



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-6819-2019 IN/AND  
CRM-A-493-2019  
Reserved on: 27.09.2022  
Date of decision: 30.09.2022

**KARNAIL SINGH**

...Appellant

Versus

**HARJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS**

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT**

Present: Mr. J.S. Ghumman, Advocate  
for the applicant.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.**

**CRM-6819-2019**

1. The instant application has been filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act, for seeking condonation of delay of 1295 days, in filing the present application, seeking leave to appeal against the verdict of acquittal made by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Phillaur on 31.01.2015, in respect of offences punishable under Sections 436, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC.

2. However, since the above period of delay is immense, besides when no sufficient cause has emerged in explication of the above gross inordinate delay, which has occurred in the institution of the instant application before this Court, hence seeking leave to appeal against the verdict of acquittal (supra). Resultantly, the above gross insufficiently explained delay, but constrains this



CRM-6918-2019 IN/AND CRM-A-493-2019

-2-

Court to dismiss the instant application seeking condonation of delay of 1295 days in the institution of the relevant application before this Court.

3. Dismissed accordingly.

**CRM-A-493-2019**

Since the application seeking condonation of delay has been dismissed on merits, therefore the application seeking leave to appeal also stands dismissed, it being time barred.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**30.09.2022**

Ithlesh

**(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

<b>Whether speaking/reasoned:-</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>
<b>Whether reportable:</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>