Chapter 17 - Parallel Processing

Luis Tarrataca

luis.tarrataca@gmail.com

CEFET-RJ

Table of Contents I

- Motivation
- 2 Parallel Processing

Categories of Computer Systems

Taxonomy of parallel computing systems

MIMD parallel processing

Symmetric Multiprocessor Parallelism

Symmetric Multiprocessor Parallelism

Processor Organization

Cache coherence problem

Cache Coherence Protocols

Clusters



Table of Contents II

SIMD parallel processing

Vector Computation

Table of Contents I

3 Where to focus your study

4 References

Motivation

Today we will look at ways to increase processor performance:

Always a fun topic =)

What are some of the techniques you know of to increase processor performance?

Frequency

- "Easy way" out =)
- Inherent vantages and disadvantages. Can you name them?

Cache

- High speed memory;
- Idea: diminish the number of reads from low latency memory (RAM, HD, etc);
- Very expensive!

Pipeline;

- Idea: assembly line for instructions;
- Leads to performance increases;
- But also introduces a host of new considerations (hazards);



Today we will discuss another technique: parallelization

- Original μP design: sequential processing of instructions.
- Parallelism: Execute multiple instructions at the same time. How?
 - As always in engineering there are multiple strategies.
 - Choice made based on computational requirements.



Parallelism choice is made on computational requirements:

Can you think of some of the dimensions that influence parallel computation?

Parallelism choice is made on computational requirements:

Can you think of some of the dimensions that influence parallel computation?

What about these?

- Are we processing a single source of data? Or multiple?
- Do we need to apply the same instruction to the data? Or different ones?

Categories of Computer Systems (1/4)

- Single instruction, single data (SISD):
 - Single processor executes a single instruction stream to operate on data stored in a single memory.



CU = Control unit SISD = Single instruction, IS = Instruction stream = single data stream PU = Processing unit DS = Data stream MU = Memory unit MIMD = Multiple data stream MU = Memory unit MIMD = Multiple instruction, = single instruction, = single data stream multiple data stream MIMD = Multiple instruction, = single instruction, = single data stream multiple data stream MIMD = Multiple instruction, = single instruction, = single instruction, = single data stream multiple data stream m


LM = Local memory

multiple data stream

Categories of Computer Systems (2/4)

Single instruction, multiple data (SIMD):

- Single machine instruction controls the simultaneous execution of a number of processing elements on a lockstep basis;
- Each processing element has an associated data memory:
 - Instructions are executed on \neq data sets by \neq processors.




CU	=	Control unit	SISD	=	Single instruction,
IS	=	Instruction stream		=	single data stream
PU	=	Processing unit	SIMD	=	Single instruction,
DS	=	Data stream			multiple data stream
MU	=	Memory unit	MIMD	=	Multiple instruction,
LM	=	Local memory			multiple data stream


Categories of Computer Systems (3/4)

- Multiple instruction, single data (MISD):
 - Sequence of data is transmitted to a set of processors, each of which executes a different instruction sequence.

Categories of Computer Systems (4/4)

- Multiple instruction, multiple data (MIMD):
 - Set of processors simultaneously execute ≠ instruction sequences on ≠ data sets.





Taxonomy of parallel computing systems




Figure: A Taxonomy of Parallel Processor Architectures (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

Today's class will focus on:

- MIMD parallel processing:
 - SMP (building block);
 - Clusters (arrangement of building blocks).
 - Data centers:
 - Supercomputers.
- SIMD parallel processing:
 - General-purpose computing on graphics processing units (GPGPU)
 - Intel XEON Phi.

Symmetric Multiprocessor Parallelism

SMP has the following characteristics (1/2):

- There are two or more similar processors of comparable capability.
- Processors share
 - Main memory;
 - I/O facilities and devices;
- Processors are interconnected by:
 - Bus or other connection scheme;
 - Memory access time is approximately the same for each processor.

Symmetric Multiprocessor Parallelism

SMP has the following characteristics (2/2):

- Processors perform the same functions:
 - Hence the term symmetric
- System is controlled by an operating system managing:
 - Processors and programs;
 - How processes / threads are to be executed in the processors;
 - User does not need to worry about anything =)

Processor Organization




Figure: Generic Block Diagram of a Tightly Coupled Multiprocessor (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

- Two or more processors:
 - Each processor includes: a control unit, ALU, registers, cache;
- Each processor has access to:
 - Shared main memory;
 - I/O devices.
- Processors communicate with each other through:
 - Memory: messages and status information.
 - Exchanging signals directly.

With all the components involved:

Do you think it would be easy for a programmer to control everything at assembly level? Any ideas?

With all the components involved:

Do you think it would be easy for a programmer to control everything at assembly level? Any ideas?

It would be a nightmare...

How are then the different computational resources best used? Any ideas?

How are then the different computational resources best used? Any ideas?

- Combination of operating system support tools:
 - Processes;
 - Threads.
- Alongside application programming interfaces;
- Integral part of an Operating System course.

Most common organization:




Figure: Symmetric Multiprocessor Organization (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

But how is the bus managed with multiple processors? Any ideas?




Figure: Symmetric Multiprocessor Organization (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

But how is the bus managed with multiple processors? Any ideas?

- Time-sharing:
 - When one module is controlling the bus:
 - Other modules are locked out;
 - If necessary: modules suspend operation until bus access is achieved.

- Bus has the same structure for a single-processor:
 - Control, address, and data lines.
- To facilitate DMA transfers the following features are provided:
 - Addressing: to distinguish modules on the bus;
 - Arbitration: Any I/O module can temporarily function as "master".
 - Mechanism arbitrates competing requests for bus control:
 - Using some sort of priority scheme.

Now we have a single bus being used by multiple processors. Can you see any problems with this?

- All memory accesses pass through the common bus;
- Bus cycle time limits the speed of the system;
- This results in a performance bottleneck;

But this leads to the following question:

What is the most common way for reducing the number of memory accesses?

But this leads to the following question:

What is the most common way for reducing the number of memory accesses?

Cache ;)

In a multiprocessor architecture:

Can you see any problems with using multiple caches? Any ideas?

Cache coherence problem

Each local cache contains an image of a portion of memory:

- if a word is altered in one cache:
 - Could conceivably invalidate a word in another cache;
- To prevent this:
 - Other processors must be alerted that an update has taken place
- Commonly addressed in hardware rather than by software.

Cache Coherence Protocols

Dynamic recognition at run time of potential inconsistency conditions:

- Always cache memory;
- Problem is only dealt with when it actually arises;
- Better performance over a software approach,

Transparent to the programmer and the compiler:


Reducing the software development burden.

We will look at one such protocol: MESI

Not this Mesi though...



Vs.



MESI protocol

Each **line** of the cache can be in one of four states (1/2):

Modified:

- Line in the cache has been modified (≠ from main memory)
- Line is not present in any other cache.

• Exclusive:

- Line in the cache is the same as that in main memory;
- Line is not present in any other cache.

MESI protocol

Each **line** of the cache can be in one of four states (2/2):

- Shared:
 - Line in the cache is the same as that in main memory;
 - Line may be present in another cache.
- Invalid:
 - Line in the cache does not contain valid data.

This time in table form:

	M Modified	E Exclusive	S Shared	I Invalid
This cache line valid?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
The memory copy is	out of date	valid	valid	_
Copies exist in other caches?	No	No	Maybe	Maybe
A write to this line	does not go to bus	does not go to bus	goes to bus and updates cache	goes directly to bus

Figure: MESI cache line states (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

At any time a cache line is in a single state:

- If next event is from the attached processor:
 - Transition is dictated by figure below on the left;
- If next event is from the bus:
 - Transition is dictated by figure below on the right.




Figure: Line in cache at **initiating** processor (Source: (Stallings, 2015))





Figure: Line in **snooping** cache (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

Caption for the previous figure:

RH	Read hit	\bigoplus	Dirty line copyback
RMS RME WH	Read miss, shared Read miss, exclusive Write hit	\oplus	Invalidate transaction
WM SHR	Write miss Snoop hit on read	\otimes	Read-with-intent-to-modify
SHW	Snoop hit on write or read-with-intent-to-modify	\bigcirc	Cache line fill

Figure: (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

Lets see what all of this means ;)



What happens when a read miss occurs? Any ideas?

Well it depends...:

- Has any cache a copy of the line in the exclusive state?
- Do any caches have a copy of the line in the shared state?
- Has any cache a copy of the line in the modified state?
- What if no other copies exist?

- initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (1/4):
 - Possibility 1: One cache has a clean copy of the line in the exclusive state:

- 1 initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (1/4):
 - Possibility 1: One cache has a clean copy of the line in the exclusive state:
 - 1 Cache returns a signal indicating that it shares this line;

- initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (1/4):
 - Possibility 1: One cache has a clean copy of the line in the exclusive state:
 - 1 Cache returns a signal indicating that it shares this line;
 - 2 Responding processor transitions from **exclusive** state to **shared**;

- 1 initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (1/4):
 - Possibility 1: One cache has a clean copy of the line in the exclusive state:
 - 1 Cache returns a signal indicating that it shares this line;
 - Responding processor transitions from exclusive state to shared;
 - 3 Initiating processor reads line from memory: goes from invalid to shared.

- Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (2/4):
 - Possibility 2: ≥ 1 Caches have a clean copy of the line in the shared state:

- Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (2/4):
 - Possibility 2: ≥ 1 Caches have a clean copy of the line in the shared state:
 - 1 Each cache signals that it shares the line;

- Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (2/4):
 - Possibility 2: ≥ 1 Caches have a clean copy of the line in the shared state:
 - 1 Each cache signals that it shares the line;
 - 2 Initiating processor reads from memory and line goes from invalid to shared.

- Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (3/4):
 - Possibility 3: If one other cache has a modified copy of the line:

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (3/4):
 - Possibility 3: If one other cache has a modified copy of the line:
 - 1 Cache containing modified line blocks memory read from initiating processor;

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (3/4):
 - Possibility 3: If one other cache has a modified copy of the line:
 - 1 Cache containing modified line blocks memory read from initiating processor;
 - 2 Cache containing modified line provides the line to the requesting cache;

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (3/4):
 - Possibility 3: If one other cache has a modified copy of the line:
 - 1 Cache containing modified line blocks memory read from initiating processor;
 - 2 Cache containing modified line provides the line to the requesting cache;
 - 3 Responding cache then changes its line from modified to shared;

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- 2 Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (3/4):
 - Possibility 3: If one other cache has a modified copy of the line:
 - 1 Cache containing modified line blocks memory read from initiating processor;
 - 2 Cache containing modified line provides the line to the requesting cache;
 - 3 Responding cache then changes its line from modified to shared;
 - 4 Memory controller updates the contents of the line in memory;

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (4/4):
 - Possibility 4: If no other cache has a copy of the line, no signals are returned:
 - 1 Initiating processor reads the line from memory;

- 1 Initiates a main memory read of the missing address;
- Inserts a signal on the bus that:
 - Alerts all other processor/cache units to snoop the transaction;
- 3 A number of possible outcomes may occur (4/4):
 - Possibility 4: If no other cache has a copy of the line, no signals are returned:
 - 1 Initiating processor reads the line from memory;
 - 2 Initiating processor transitions the line in its cache from **invalid** to **exclusive**.

Read hit occurs on a line currently in the local cache:

- Processor reads the required item;
- 2 No state change: state remains {modified, shared, or exclusive}.

- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- Ossibility 1: some other cache may have a modified copy of this line:
 - 1 Alerted processor signals that it has a modified copy of the line;

- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus:
 - Reads the line from main memory;
 - Modifies the line in the cache;
 - Marks the line in the modified state.



- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 1: some other cache may have a modified copy of this line:
 - 1 Alerted processor signals that it has a modified copy of the line;
 - 2 Initiating processor surrenders the bus and waits;

- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus:
 - Reads the line from main memory;
 - Modifies the line in the cache;
 - Marks the line in the modified state.



- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- Ossibility 1: some other cache may have a modified copy of this line:
 - Alerted processor signals that it has a modified copy of the line;
 - 2 Initiating processor surrenders the bus and waits;
 - 3 Other processor writes the modified line to main memory;

- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus:
 - Reads the line from main memory;
 - Modifies the line in the cache;
 - Marks the line in the modified state.



- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 1: some other cache may have a modified copy of this line:
 - Alerted processor signals that it has a modified copy of the line;
 - 2 Initiating processor surrenders the bus and waits;
 - 3 Other processor writes the modified line to main memory;
 - Other processor transitions the state of the cache line to invalid.
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus:
 - Reads the line from main memory;
 - Modifies the line in the cache;
 - Marks the line in the modified state.



- 1 Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - 1) No signal is returned by the other processors;

- 1 Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - No signal is returned by the other processors;
 - 2 Initiating processor proceeds to read in the line and modify it;

- 1 Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - No signal is returned by the other processors;
 - 2 Initiating processor proceeds to read in the line and modify it;
 - 3 If one or more caches have a clean copy of the line in the **shared** state:

- 1 Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - No signal is returned by the other processors;
 - 2 Initiating processor proceeds to read in the line and modify it;
 - 3 If one or more caches have a clean copy of the line in the **shared** state:
 - Each cache invalidates its copy of the line.

- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - No signal is returned by the other processors;
 - 2 Initiating processor proceeds to read in the line and modify it;
 - 3 If one or more caches have a clean copy of the line in the **shared** state:
 - Each cache invalidates its copy of the line.
 - 4 If one cache has a clean copy of the line in the **exclusive** state

- Initiating processor attempts to read the line of main memory;
- Processor signals read-with-intent-to-modify (RWITM) on bus.
- 3 Possibility 2: No other cache has a modified copy of the requested line:
 - No signal is returned by the other processors;
 - 2 Initiating processor proceeds to read in the line and modify it;
 - 3 If one or more caches have a clean copy of the line in the **shared** state:
 - Each cache invalidates its copy of the line.
 - 4 If one cache has a clean copy of the line in the exclusive state
 - That cache invalidates its copy of the line.

- Possibility 1 Shared state:
 - Before updating, processor must gain exclusive ownership of the line:

- Possibility 1 Shared state:
 - Before updating, processor must gain exclusive ownership of the line:
 - Processor signals its intent on bus;


- Possibility 1 Shared state:
 - Before updating, processor must gain exclusive ownership of the line:
 - Processor signals its intent on bus;
 - Each processor that has a shared copy of the line goes from shared to invalid.

- Possibility 1 Shared state:
 - Before updating, processor must gain exclusive ownership of the line:
 - Processor signals its intent on bus;
 - Each processor that has a shared copy of the line goes from shared to invalid.
 - Initiating processor updates line and then state from shared to modified.

- Possibility 2 Exclusive state:
 - Processor already has exclusive control of this line:
 - Simply performs the update;

- Possibility 2 Exclusive state:
 - Processor already has exclusive control of this line:
 - Simply performs the update;
 - Transitions its copy of the line from exclusive to modified.

- Possibility 3 Modified state:
 - Processor has exclusive control of this line and marked as modified:(<+->)
 - Simply performs the update;



Seems complex?

Imagine multiple cache levels that can be shared...

Seems complex?

Imagine multiple cache levels that can be shared...




Clusters

Group of computers working together as a unified computing resource:

• Each computer in a cluster is typically referred to as a node.

Some benefits:

- Scalability Possible to add new nodes to the cluster;
- Availability Failure of one node does not mean loss of service.







Figure: Shared Disk Cluster Configuration (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

A cluster system raises a series of important design issues:

- Failure Management: How are failures managed by a cluster?
 - A computation can be restarted on a different node;
 - Or a computation can be continued on a different node;
- Load Balancing: How is the processing load among available computers?
- Parallelize Computation: How is the computation parallelized?
 - Compiler? Purposely programmed? Parametric?

Supercomputers are cluster systems:





- High-speed networks and physical proximity allow for better performance.
- Type of system used by the Santos Dumont supercomputer at LNCC.

Vector Computation

Perform arithmetic operations on arrays of floating-point numbers:

- Example: system simulation:
 - These can typical be done by a set of equations;
 - Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS).

Same operation is performed to different data:

Thus Single Instruction Multiple Data (SIMD).

Lets look at an example: We want to sum the elements of an array.

$$C_i = A_i + B_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ 7.1 \\ 6.9 \\ 100.5 \\ 0 \\ 59.7 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.0 \\ 39.7 \\ 1000.003 \\ 11 \\ 21.1 \\ 19.7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.5 \\ 46.8 \\ 1006.093 \\ 111.5 \\ 21.1 \\ 79.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A + B = C$$

Figure: Example of Vector Addition (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

General-purpose computer

- iterate through each element of the array.
- Overkill and inefficient! Why?
 - CPU can do floating-point operations;
 - But can also do a host of other things:
 - I/O operations;
 - Memory management;
 - Cache management;
- We are mostly interested in arithmetic operations:
 - Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU)

Idea: General-purpose parallel computation based on ALUs

- Instead of using processors for parallel computation;
- ALUs are also cheap =)
- Careful memory management:
 - Since memory is shared by the different ALUs.




Figure: Summing two vectors (Source: (Sanders and Kandrot, 2011))

variable tid is the identification of the ALU;

Two main categories:

- Pipelined ALU;
- Parallel ALUs (GPGPUs):
 - Pipelined or Not-pipelined.




Figure: Pipelined ALU (Source: (Stallings, 2015))




Figure: Parallel ALU (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

Floating-point operations are complex operations:

- Decompose a floating-point operation into stages;
 - Pipeline for floating-point operations =)




Figure: The different stages for the pipeline for floating-point operations (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

Figure: Pipelined ALU (Source: (Stallings, 2015))




Figure: Parallel ALU (Source: (Stallings, 2015))

- C Compare exponent, S shift exponent, A add significands, N normalize
- Same problems that occur with processor pipelining also occur here.

As a curiosity lets look at the architecture of a GeForce8800:





Figure: GeForce 8800 architecture (Source: NVIDIA)

- 14 Streaming Multiple Processor (SM) each containing:
 - Each SM contains 8 Streaming Processor (SP):
 - Each SP processor has a fully pipelined
 - integer arithmetic logic unit (ALU);
 - floating point unit (FPU).
 - Total: 224 ALUs.
- This an old card from 2006, recent models contain thousands of ALUs!
- Supercomputers are a combination of processors and GPGPU nodes.



Fermi Streaming Multiprocessor (SM)

Where to focus your study

After this class you should be able to:

- Summarize the types of parallel processor organizations;
- Present an overview of design features of symmetric multiprocessors;
- Understand the issue of cache coherence in a multiple processor system;
- Explain the key features of the MESI protocol.
- Understand the cluster systems and vector systems.

Less important to know how these solutions were implemented:

details of specific hardware solutions for parallel computation.

Your focus should always be on the building blocks for developing a solution =)



References I



Sanders, J. and Kandrot, E. (2011).

Cuda by Example: An Introduction to General-purpose GPU Programming.

Addison Wesley Professional.



Stallings, W. (2015).

Computer Organization and Architecture.

Pearson Education.