
A Universal Decomposition for Distributed Optimization Algorithms

Bryan Van Scoy Miami University **Laurent Lessard**Northeastern University

Distributed optimization



$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1 \\ \nabla f_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad \nabla \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1 \\ \nabla f_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithms

and more...

$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^{t} - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t})$$
 DGD'09
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})(2\mathbf{x}^{t} - \mathbf{x}^{t-1}) - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t}) + \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t-1})$$
 EXTRA'15
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{x}^{t} - \alpha \mathbf{y}^{t})$$
 AugDGM'15
$$\mathbf{y}^{t+1} = (I - \mathbf{L})(\mathbf{y}^{t} + \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t}))$$
 DIGing'17
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^{t} - \alpha \mathbf{y}^{t}$$
 DIGing'17
$$\mathbf{y}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^{t} + \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t+1}) - \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t})$$
 ExDiff'17
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})\mathbf{x}^{t} + \mathbf{y}^{t+1} - \mathbf{y}^{t}$$
 ExDiff'17
$$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = (I - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L})(2\mathbf{x}^{t} - \mathbf{x}^{t-1} - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t}) + \alpha \nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{t-1}))$$
 NIDS'19


2

Questions

1) Does every algorithm decompose into a centralized optimization method and a consensus estimator?


2) Given a centralized optimization method and a consensus estimator, can we combine them to form an algorithm?

Optimization



	Algorithm	$\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{opt}}(z)$
Gradient descent	$y^{t+1} = y^t - \alpha \nabla f(y^t)$	$\frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$
Accelerated methods	$x^{t+1} = x^{t} + \beta(x^{t} - x^{t-1}) - \alpha \nabla f(y^{t})$ $y^{t} = x^{t} + \gamma(x^{t} - x^{t-1})$	$\frac{-\alpha(z+\gamma(z-1))}{(z-1)(z-\beta)}$
Proximal methods	$y^{t+1} \in \arg\min_{y} f(y) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} y - y^t ^2$	$\frac{-\alpha z}{z-1}$

Consensus




$$\begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ z_i \end{bmatrix} = G_{\text{con}} \begin{bmatrix} w_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} (z_i - z_j)$$

	Algorithm	$G_{ m con}(z)$
First-order estimator	$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{w}^t - \mathbf{x}^t)$ $\mathbf{y}^t = \mathbf{w}^t - \mathbf{x}^t$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$
Second-order estimator	$\mathbf{x}^{t+1} = 2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}^t$ $\mathbf{y}^t = \mathbf{w}^t - \frac{1}{2}(2\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^{t-1})$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{(z - 1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{(z - 1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$

5

A universal decomposition



- $oldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{opt}}$ is a centralized optimization method
- ullet G_{con} is a second-order consensus estimator


Every distributed optimization algorithm decomposes in this form, and any optimization method and consensus estimator form a valid algorithm.

Non-accelerated algorithms

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{(z - 1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{(z - 1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 EXTRA
$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\frac{1}{2} z^2}{(z - 1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{(z - 1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 NIDS / ExDiff
$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{z(z + \beta - 1)}{(z - 1)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{1 - (1 + \beta)z}{(z - 1)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 SVL

In all cases, $\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{opt}}(z) = \frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$ (gradient descent).

Factored form



- ullet G_{opt} is a centralized optimization method
- \bullet $~G_{\mathbf{con1}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{con2}}$ are first-order consensus estimators

Better properties (internal stability), but not all algorithms factor.

Non-accelerated algorithms that factor

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con1}}(z),\, \widehat{G}_{\text{con2}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-z}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- DIGing uses the estimator on the left for both factors
- AB uses one of each estimator
- AugDGM uses the estimator on the right for both factors

In all cases, $\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{opt}}(z) = \frac{-\alpha}{z-1}$ (gradient descent).

9


Accelerated algorithms that factor

$$\widehat{G}_{\text{con1}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \widehat{G}_{\text{opt}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{G}_{\text{con2}}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \\ 1 & \frac{-1}{z-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- \mathcal{ABN} uses $\gamma = \beta$ (Nesterov's method)
- $\mathcal{AB}m$ uses $\gamma = 0$ (heavy-ball method)


In all cases,
$$\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{opt}}(z)=\frac{-\alpha(z+\gamma(z-1))}{(z-1)(z-\beta)}$$
 (accelerated method).

Interpretation: robust optimization




The optimization method must be robust to dynamic uncertainty.

Interpretation: consensus with feedback



The consensus estimator must be stable under nonlinear feedback.

Summary



Every distributed optimization algorithm decomposes in this form, and any optimization method and consensus estimator form a valid algorithm.

- provides a systematic way to interpret and compare algorithms
- interpretations as robust optimization and consensus with feedback
- what are G_{opt} and G_{con} for optimal algorithms?