# Pattern Recognition: CSE-5367-001

# **Team Members: -**

- Satya Shah (1002161494)
- Varad Nair (1002161475)
- Harshita Dhingra (1002052823)

# **REPORT**

**1. Introduction** This report presents the classification of the USPS dataset using K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Naive Bayes classifiers. The goal was to achieve over 90% accuracy on the test set. *Feature extraction techniques such as Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)* were applied to improve classification performance.

# 2. Dataset and Preprocessing

- The USPS dataset was loaded using the h5py library.
- The dataset consists of grayscale images of handwritten digits (16x16 pixels).
- **Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)** was applied to extract **meaningful features** for classification.

## 3. Feature Extraction using HOG Transform

- The HOG (Histogram of Oriented Gradients) transform was used to extract edge and texture features from digit images' array.
- This method enhances feature representation by capturing gradient orientations in localized portions of the image.
- HOG features significantly improved the classifier's performance by providing robust feature descriptors.

#### 4. Classification Models

## **K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN):**

• Implemented using KNeighborsClassifier from sklearn.neighbors.

- Experimented with different values of k to optimize performance.
- Evaluated using 10-fold cross-validation.

## **Naive Bayes Classifier:**

- Implemented using GaussianNB from sklearn.naive\_bayes.
- Applied to the feature-extracted dataset.
- Optuna was used for hyperparameter tuning of var\_smoothing parameter of Naïve Bayes..

#### **5. Performance Metrics**

# **Cross-Validation Results (10-Fold):**

- KNN achieved an average accuracy above 96.84% for an optimal k=6.
- Naive Bayes achieved an average accuracy of 93.58% for 10 folds.

#### **Confusion Matrix:**

- Generated for both classifiers to analyze misclassifications.
- Higher accuracy was observed in digit recognition for KNN compared to Naive Bayes.

#### **Precision and Recall:**

- Precision and recall scores were computed to measure class-wise performance.
- KNN achieved higher precision and recall, indicating better classification capability.

# 6. Impact of K Value in KNN

- Different values of k were tested to find the optimal choice.
- As the value of k increased the accuracy was decreasing.
- The best performance was observed for k = 6.

## 7. Accuracy on Test data

- KNN'S accuracy on test data was 94.72%.
- Naïve Bayes accuracy on test data was 91.23%.

# 8. Conclusion

- KNN outperformed Naive Bayes in digit classification on the USPS dataset.
- HOG-based feature extraction contributed to achieving high accuracy.
- Future work can explore additional classifiers and ensemble methods to further enhance performance.