Page 1 Wednesday, February 28, 2024

Printed For: Mr. Hon'ble Mr Justice Anup Jairam Bhambhani

SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: The Law Weekly, © 2024 Law Weekly, Chennai.

1 L. W.]

THE LAW WEEKLY.

965

SHOHARAT SINGH v. JAFRI BIBI

PRIVY COUNCIL.

[From the High Court of Judicature for the North-Western Provinces at Allahabad.]

Lord Parker of Waddington, Sir John Edge and Mr. Ameer Ali. 18th May 1914.

SHOHARAT SINGH and others—(Appellants Defendants).

 $v \cdot$

MUSAMMAT JAFRI BIBI—(Respondent Plaintiff).

Muhammadan Law—Shiahs—Marriage—Muta and nikah forms, difference between—Legitimacy, presumption as to, of offspring of muta marriage—Succession right of.

Among Muhamadans of the Shiah School, a muta marriage is a temporary marriage whose duration is fixed by agreement between the parties, which period s capable of further extensions. It does not confer on the woman any right or claim to her husband's property but children conceived during its continuance are legitimate and capable of inheriting from their father. A nikah marriage on the other hand is a religious ceremony and confers on the woman the full status of wife; and children born after it are legitimate.

When once it is proved that cohabitation originated in a muta marriage, the presumption, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is that the muta continued during the whole period of cohabitation.

Among Muhammadans of the Shiah School daughters who are the offspring of muta union and mukah union are co-heirs, entitled to succeed to the in heritance equally.

Appeal against the judgment and decree of the High Court of Judicature for the North-West Provinces at Allahabad, dated 9th February. 1910, reversing those of the Subordinate Judge of Gorakhpur, dated 27th May 1907.

The dispute relates to the property of one Mahomed Kasim deceased, who left behind him surviving three daughters by one Achchhi Bibi, of whom the plaintiff is the youngest. The defendants are the other two daughters and their alienees. Plaintiff's case was that till about one and half years prior to her birth, Mahomed Kasim and Achchhi Bibi were not legally married though they lived together as man and wife, that it was only then that they were so married and that in consequence she alone was the legitimate daughter of her parents and that she was entitled to succeed to seven-eighth of the property of Mahomed Kasim. The defendants on the other hand contended that the other two daughters were equally legitimate and that the plaintiff was entitled to inherit only a 7/24 ths of her father's estate. In proof of her legitimacy the plaintiff produced a deed of dower executed by Manomed Kasim wherein he declared inter alia that Achchhi Bibi had been living with him for some years past, that he had contracted muta with her and that owing to certain circumstances performance of nikah



Page 2 Wednesday, February 28, 2024

Printed For: Mr. Hon'ble Mr Justice Anup Jairam Bhambhani

SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: The Law Weekly, © 2024 Law Weekly , Chennai.

966

THE LAW WEEKLY

[1914

SHOHARAT SINGH v. JAFRI BIBI

had to be postponed, which, however, he had then performed at a dower of Rs. 50,000. The Subordinate Judge disposed of the Case on some of the issues only but on appeal the High Court remanded the case for disposal on the other issues also. The case was then heard by the successor in office of the subordinate judge who originally treed the case and he held that the deed was a forgery but the High Court, having before it additional evidence of considerable importance, held that the deed was genuine and that the marriage ceremony had really been performed. Against this decree the plantiff appealed to His Majesty in Council.

Messrs. De Gruyther, K.C., and Dube for the Appellants:—There is nothing to prove the continuance of muta or performance of nikah. The evidence given by the witnesses is very improbable. The deed of dower has not been proved to have been executed by Mahomed Kasim and the aliences ought to be protected as bonafide purchasers for value.

Mr. Lowndes for the Respondents.—The plaintiff, as the only legitimate daughter, is entitlied to the share claimed by her and the other two daughters of Mahomed Kasim have no right to succeed to any portion of the same.

Mr. De Gruyther briefly replied.

JUDGMENT.

Lord Parker of Waddington.—The questions which arise for decision on this appeal are substantially questions of fact only. Was Muhammad Kazim ever married to Achchhi Bibi, and if so, when, and were there any children of the marriage? There is no doubt that Muhammad Kazim left three daughters by Achchhi Bibi him surviving, of whom the plaintiff was the youngest, and that those three daughters if legitimate, were entitled to succeed to his property as co-heirs. But the defendants to the action (the now appellants) allege that they were illegitimate, or alternatively that if the plaintiff was legitimate, so also were her sisters, so that the plaintiff was entitled to succeed to one-third only of her father's property. The plaintiff (the now respondent) alleges on the other hand that although her father and mother lived together as a man and wife for many years, they were married about 1½ years before she was born and not earlier, that she, therefore, was his only legitimate child

The plaintiff tendered in proof of the marriage of her parents a deed said to have been executed by Muhammad Kasim on the 11th April 1884, a translation of which will be found at page 90 of the record, and also the depositions of several witnesses who deposed to the marriage ceremony having taken place in their presence about the same date. The Subordinale Judge was of opinion

Page 3 Wednesday, February 28, 2024

Printed For: Mr. Hon'ble Mr Justice Anup Jairam Bhambhani

SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: The Law Weekly, © 2024 Law Weekly, Chennai.

1 L. W.]

600

THE LAW WEEKLY

967

SHOHARAT SINGH v. JAFRI BIBI.

that the deed was a forgery, and that these witnesses were not telling the truth. The High Court having before it additional evidence of considerable importance came to a contrary conclusion, holding that the deed was genuine and that the marriage ceremony had been performed as deposed to by the witnesses. The present appeal is from this decision of the High Court.

The deed in question is a deed of dower. In it Muhammad Kasim, who was a Mohamedan of the Shia sect, declared that Achchhi Bibi had been living with him for some years past, and that he had contractel muta with her in the beginning; that owing to their mutual love and affection he had long intended performing nikah with her, but owing to certain circumsances, as well as to the unwillingness of some members of his family, he could not do so; that a suit was recently instituted on his behalf in which his deposition was taken; that in this deposition he had not considered it advisible to admit his muta with Achchhi Bibi; that she came to know of this, and by reason of it a disagreement took place between them; that as he had made up his mind before this to perform nikah with her, and as it was also necessary to remove the disagreement between them, he had of his own free will and accord performed nikah with Achehhi Bibi at a dower of Rs. 50,000, at a general meeting at which raises and respectable residents of the city were present; hence he had executed that deed as a memorandum of the dower and nikah that it might be of use in time of need.

A muta marriage is, according to the law which prevails among Shias, a temporary marriage, its duration being fixed by agreement between the parties. It does not confer on the wife any right or claim to her husband's property, but children conceived while it exits are legitimate and capable of inheriting from their father. A nikah marriage is a religious ceremony, and confers on the woman the full status of wife, and children born after it are legitimate.

If the deed in question be a genuine deed, and the statements in it be taken as true, then not only was there a nikah marriage between Muhammad Kasim and Achehhi Bibi at or about the time of its execution, but their cohabitation originated in a muta marriage. There is no evidence as to the original term for which this muta marriage was contracted, but such term, whatever it was, may from time to time have been extended by agreement; and in their Lordships' opinion, if it be once proved that the cohabitation originated in a muta marriage, the proper inference would, in default of evidence to the contrary, be that the muta continued during the whole period of cohabitation.

Besides the deed itself there is ample corroborative evidence of the wikah marriage there referred to, if the witnesses called to depose to the



Page 4 Wednesday, February 28, 2024

968

Printed For: Mr. Hon'ble Mr Justice Anup Jairam Bhambhani

SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: The Law Weekly, © 2024 Law Weekly , Chennai.

THE LAW WEEKLY

[1914

SHOHARAT SINGH v. JAFRI BIBI

actual ceremony are treated as worthy of credit. There is also some corroborative evidence of the *muta* marriage.

After careful consideration of all the evidence their Lordships have come to a conclusion that they ought not to reverse the findings of the High Court as to the genuineness of the deed of dower or the credibility of the witnesses who deposed to the celebration of the nikah. The judges who were parties to these findings have necessarily a large experience in matters of this nature. The Subordinate Judge had no more opportunity than they had of seeing and observing the demeanour of the witnesses, and they on the other hand had evidence before them which was not before the Subordinate Judge. No doubt, as pointed out by the learned Counsel for the appellants, there are good reasons why both the deed itself and the evidence of the witnesses in question ought to be looked upon with suspicion and scrutinised with great care. Their Lordships do not think it necessary to go into these reasons. It is enough to say that, after scrutinising the evidence with the greatest care, they do not see their way to disturb the findings of the Court below.

There is, however, one matter which does not appear to have been considered by the High Court with the attention which it deserved, and that is the question of the muta marriage. If the deed be treated as a good and valid deed, and the plaintiff's witnesses as reliable witnesses, there is considerable evidence that the cohabitation of Muhammad Kasim and Achchhi Bibi commenced in a muta marriage, and if this be so, in default of evidence to the contrary, such marriage must be taken to have subsisted throughout the period which covered the conception and birth of the plaintiff's two sisters. These sisters would thus be coheirs with the plaintiff of their father's property. It is true that their claim as such is statute barred, but the expiration of the period of limitation would accrue for the benefit of the defendants in the action (the now appellants), and not for the benefit of the plaintiff (the now respondent). In their Lordships' opinion the proper conclusion on the assumption that the nikah marriage took place as alleged, was in favour of a muta marriage having also taken place, and of the legitimacy of the plaintiff's sisters, in which case the plaintiff was entitled to one-third only of what she has recovered under the order of the High Court. In their Lordships' opinion the case should be remitted to the High Court to be dealt with on this footing; the order must be varied in this respect, with liberty to either party to apply to the High Court to vary the order as to costs; and there should be no costs of this appeal which has in part succeeded and in part failed. And they will humbly advise His Majesty accordingly.

Appeal partly allowed.