

CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

More on \rightarrow *i*

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1. *true*

premise

2.

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.		

More on \rightarrow i

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg \neg p$	$\neg \neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg \neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg \neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8
10.	$(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-9
11.		

More on $\rightarrow i$

► $\vdash (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	$q \rightarrow r$	assumption
3.	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	assumption
4.	p	assumption
5.	$\neg\neg p$	$\neg\neg i$ 4
6.	$\neg\neg q$	MT 3,5
7.	q	$\neg\neg e$ 6
8.	r	MP 2,7
9.	$p \rightarrow r$	$\rightarrow i$ 4-8
10.	$(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-9
11.	$(q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow [(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)]$	$\rightarrow i$ 2-10

Transforming Proofs

- ▶ $(q \rightarrow r), (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p), p \vdash r$
- ▶ Transform any proof $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \vdash \psi$ to $\vdash \varphi_1 \rightarrow (\varphi_2 \rightarrow \dots (\varphi_n \rightarrow \psi) \dots)$ by adding n lines of the rule $\rightarrow i$

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2.

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3.

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4.

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4. q $\wedge e_2$ 2

5.

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$	premise
2.	$p \wedge q$	assumption
3.	p	$\wedge e_1$ 2
4.	q	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5.	$q \rightarrow r$	MP 1,3
6.		

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

1. $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ premise

2. $p \wedge q$ assumption

3. p $\wedge e_1$ 2

4. q $\wedge e_2$ 2

5. $q \rightarrow r$ MP 1,3

6. r MP 4,5

7.

More Examples

► $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ | premise |
| 2. | $p \wedge q$ | assumption |
| 3. | p | $\wedge e_1$ 2 |
| 4. | q | $\wedge e_2$ 2 |
| 5. | $q \rightarrow r$ | MP 1,3 |
| 6. | r | MP 4,5 |
| 7. | $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$ | $\rightarrow i$ 2-6 |

More Rules

The or introduction rule $\vee i_1$

$$\frac{\varphi}{\varphi \vee \psi}$$

The or introduction rule $\vee i_2$

$$\frac{\psi}{\varphi \vee \psi}$$

More Rules

The or elimination rule $\vee e$

$$\frac{\varphi \vee \psi \quad \varphi \vdash \chi \quad \psi \vdash \chi}{\chi}$$

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1. $q \rightarrow r$ premise

2.

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e(1)$
4.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2 6$

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.		

Or Elimination Example

► $q \rightarrow r \vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$

1.	$q \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	assumption
3.	p	$\vee e (1)$
4.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e (2)$
6.	r	MP 1,5
7.	$p \vee r$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee r$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.	$(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee r)$	$\rightarrow i 2-8$

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1.	$(p \vee q) \vee r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	$\vee e(1)$
3.	p	$\vee e(1.1)$
4.		

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p $\vee e(1.1)$

4. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_1 3$

5.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p $\vee e(1.1)$

4. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_1 3$

5. q $\vee e(1.2)$

6.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p $\vee e(1.1)$

4. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_1 3$

5. q $\vee e(1.2)$

6. $q \vee r$ $\vee i_1 5$

7.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p $\vee e(1.1)$

4. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_1 3$

5. q $\vee e(1.2)$

6. $q \vee r$ $\vee i_1 5$

7. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_2 6$

8.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1. $(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise

2. $p \vee q$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p $\vee e(1.1)$

4. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_1 3$

5. q $\vee e(1.2)$

6. $q \vee r$ $\vee i_1 5$

7. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee i_2 6$

8. $p \vee (q \vee r)$ $\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$

9.

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1.	$(p \vee q) \vee r$ premise	
2.	$p \vee q$	$\vee e(1)$
3.	p	$\vee e(1.1)$
4.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e(1.2)$
6.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_1 5$
7.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.	r	$\vee e(2)$
10.		

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1.	$(p \vee q) \vee r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	$\vee e(1)$
3.	p	$\vee e(1.1)$
4.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e(1.2)$
6.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_1 5$
7.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.	r	$\vee e(2)$
10.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_2 9$
11.		

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1.	$(p \vee q) \vee r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	$\vee e(1)$
3.	p	$\vee e(1.1)$
4.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e(1.2)$
6.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_1 5$
7.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.	r	$\vee e(2)$
10.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_2 9$
11.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 10$

Associativity Using Or Elimination

► $(p \vee q) \vee r \vdash p \vee (q \vee r)$

1.	$(p \vee q) \vee r$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	$\vee e(1)$
3.	p	$\vee e(1.1)$
4.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_1 3$
5.	q	$\vee e(1.2)$
6.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_1 5$
7.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 6$
8.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee e 2, 3-4, 5-7$
9.	r	$\vee e(2)$
10.	$q \vee r$	$\vee i_2 9$
11.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee i_2 10$
12.	$p \vee (q \vee r)$	$\vee e 1, 2-8, 9-11$

Basic Rules So Far

- ▶ $\wedge i, \wedge e_1, \wedge e_2$ (and introduction and elimination)
- ▶ $\neg\neg e, \neg\neg i$ (double negation elimination and introduction)
- ▶ MP (Modus Ponens)
- ▶ $\rightarrow i$ (Implies Introduction : remember opening boxes)
- ▶ $\forall i_1, \forall i_2, \forall e$ (Or introduction and elimination)

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1. *true* premise

2.

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	<i>p</i>	assumption
3.		

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	p	assumption
3.	q	assumption
4.		

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	<i>p</i>	assumption
3.	<i>q</i>	assumption
4.	<i>p</i>	copy 2
5.		

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	<i>p</i>	assumption
3.	<i>q</i>	assumption
4.	<i>p</i>	copy 2
5.	$q \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-4
6.		

The Copy Rule

► $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

1.	<i>true</i>	premise
2.	p	assumption
3.	q	assumption
4.	p	copy 2
5.	$q \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-4
6.	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$	$\rightarrow i$ 2-5

The Rules of Single Negation

- ▶ We have seen $\neg\neg e$ and $\neg\neg i$, the elimination and introduction of double negation.

The Rules of Single Negation

- ▶ We have seen $\neg\neg e$ and $\neg\neg i$, the elimination and introduction of double negation.
- ▶ How about introducing and eliminating single negations?

The Rules of Single Negation

- ▶ We have seen $\neg\neg e$ and $\neg\neg i$, the elimination and introduction of double negation.
- ▶ How about introducing and eliminating single negations?
- ▶ We use the notion of **contradictions**, an expression of the form $\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi$, where φ is any propositional logic formula.

The Rules of Single Negation

- ▶ We have seen $\neg\neg e$ and $\neg\neg i$, the elimination and introduction of double negation.
- ▶ How about introducing and eliminating single negations?
- ▶ We use the notion of **contradictions**, an expression of the form $\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi$, where φ is any propositional logic formula.
- ▶ Any two contradictions are equivalent : $p \wedge \neg p$ is equivalent to $\neg r \wedge r$. Contradictions denoted by \perp .

The Rules of Single Negation

- ▶ We have seen $\neg\neg e$ and $\neg\neg i$, the elimination and introduction of double negation.
- ▶ How about introducing and eliminating single negations?
- ▶ We use the notion of **contradictions**, an expression of the form $\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi$, where φ is any propositional logic formula.
- ▶ Any two contradictions are equivalent : $p \wedge \neg p$ is equivalent to $\neg r \wedge r$. Contradictions denoted by \perp .
- ▶ $\perp \rightarrow \varphi$ for any formula φ .

Rules with \perp

The \perp elimination rule $\perp e$

$$\frac{\perp}{\psi}$$

The \perp introduction rule $\perp i$

$$\frac{\varphi \quad \neg\varphi}{\perp}$$

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2. $\neg p$ $\vee e(1)$

3.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2. $\neg p$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p assumption

4.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2. $\neg p$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p assumption

4. \perp $\perp i 2,3$

5.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2. $\neg p$ $\vee e$ (1)

3. p assumption

4. \perp $\perp i$ 2,3

5. q $\perp e$ 4

6.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1. $\neg p \vee q$ premise

2. $\neg p$ $\vee e(1)$

3. p assumption

4. \perp $\perp i 2,3$

5. q $\perp e 4$

6. $p \rightarrow q$ $\rightarrow i 3-5$

7. q $\vee e(2)$

8.

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1.	$\neg p \vee q$	premise
2.	$\neg p$	$\vee e (1)$
3.	p	assumption
4.	\perp	$\perp i 2,3$
5.	q	$\perp e 4$
6.	$p \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i 3-5$
7.	q	$\vee e (2)$
8.	p	assumption
9.		

An Example

► $\neg p \vee q \vdash p \rightarrow q$

1.	$\neg p \vee q$	premise
2.	$\neg p$	$\vee e (1)$
3.	p	assumption
4.	\perp	$\perp i 2,3$
5.	q	$\perp e 4$
6.	$p \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i 3-5$
7.	q	$\vee e (2)$
8.	p	assumption
9.	q	copy 7
10.	$p \rightarrow q$	$\rightarrow i 8-9$
11.	$p \rightarrow q$	$\vee e 1, 2-6, 7-10$

Introducing Negations (PBC)

- ▶ In the course of a proof, if you assume φ (by opening a box) and obtain \perp in the box, then we conclude $\neg\varphi$
- ▶ This rule is denoted $\neg i$ and is read as \neg introduction.
- ▶ Also known as **P**roof **B**y **C**ontradiction

An Example

► $p \rightarrow \neg p \vdash \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow \neg p$ premise

2.

An Example

► $p \rightarrow \neg p \vdash \neg p$

- | | | |
|----|------------------------|------------|
| 1. | $p \rightarrow \neg p$ | premise |
| 2. | p | assumption |
| 3. | | |

An Example

► $p \rightarrow \neg p \vdash \neg p$

1.	$p \rightarrow \neg p$	premise
2.	p	assumption
3.	$\neg p$	MP 1,2
4.		

An Example

► $p \rightarrow \neg p \vdash \neg p$

1. $p \rightarrow \neg p$ premise

2. p assumption

3. $\neg p$ MP 1,2

4. \perp $\perp i$ 2,3

5. $\neg p$ $\neg i$ 2-4

The Last One

Law of the Excluded Middle (LEM)

$$\overline{\varphi \vee \neg \varphi}$$

Summary of Basic Rules

- ▶ $\wedge i, \wedge e_1, \wedge e_2,$
- ▶ $\neg\neg e$
- ▶ MP
- ▶ $\rightarrow i$
- ▶ $\forall i_1, \forall i_2, \forall e$
- ▶ Copy, $\neg i$ or PBC
- ▶ $\perp e, \perp i$

Derived Rules

- ▶ MT (derive using MP, $\perp i$ and $\neg i$)
- ▶ $\neg\neg i$ (derive using $\perp i$ and $\neg i$)
- ▶ LEM (derive using $\vee i_1$, $\perp i$, $\neg i$, $\vee i_2$, $\neg\neg e$)

The Proofs So Far

- ▶ So far, the “proof” we have seen is purely syntactic, no true/false meanings were attached
- ▶ Intuitively, $p \rightarrow q \vdash \neg p \vee q$ makes sense because you think semantically. However, we never used any semantics so far.
- ▶ Now we show that whatever can be proved makes sense semantically too.