## **Sprint 5 Team Report**

**Team: 209** 

**Repo URL:** https://github.ccs.neu.edu/cs5500/team-209-SP19

Reviewer: Michael Weintraub

**Score: 209** 

## **Comments**

Overall, the team did very well with the project, with lots to be proud of and great effort. The final materials are a good job.

The presentation was acceptable, but not distinguished. Stylistically, some but not all presenters chose to read the slides to the audience, which does not engage the audience. More engaging would be to add value to each slide. One way to promote engagement even if the voice track is a mirror of the slides would have been to put yourselves on screen with the slides (either as a video of a lecture or as a speaker in a small box.

The presentation made good use of jira and sonar charts.

Clearly very good team dynamics.

A couple of technical points arose with the presentation:

- 1. Claims of encapsulation were not justified. It would have better to see the system design graphically (e.g. UML).
- 2. Mokito was listed as structural testing? How is it structural testing? We assume it was a framework through which the team targeted some structural testing criteria.
- 3. There was a nice description of the design patterns used. Nice claims on optimization, but these claims would be much better if they had data behind them. How do you capture these data?

The demo video was a much better presentation. Like the multiple screen approach.

Good installation demo. This work is a good candidate for an example system for future classes, which is something we are considering for the future. This would require your permission, of course.

Overall, good job.