

This assignment makes you more familiar with Boosting in general and AdaBoost and Gradient Tree Boosting specifically. You will apply the concepts from the lecture, implement and experiment with them to see these algorithms *in action*. The assignment consists of two IPython notebooks and some questions to be answered with pen and paper.

1. Coding Exercise

In this exercise, you implement a AdaBoost and Gradient Boosting. If done correctly, your implementation yields exactly the same results as the implementation in scikit-learn. With that implementation, you can then explore the impact of some of the important hyperparameters.

- (a) Work through the 'adaboost_exercise.ipynb' notebook found on ILIAS.
- (b) Work through the 'gbm_exercise.ipynb' notebook found on ILIAS.
- (c) Apply these algorithms to the machine learning challenge from exercise 6.

2. Boosting vs Bagging

This question aims to give you a better understanding of the algorithm you just implemented.

- (a) You implemented Gradient Boosting with shrinkage. Explain how this parameter influences the performance and how it interacts with the number of iterations.

Solution: *Shrinkage slows down learning and thus reduces overfitting and achieves a better test error. But, as the contribution of each estimator is decreased, it requires more iterations to achieve the same training error as without shrinkage. Furthermore, too much shrinkage can prevent convergence.*

- (b) Explain *in words* the difference between bagging and boosting (see also the slides).

Solution: *Bagging fits models independently of each other and all models get the same weight. Boosting iteratively trains models, such that each model corrects the errors its predecessor made.*

- (c) Name one advantage of bagging over boosting and vice versa.

Solution: *In contrast to boosting, bagging can easily be parallelized as it fits models independently. In contrast to bagging, boosting pushes the training error to zero such that underfitting is not a problem.*