

Template code review

Overview

This is the main file of the Flask application, called “The upper-level interface of the REST API”. Basically, in this file distinguished which methods could be used by Clients.

Goals

Create lightweight REST API using [microframework Flask](#). The main advantages are flexibility and minimalistic set of features.

Usage Scenarios

Clients sends HTTPS requests on the REST API to fetch any data from the server*.

Clients sends HTTPS request on the RESP API to update information in the server*.

**Clients are trusted token holders*

Class Diagrams

All classes are abstract and have only database structured representation.

Code

Categories for review:

1. Design decisions

NameTTT: You should use NORMAL_PROGRAMMING_LANGUAGE for 'yyy' instead of PYTHON.

Answer: Didn't get this comment.

2. API design

2.1 Reviewer1: Your api doesn't work when you try to add book with long description. Because of the length of url overflow.

Answer: Bugs aren't part of this code.

2.2.1 Reviewer2: I do not think that it happens because of URL overflow (it can process string much longer than 100 symbols - limit for this backend), it seems like Backend itself has some troubles with processing of long strings.

Answer: Bugs aren't part of this code.

P.S: Fixed

2.3.1 “Search” request finds documents by authors only, but not by title, for example.

Answer: Bugs aren't part of this code.

P.S: Fixed

3. Architecture (including inheritance hierarchy, if any)

3.1.1 Reviewer3: Shouldn't the response codes be extracted into a separate module?

Answer: They are. Currently all possible response codes are in the codes.py module.

3.2.1 Reviewer3: “create_document” and “change_document_data” methods contain more than 10 code lines which are the same.

Answer: It's true. However, creating a function that will extract values from request can make a structure more complicated.

4. Implementation techniques, in particular choice of data structures and Algorithms

Reviewer1: When professor books a document for a week, you still return it to user as a book he is able to take. But when this user want to take a document, there is an error. This book isn't taken, but user can't take it too.

Answer: Bugs aren't part of this code.

P.S: Fixed

5. Exceptions handling - Contracts

Reviewer1: No eiffel contracts found. Please write them.

6. Programming style, names

6.1.1 Reviewer3: What was the basis to choose CamelCase naming for URL patterns? How is it justified?

Answer: There is no any reasonable justification for this, except that the CamelCase is shorter than a Snake.

6.2.1 Reviewer3: Different naming style for semantically similar methods. In particular, “int_converter”, “boolean”, “int_converter_with_def_0” methods, which are all (supposedly) converters.

Answer: Yes, it's true. I should check naming in conventers.

7. Comments and documentation

7.1.1 Reviewer2: You must properly comment your code for better understanding...

7.2 Reviewer1: With out coments it's really hard to navigate inside your code

Answer: All documentation is in README file. You can find here a description of every method and parameter.