## Inverse Prediction

One use of a regression model

$$E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

is to predict Y for a new x,  $x_0$ .

Sometimes, instead, we observe a new  $y_0$ , and want to make an inference about the new  $x_0$ .

Often x is expensive to measure, but Y is cheap; the relationship is determined from a *calibration* dataset.

$$y_0 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0 + \epsilon_0,$$

we can solve for  $x_0$ :

$$x_0 = \frac{y_0 - \beta_0 - \epsilon_0}{\beta_1}.$$

We do not observe  $\epsilon_0$ , but we know that  $E(\epsilon_0) = 0$ .

Similarly, we do not know  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , but we have estimates  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .

$$\hat{x}_0 = \frac{y_0 - \hat{\beta}_0}{\hat{\beta}_1}.$$

This is known as inverse prediction.

An approximate  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  prediction interval for  $x_0$  is:

$$\hat{x}_0 \pm t_{lpha/2} imes rac{s}{\hat{eta}_1} imes \sqrt{1 + rac{1}{n} + rac{(\hat{x} - ar{x})^2}{\mathsf{SS}_{xx}}}.$$

An alternative approach is to fit the inverse regression:

$$x = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 y + \epsilon.$$

Then use the standard prediction interval

$$\hat{x}_0 \pm t_{lpha/2} imes s_{x|y} imes \sqrt{1 + rac{1}{n} + rac{(y_0 - ar{y})^2}{\mathsf{SS}_{yy}}}$$

where

$$\hat{x}_0 = \hat{\gamma}_0 + \hat{\gamma}_1 y_0.$$

This is *not* supported by the standard theory, because, in the calibration data, x is fixed and y is random.

But it has been shown to work well in practice.