Time Series (MATH5845)

Dr. Atefeh Zamani Based on the notes by Prof. William T.M. Dunsmuir

 $T2\ 2025$

Chapter 6

Maximum Likelihood Estimation for ARMA models.

Contents

6.1	Innovations form of the Gaussian Likelihood	280
6.2	Optimizing the likelihood	290
6.3	Large Sample Distribution of MLE's	295

As mentioned before, Yule-Walker estimator are efficient estimator for Autoregressive processes but not for general ARMA processes. For more on this topic, you can refer to Shumway et al. [2000], P. 113-116. In this chapter, we are going to consider maximum likelihood estimators for ARMA models in time series.

6.1 Innovations form of the Gaussian Likelihood.

- $\{X_t\}$: A Gaussian time series with zero mean function and covariance function $\gamma(i,j) = E(X_iX_j)$.
- $\mathbf{X}_n = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)'$
- $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n = (\hat{X}_1, \cdots, \hat{X}_n)'$.
- $\Gamma_n = E(\mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^T) = [\gamma(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ is the covariance matrix of \mathbf{X}_n and we assume Γ_n^{-1} exists.
- The likelihood of \mathbf{X}_n is

$$L(\Gamma_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\det \Gamma_n)^{-1/2} \exp(-\mathbf{x}_n^T \Gamma_n^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n/2).$$

In general this is a difficult expression to work with.

Remember from the Innovation algorithm we know that:

- $\mathbf{U}_n = A_n \mathbf{X}_n$
- $\bullet \ C_n = A_n^{-1}$
- $\bullet \ \mathbf{U}_n = \mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{\hat{X}}_n$

Using these points, we have

$$\mathbf{X}_n = C_n(\mathbf{X}_n - \hat{\mathbf{X}}_n)$$

so that

$$\Gamma_n = E(\mathbf{X}_n \mathbf{X}_n^T) = E[C_n(\mathbf{X}_n - \hat{\mathbf{X}}_n)(\mathbf{X}_n - \hat{\mathbf{X}}_n)^T C_n^T] = C_n D_n C_n^T$$

where $D_n = \operatorname{diag}(v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$. The quadratic form in the likelihood exponent is therefore

$$\mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} \Gamma_{n}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{n} = (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n})^{T} D_{n}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{n} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{j} - \hat{x}_{j})^{2} / v_{j-1}$$

6.1. INNOVATIONS FORM OF THE GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD.

and

$$\det \Gamma_n = (\det C_n)^2 \det(D_n) = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1}.$$

Using these expressions the likelihood becomes

$$L(\Gamma_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n v_0 v_1 \dots v_{n-1}}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2}{v_{j-1}}\}.$$

- This is the innovations form of the likelihood.
- It is a product of the distributions of $X_j \hat{X}_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and can be re-written as

$$L(\Gamma_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_{j-1}}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2}{v_{j-1}}\}.$$
 (6.1)

- Up to this point we have not expressed the n(n+1)/2 covariances $\gamma(i,j)$ as functions of a smaller set of parameters.
- Without this there are too many "unknown parameters" to estimate using the n successive values x_1, \ldots, x_n observed on the time series.

6.1. INNOVATIONS FORM OF THE GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD.

- Let $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r)^T$ where r is fixed and finite
- Assume that all covariances, $\gamma(i,j)$, are defined in terms of ψ .
- Then the value $\hat{\psi}$ that maximises $L(\psi) = L(\Gamma_n(\psi))$ is called the maximum likelihood estimate of ψ .
- Even if $\{X_t\}$ is not Gaussian, you can use (6.1) as a measure of the goodness of fit of the covariance matrix $\Gamma_n(\psi)$ to the data, and still to choose the parameters $(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_r)^T$ in such a way as to maximize (6.1). Refer to Brockwell and Davis [1991], Page 254.

We now apply these general results to estimation for ARMA(p,q) time series. Let X_t be a causal invertible ARMA(p,q) process:

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q Z_{t-q}, \quad Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

Our first problem is to find maximum likelihood estimates of the following parameters:

- $\bullet \ \phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_p)',$
- $\bullet \ \theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_q)',$
- the white noise variance σ^2 .

In Chapter 4, we showed that the one-step predictors \hat{X}_{n+1} and their mean squared errors are given by,

$$\hat{X}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}), & 1 \le n < m = \max(p, q) \\ \phi_1 X_n + \dots + \phi_p X_{n+1-p} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}), & n \ge m \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

6.1. INNOVATIONS FORM OF THE GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD.

Besides, we can show that $\nu_n = E(X_{n+1} - \hat{X}_{n+1})^2 = \sigma^2 r_n$, where θ_{ij} and r_i are obtained using the innovation algorithm and θ_{ij} and r_i are independent of σ^2 , (Brockwell and Davis [1991], Page 175-176).

Using this we can re-write the log-likelihood function (6.1) as follows.

$$\begin{split} l(\phi,\theta,\sigma^2) &= \log(L(\Gamma_n)) \\ &= \log\left((2\pi)^{-n/2}(\nu_0\cdots\nu_{n-1})^{-1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2}{v_{j-1}} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n \log(r_{j-1}) - \frac{n}{2}\log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2}{r_{j-1}}, \end{split}$$

and, consequently, -2/n times the log-likelihood is

$$-\frac{2}{n} \times l(\phi, \theta, \sigma^2) = \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log(r_{j-1}) + \log(\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} S(\phi, \theta),$$

where

$$S(\phi, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2}{r_{j-1}}.$$

• Step 1: For fixed (ϕ, θ) , $l(\phi, \theta, \sigma^2)$ is minimised by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\phi, \theta) = \frac{1}{n}S(\phi, \theta).$$

• Step 2: Substituting this back, we get the reduced expression

$$-\frac{2}{n} \times l(\phi, \theta, \sigma^2) = l(\phi, \theta, \hat{\sigma}^2(\phi, \theta))$$

$$= \log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log r_{j-1} + \log(\frac{1}{n} S(\phi, \theta)) + 1.$$

- Step 3: The values of (ϕ, θ) that minimise this are the maximum likelihood estimates $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})$.
- Step 4: The maximum likelihood estimate of σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})$.

6.1. INNOVATIONS FORM OF THE GAUSSIAN LIKELIHOOD.

- For many applications the "determinant" term $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\log r_{j-1}$ is negligible for large n and $l(\phi,\theta)$ can be minimised by minimising the sum of squares of scaled innovations $S(\phi,\theta)$.
 - For example, for the AR(1) time series, $r_0 = 1/(1 \phi^2)$ and $r_n = 1$ for $n \ge 1$ giving

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log r_{j-1} = -\frac{1}{n} \log(1 - \phi^2) \to 0$$

provided $|\phi| < 1$ and uniformly on any closed subset of this interval.

• Least squares estimates are those obtained by choosing $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta})$ to minimise $S(\phi, \theta)$ and forming

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - p - q} S(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta}).$$

6.2 Optimizing the likelihood

- It can be shown that (Exercise 5.2), even for the simplest ARMA models, the likelihood is not a quadratic function of the parameters and equating first derivatives with respect to parameters to zero will not result in easily solved linear equations.
- There are many alternative ways to optimize non-linear functions and a proper discussion of this is beyond the scope of this course.
- However, we will review some key underlying concepts because these provide some insights also about how to obtain standard errors for the maximum likelihood estimates.

For this section, we refer to Shumway et al. [2000] (Pages 119-122) for more details and, as they do, let $\beta = (\phi, \theta, \sigma^2)$ denote all the parameters of the ARMA equation. Let $l(\beta)$ denote -1/n times the log-likelihood which is to be *minimized* over β .

Assume that there is unique global extremum of $l(\beta)$ in the interior of the parameter space allowed for β to range over – this is typically a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{r=p+q+1}$ in the ARMA(p,q) case.

• The negative of the score function is the vector of first derivatives

$$l^{(1)}(\beta) = \frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \left(\frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_r}\right)'.$$

- To find the extremum, we can solve the first order condition that $l^{(1)}(\beta) = 0$ to obtain the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\beta}$.
- In a neighbourhood of $\hat{\beta}$ the matrix of second derivatives (called the Hessian) given by

$$l^{(2)}(\beta) = \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} \right\}_{j,k=1}^r.$$

is strictly negative/positive definite and therefore invertible.

• Since the score equation is non-linear, methods such as Newton-Raphson and Gauss-Newton can be used.

Consider Newton-Raphson.

- Let $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$ be the current attempt to solve the score equation and $\hat{\beta}^{(k+1)}$ be an improvement on that attempt.
- If this improved solution was perfect we would have

$$0 = l^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}^{(k+1)}) \approx l^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}^{(k)}) + l^{(2)}(\hat{\beta}^{(k)}) \left[\hat{\beta}^{(k+1)} - \hat{\beta}^{(k)} \right]$$

where the approximation is based on Taylor expansion.

• Assuming equality throughout and solving for $\hat{\beta}^{(k+1)}$ gives

$$\hat{\beta}^{(k+1)} = \hat{\beta}^{(k)} + \left[-l^{(2)}(\hat{\beta}^{(k)}) \right]^{-1} l^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}^{(k)})$$
(6.3)

Of course, for non-linear score functions, we will not get perfection in a single step and (6.3) needs to be iterated by replacing the old guess by the new one until convergence to the MLE $\hat{\beta}$ to some required accuracy is achieved.

Note 6.1 At convergence, we must have $l^{(1)}(\hat{\beta}) = 0$ as required.

- For implementation of the Newtown-Raphson method first and second derivatives of the likelihood with respect to parameters β need to be derived.
 - For ARMA models these can be readily computed using recursions based on the ARMA model.
- Variations in methods typically involve how starting values for the recursions are calculated and whether or not the log determinant term is included.
- All these variations provide the same estimates in the limit as $n \to \infty$ and have the same asymptotic properties.

6.3 Large Sample Distribution of MLE's

Under specific regularity conditions it can be shown that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\hat{\beta} \to \beta_0$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \to {}^{d} MVN(0, \Omega(\beta_0))$$

where β_0 is the true parameter vector.

Also, it can be shown that

$$\hat{\Omega}(\beta_0) = \left[-l^{(2)}(\hat{\beta}) \right]^{-1} \to \Omega(\beta_0)$$

so that standard errors of the estimates can be calculated as

s.e.
$$(\hat{\beta}_j) = \left[\frac{1}{n}\hat{\Omega}(\beta_0)_{j,j}\right]^{1/2}$$

The large sample multivariate normal distribution applies to the maximum likelihood estimates $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})$ as well as to the least squares estimates $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta})$ and other variations of approximation to the likelihood.

6.3. LARGE SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION OF MLE'S

Example 6.1 AR(p).

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\phi} - \phi_0) \rightarrow^d MVN(0, \Omega(\phi_0))$$

where

$$\Omega(\phi_0) = \sigma_0^2 \Gamma_p^{-1}(\phi_0)$$

and in particular when p = 1

$$\Omega(\phi_0) = (1 - \phi_{0.1}^2)$$

and when p=2

$$\Omega(\phi_0) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \phi_{0,2}^2) & -\phi_{0,1}(1 + \phi_{0,2}) \\ -\phi_{0,1}(1 + \phi_{0,2}) & (1 - \phi_{0,2}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 6.2 MA(q).

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow^d MVN(0, \Omega(\theta_0))$$

where

$$\Omega(\theta_0) = \sigma_0^2 \Gamma_q^{-1}(\theta_0)$$

and $\Gamma_q(\theta_0)$ is the covariance matrix of an AR(q) process

$$Y_t + \theta_{0,1}Y_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_{0,q}Y_{t-q} = Z_t$$

in particular when q = 1

$$\Omega(\theta_0) = (1 - \theta_{0,1}^2)$$

and when q=2

$$\Omega(\theta_0) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \theta_{0,2}^2) & \theta_{0,1}(1 + \theta_{0,2}) \\ \theta_{0,1}(1 + \theta_{0,2}) & (1 - \theta_{0,2}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

An intuitive explanation of why the form of the asymptotic variance for estimating the AR(1) and the MA(1) are of the same form is given on page 135 of Shumway and Stoffer 3rd Ed.

6.3. LARGE SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION OF MLE'S

Note also that the precision of estimation improves as the parameters get closer to the limits of stationarity or invertibility.

Example 6.3 ARMA(1,1).

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\phi}-\phi_0) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}-\theta_0) \end{array}\right] \rightarrow^d MVN(0,\Omega(\phi_0,\theta_0))$$

where

$$\Omega(\phi_0, \theta_0) = \frac{1 + \phi_0 \theta_0}{(\phi_0 + \theta_0)^2} \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \phi_0^2)(1 + \phi_0 \theta_0) & -(1 - \phi_0^2)(1 - \theta_0^2) \\ -(1 - \phi_0^2)(1 - \theta_0^2) & (1 - \theta_0^2)(1 + \phi_0 \theta_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall that in general

$$\Omega(\beta_0)^{-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[-l^{(2)}(\hat{\beta}) \right]$$

and applied to this example gives

$$\Omega(\phi_0, \theta_0)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \phi_0^2)^{-1} & (1 + \phi_0 \theta_0)^{-1} \\ (1 + \phi_0 \theta_0)^{-1} & (1 - \theta_0^2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and when $\phi_0 = -\theta_0$ this matrix has rank 1 (i.e. is non-invertible).