

CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

Propositional Logic

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots
- ▶ Each of these can be true/false

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots
- ▶ Each of these can be true/false
- ▶ Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots
- ▶ Each of these can be true/false
- ▶ Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$
- ▶ Parantheses as required
- ▶ Example : $[p \wedge (q \vee r)] \rightarrow [\neg r \wedge p]$

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots
- ▶ Each of these can be true/false
- ▶ Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$
- ▶ Parantheses as required
- ▶ Example : $[p \wedge (q \vee r)] \rightarrow [\neg r \wedge p]$
- ▶ \neg binds tighter than \vee, \wedge , which bind tighter than \rightarrow .
- ▶ $\neg p \vee q$ is read as $(\neg p) \vee (q)$; $p \vee q \rightarrow r$ is read as $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$

Syntax

- ▶ Finite set of propositional variables p, q, \dots
- ▶ Each of these can be true/false
- ▶ Combine propositions using $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow$
- ▶ Parantheses as required
- ▶ Example : $[p \wedge (q \vee r)] \rightarrow [\neg r \wedge p]$
- ▶ \neg binds tighter than \vee, \wedge , which bind tighter than \rightarrow .
- ▶ $\neg p \vee q$ is read as $(\neg p) \vee (q)$; $p \vee q \rightarrow r$ is read as $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
- ▶ \rightarrow is right associative : in the absence of parantheses, $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ is read as $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$

Encoding and Natural Deduction

- ▶ If it rains, Alice is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge AliceOut \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow AliceWet$

Encoding and Natural Deduction

- ▶ If it rains, Alice is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge \text{AliceOut} \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow \text{AliceWet}$
- ▶ It is raining, and Alice is outside, and is not wet.
 $\psi = (R \wedge \text{AliceOut} \wedge \neg \text{AliceWet})$

Encoding and Natural Deduction

- ▶ If it rains, Alice is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet. $\varphi = (R \wedge \text{AliceOut} \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow \text{AliceWet}$
- ▶ It is raining, and Alice is outside, and is not wet.
 $\psi = (R \wedge \text{AliceOut} \wedge \neg \text{AliceWet})$
- ▶ So, Alice has her rain gear with her. RG
- ▶ Thus, $\chi = \varphi \wedge \psi \rightarrow RG$. You can deduce RG from $\varphi \wedge \psi$.
- ▶ Is χ valid? Is χ satisfiable?

Two Examples

Solve Sudoku

Consider the following kid's version of Sudoku.

	2	4	
1			3
4			2
	1	3	

Rules:

- ▶ Each row must contain all numbers 1-4
- ▶ Each column must contain all numbers 1-4
- ▶ Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4
- ▶ No cell contains 2 or more numbers

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- ▶ Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- ▶ Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- ▶ $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- ▶ Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- ▶ $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions
- ▶ Each row must contain all 4 numbers
 - ▶ Row 1: $[P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(1, 2, 1) \vee P(1, 3, 1) \vee P(1, 4, 1)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(1, 2, 2) \vee P(1, 3, 2) \vee P(1, 4, 2)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(1, 2, 3) \vee P(1, 3, 3) \vee P(1, 4, 3)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(1, 2, 4) \vee P(1, 3, 4) \vee P(1, 4, 4)]$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

- ▶ Proposition $P(i, j, n)$ is true when cell (i, j) has number n
- ▶ $4 \times 4 \times 4$ propositions
- ▶ Each row must contain all 4 numbers
 - ▶ Row 1: $[P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(1, 2, 1) \vee P(1, 3, 1) \vee P(1, 4, 1)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(1, 2, 2) \vee P(1, 3, 2) \vee P(1, 4, 2)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(1, 2, 3) \vee P(1, 3, 3) \vee P(1, 4, 3)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(1, 2, 4) \vee P(1, 3, 4) \vee P(1, 4, 4)]$
 - ▶ Row 2: $[P(2, 1, 1) \vee \dots$
 - ▶ Row 3: $[P(3, 1, 1) \vee \dots$
 - ▶ Row 4: $[P(4, 1, 1) \vee \dots$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

- ▶ Column 1: $[P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(2, 1, 1) \vee P(3, 1, 1) \vee P(4, 1, 1)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(2, 1, 2) \vee P(3, 1, 2) \vee P(4, 1, 2)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(2, 1, 3) \vee P(3, 1, 3) \vee P(4, 1, 3)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(2, 1, 4) \vee P(3, 1, 4) \vee P(4, 1, 4)]$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each column must contain all numbers 1-4

- ▶ Column 1: $[P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(2, 1, 1) \vee P(3, 1, 1) \vee P(4, 1, 1)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(2, 1, 2) \vee P(3, 1, 2) \vee P(4, 1, 2)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(2, 1, 3) \vee P(3, 1, 3) \vee P(4, 1, 3)] \wedge$
 $[P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(2, 1, 4) \vee P(3, 1, 4) \vee P(4, 1, 4)]$
- ▶ Column 2: $[P(1, 2, 1) \vee \dots$
- ▶ Column 3: $[P(1, 3, 1) \vee \dots$
- ▶ Column 4: $[P(1, 4, 1) \vee \dots$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

- ▶ Upper left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$\begin{aligned} & [P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(1, 2, 1) \vee P(2, 1, 1) \vee P(2, 2, 1)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(1, 2, 2) \vee P(2, 1, 2) \vee P(2, 2, 2)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(1, 2, 3) \vee P(2, 1, 3) \vee P(2, 2, 3)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(1, 2, 4) \vee P(2, 1, 4) \vee P(2, 2, 4)] \end{aligned}$$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Each 2×2 block must contain all numbers 1-4

- ▶ Upper left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$\begin{aligned} & [P(1, 1, 1) \vee P(1, 2, 1) \vee P(2, 1, 1) \vee P(2, 2, 1)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 2) \vee P(1, 2, 2) \vee P(2, 1, 2) \vee P(2, 2, 2)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 3) \vee P(1, 2, 3) \vee P(2, 1, 3) \vee P(2, 2, 3)] \wedge \\ & [P(1, 1, 4) \vee P(1, 2, 4) \vee P(2, 1, 4) \vee P(2, 2, 4)] \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Upper right block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(1, 3, 1) \vee P(1, 4, 1) \vee P(2, 3, 1) \vee P(2, 4, 1)] \wedge \dots$$

- ▶ Lower left block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(3, 1, 1) \vee P(3, 2, 1) \vee P(4, 1, 1) \vee P(4, 2, 1)] \wedge \dots$$

- ▶ Lower right block contains all numbers 1-4:

$$[P(3, 3, 1) \vee P(3, 4, 1) \vee P(4, 3, 1) \vee P(4, 4, 1)] \wedge \dots$$

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

No cell contains 2 or more numbers

- ▶ For cell(1,1):

$$P(1, 1, 1) \rightarrow [\neg P(1, 1, 2) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 3) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1, 1, 2) \rightarrow [\neg P(1, 1, 1) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 3) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1, 1, 3) \rightarrow [\neg P(1, 1, 1) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 2) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 4)] \wedge$$

$$P(1, 1, 4) \rightarrow [\neg P(1, 1, 1) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 2) \wedge \neg P(1, 1, 3)] \wedge$$

- ▶ Similar for other cells

Encoding as Propositional Satisfiability

Encoding Initial Configuration:

$$P(1, 2, 2) \wedge P(1, 3, 4) \wedge P(2, 1, 1) \wedge P(2, 4, 3) \wedge \\ P(3, 1, 4) \wedge P(3, 4, 2) \wedge P(4, 2, 1) \wedge P(4, 3, 3)$$

Solving Sudoku

To solve the puzzle, just conjunct all the above formulae and find a satisfiable truth assignment!

Gold Rush

(**Box1**) *The gold is not here*

(**Box2**) *The gold is not here*

(**Box3**) *The gold is in Box 2*

Only one message is true; the other two are false. Which box has the gold?

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1$, $M2$, $M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1$, $G2$, $G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1,$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2,$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3),$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3), M1 \vee M2 \vee M3,$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3), M1 \vee M2 \vee M3,$
 - ▶ $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3), M1 \vee M2 \vee M3,$
 - ▶ $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
 - ▶ Conjoin all these, and call the formula φ .

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3), M1 \vee M2 \vee M3,$
 - ▶ $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
 - ▶ Conjoin all these, and call the formula φ .
 - ▶ Is there a unique satisfiable assignment for φ ?

Solve Gold Rush

- ▶ Propositions $M1, M2, M3$ representing messages in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Propositions $G1, G2, G3$ representing gold in boxes 1,2,3
- ▶ Formalize what is given to you
 - ▶ $M1 \leftrightarrow \neg G1, M2 \leftrightarrow \neg G2, M3 \leftrightarrow G2$
 - ▶ $\neg(M1 \wedge M2 \wedge M3), M1 \vee M2 \vee M3,$
 - ▶ $(\neg M1 \wedge \neg M2) \vee (\neg M1 \wedge \neg M3) \vee (\neg M2 \wedge \neg M3)$
 - ▶ Conjoin all these, and call the formula φ .
 - ▶ Is there a unique satisfiable assignment for φ ?
 - ▶ For example, is $M1 = \text{true}$ a part of the satisfiable assignment?

A Proof Engine for Natural Deduction

- ▶ If it rains, Alice is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet : $\varphi = (R \wedge AliceOut \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow AliceWet$
- ▶ It is raining, and Alice is outside, and is not wet :
 $\psi = (R \wedge AliceOut \wedge \neg AliceWet)$
- ▶ So, Alice has her rain gear with her : RG
- ▶ Thus, given **premises** φ, ψ , we can **conclude** RG . Is it ok to conclude $\neg RG$?

A Proof Engine for Natural Deduction

- ▶ If it rains, Alice is outside and does not have any raingear with her, she will get wet : $\varphi = (R \wedge AliceOut \wedge \neg RG) \rightarrow AliceWet$
- ▶ It is raining, and Alice is outside, and is not wet :
 $\psi = (R \wedge AliceOut \wedge \neg AliceWet)$
- ▶ So, Alice has her rain gear with her : RG
- ▶ Thus, given **premises** φ, ψ , we can **conclude** RG . Is it ok to conclude $\neg RG$?
- ▶ Given premises φ, ψ , can we infer RG via a proof?
- ▶ In general, given premises $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$, we can infer many more formulae from them.