

Instructions:

1. Tab Name = Team to be Evaluated
2. As a group, please evaluate your peer teams after viewing their presentation
3. Please score out of 20 under your team name column

Note: Our group presented during the 2nd session which is why our grading is done according to the 2nd session presentations.

Team 6 Peer-grading:

Peer-to-Peer Grading Template						
Evaluation Criteria	Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Team 4	Team 5	Remarks
1. Clarity and Organization Comments: Did the team clearly outline their project? Did they follow a logical flow?	19	18	20	18	18	(Out of 20)
2. Understanding of the Model and Enhancements Comments: Did the team demonstrate a clear understanding of the model and the enhancements made? Did they explain why they chose their specific approach?	29	28	28	25	28	(Out of 30)
3. Data Exploration and Visualization Comments: Did the team present and interpret their data exploration and visualization findings effectively?	30	27	27	27	27	(Out of 30)
4. Creativity and Originality Comments: Did the team introduce any original ideas or creative approaches in their project or presentation?	9	10	10	7	7	(Out of 10)
5. Time Management Comments: Did the team use their allotted time effectively?	9	10	10	10	10	(Out of 10)
6. Final Thoughts	Really well thought out presentation, had bullet point and communicated the message needed. Stayed within time limit	The presentation had a lot of detail, the flow of the presentation was very nice because it seemed as a singular person had made it instead of 4 people.	Really nice presentation, was consistent throughout and made to like a singular guy worked on the presentation instead of 4 people. Hence it flowed.	Overall, I think they did a good job in presenting and providing enough information to understand their work process.	Initially had some difficulty with presenting but when they sorted that out had a really good presentation.	
Strengths of the Presentation: (What did you think the team did well?)	They were able to organize their slides well, with not too much information on each slide. This made it easy to follow along.	Really well thought out presentation. I could see the effort put in to the presentation.	One strength was the consistency of their presentation and the attention to details. It seemed like everyone put a lot of effort into the assignment.	Their innovation was unique and I thought it was an interesting idea to implement.	Really well made presentation and I could tell that they talked through how to approach and execute this assignment.	
Areas for Improvement: (What could the team improve on for future presentations?)	They did go over time, and as a result sometimes I wasn't paying attention fully. Maybe they could have made their presentation a little more engaging.	They exceeded the time limit as they added every small detail. Some aspects were not needed	There were parts of the presentation where I was confused on how things were executed so maybe they could have worked on the beginning a little more to make their idea clear.	I would have loved more development of the innovation aspect because I thought the idea was good, but it would have helped to understand how it would work.	The way they split up who was presenting what in the presentation could have been a little better. It would've been better if they alternated once in a while so the audience didn't have to wait till the end to hear the 2nd member talk.	
Final Score:	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.87	0.9	[Total Score] / 100