silage-based system.

Field wet soil amounts

Table 4: Wet Soil Weights Immediately After Sampling

site	cc_trt	rep	soilwt_g	notes
BC	no	1	6718.3	sampled $4/8$, $12\text{-}6pm$
	rye	1	6936.2	sampled $4/8$, 12 -6pm
	no	2	6838.6	sampled $4/8$, $12\text{-}6pm$
	rye	2	5965.2	sampled $4/8$, $12\text{-}6pm$
	no	3	6260.4	sampled $4/8$, $12\text{-}6pm$
	rye	3	6136.0	sampled $4/8$, $12\text{-}6pm$
	no	4	5554.9	sampled $4/9$
	rye	4	6312.7	sampled $4/9$
	no	5	5866.2	sampled $4/9$
	rye	5	5981.1	sampled 4/9
Bcsil	rye	1	6340.0	sampled $4/16$, 2-6pm
	no	1	5800.0	sampled $4/16$, 2-6pm
	rye	2	5990.0	sampled $4/16$, 2-6pm
	no	2	6100.0	sampled $4/16$, 2-6pm
	no	3	6245.5	sampled $4/8$
	rye	3	6160.2	sampled $4/8$
	no	4	6240.2	sampled $4/8$
	rye	4	6007.5	sampled $4/8$
	no	5	6682.9	sampled $4/8$
	rye	5	6045.7	sampled 4/8
BS	rye	1	6068.7	sampled $4/9$
	no	2	6240.3	sampled $4/9$
	rye	2	5950.5	sampled $4/9$
	no	3	5885.7	sampled 4/9
	rye	3	5734.1	sampled 4/9
	no	4	6213.3	sampled 4/9
	rye	4	5968.2	sampled 4/9
	no	5	6175.8	sampled 4/9
	rye	5	6050.4	sampled 4/9
East	no	1	5349.6	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	rye	1	5460.6	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	no	2	5235.5	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	rye	2	5055.2	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	no	3	5211.1	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	rye	3	4991.7	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
	no	4	5401.6	sampled 4/6, 8-5pm
	rye	4	5163.9	sampled $4/6$, 8-5pm
West	no	1	6314.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	rye	1	6401.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	no	2	5841.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	rye	2	5543.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	no	3	5698.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	rye	3	5947.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	no	4	6057.0	sampled 4/17, 9-2pm
	rye	4	5989.0	sampled $4/17$, 9-2pm

Statistical Results

Linear models on seedbank density

Values are presented for the models run with the full dataset (XX_{full}) and with the outlier removed (XX_{out-rm})

Table 5: Contrasts using full dataset (full) and dataset with outlier removed (out-rm)

model	site_sys	level1	level2	estimate	std.error	z.ratio	p.value
	Central_grain	no	rye	-0.32	0.26	-1.22	0.22
nois out m	Central_silage	no	rye	0.95	0.35	2.66	0.01
pois_out-rm	$West_grain$	no	rye	0.71	0.42	1.68	0.09
	$East_grain$	no	rye	0.42	0.41	1.03	0.31
	Central_grain	no	rye	-0.32	0.27	-1.19	0.24
pois_full	$Central_silage$	no	rye	0.95	0.37	2.58	0.01
pois_ruii	$West_grain$	no	rye	0.36	0.4	0.91	0.37
	$East_grain$	no	rye	0.43	0.43	1	0.32
	Central_grain	no	rye	-0.33	0.26	-1.27	0.2
hinom out rm	$Central_silage$	no	rye	1.02	0.34	2.99	0
binom_out-rm	$West_grain$	no	rye	0.71	0.41	1.72	0.09
	$East_grain$	no	rye	0.45	0.4	1.12	0.26
	Central_grain	no	rye	-0.33	0.26	-1.23	0.22
binom_full	$Central_silage$	no	rye	1.03	0.35	2.92	0
	$West_grain$	no	rye	0.28	0.39	0.71	0.48
	East_grain	no	rye	0.45	0.41	1.09	0.27

Table 6: Estimates using full dataset (full) and dataset with outlier removed (out-rm)

model	site_sys	cc_trt	estimate	std.error	asymp.LCL	asymp.UCL
	O . 1	no	2.97	0.23	2.52	3.42
	Central_grain	rye	3.29	0.23	2.85	3.73
	Central silage	no	4.3	0.3	3.72	4.88
nois out m	Central_snage	rye	3.35	0.3	2.76	3.95
pois_out-rm	West grain	no	6.02	0.34	5.35	6.69
	west_gram	rye	5.31	0.39	4.55	6.07
	East grain	no	3.32	0.36	2.62	4.03
	Last_gram	rye	2.9	0.36	2.19	3.61
	Ct1:	no	2.97	0.24	2.5	3.43
	Central_grain	rye	3.29	0.23	2.83	3.74
	Central silage	no	4.29	0.31	3.69	4.9
pois full	Centrar_snage	rye	3.35	0.31	2.74	3.96
pois_run	$West_grain$	no	6.02	0.35	5.33	6.71
		rye	5.66	0.36	4.97	6.36
	East grain	no	3.32	0.37	2.6	4.05
	East_grain	rye	2.9	0.38	2.16	3.63
	Ct1	no	3.11	0.23	2.67	3.55
	Central_grain	rye	3.44	0.23	3	3.88
	C 4 1 11	no	4.45	0.29	3.87	5.02
hinom out m	Central_silage	rye	3.42	0.3	2.84	4.01
binom_out-rm	West grain	no	6.03	0.33	5.37	6.68
	west_gram	rye	5.32	0.38	4.58	6.06
	East grain	no	3.43	0.36	2.73	4.13
	Last_gram	rye	2.98	0.36	2.28	3.69
	C	no	3.11	0.23	2.65	3.57
	Central_grain	rye	3.43	0.24	2.97	3.9
	Ct1 -:1	no	4.44	0.3	3.85	5.04
himana full	Central_silage	rye	3.42	0.31	2.81	4.02
binom_full	West	no	6.04	0.35	5.35	6.72
	$West_grain$	rye	5.76	0.36	5.06	6.46
	Foot main	no	3.42	0.37	2.69	4.15
	East_grain	rye	2.98	0.37	2.24	3.71

Biomass metrics

Table 7: Cover crop biomass metrics, 10-year time frame

site_sys	nabove1	nabove2	ccbio_mean	ccbio_med	ccbio_var	ccbio_max	ccbio_stab	ccbio_2019
Central_grain	4	2	1.03	0.74	0.77	2.76	0.85	1.29
Central_silage	9	4	2.04	1.74	1.02	4.23	0.5	2.05
West_grain	2	1	0.45	0.14	0.46	2.11	1.5	0
East_grain	3	2	1.32	0.43	4.89	7.3	1.68	0.3

Table 8: Cover crop biomass metrics, 5-year time frame

site_sys	nabove1	nabove2	ccbio_mean	$ccbio_med$	ccbio_var	ccbio_max	$ccbio_stab$	ccbio_2019
Central_grain	3	2	1.72	1.76	0.91	2.76	0.55	1.29
Central_silage	4	3	2.56	2.13	1.27	4.23	0.44	2.05
West_grain	0	0	0.24	0.09	0.08	0.63	1.16	0
East_grain	1	1	1.73	0.36	9.71	7.3	1.8	0.3




Figure 2: Absolute change in seedbank density vs. cover crop biomass metrics




Figure 3: Relative change in seedbank density vs. cover crop biomass metrics

Manuscript figures with full datasets




Figure 4: Manuscript fig. 2 using full dataset




Figure 5: Manuscript fig. 3 using full dataset




Figure 6: Manuscript fig. 4 using full dataset




Figure 7: Manuscript fig. 5 using full dataset