

GAHC010048742024



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/1416/2024**

SHUBOM GOALA

S/O SRI SANTOSH GOALA, VILLAGE- DUGRU BASTI, P.O- PALONGHAT, P.S-  
DHOLAI, DISTRICT- CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN - 788116.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 9 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY , HOME MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS,  
NEW DELHI- 110001.

2:THE STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION  
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN  
BLOCK NO. 12  
CGO COMPLEX  
LODHI ROAD  
NEW DELHI- 110003.

3:THE UNDER SECRETARY  
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION  
BLOCK NO.12  
CGO COMPLEX  
LODHI ROAD  
NEAR JLN STADIUM  
NEW DELHI- 110091.

4:THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR  
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION  
NORTH EASTERN REGION  
HOUSEFED COMPLEX  
WEST END BLOCK  
BASISTHA ROAD  
P.O- ASSAM SACHIVALAYA  
GUWAHATI- 781006.

5:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

BSF

BLOCK NO. 10

CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD

NEW DELHI- 110003.

6:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

SAHAstra SENA BAL

5-6

VIVEKANAND MARG EAST BLOCK

R.K PURAM

NEW DELHI- 1100022

7:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE

CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD

NEW DELHI- 110003.

8:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

INDO TIBETAN BORDER POLICE

BLOCK NO.IV

CGO COMPLEX

LODHI ROAD

NEW DELHI- 110003.

9:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE

BLOCK NO. 13

CGO COMPLEX

LODH ROAD

NEW DELHI - 110003

10:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

ASSAM RIFLES

NONGRIMMAW

LAITUMUKHRAH

GORALINE

SHILLONG- 793003

**Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S CHAUHAN**

**Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.**

**BEFORE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LANUSUNGKUM JAMIR**  
**ORDER**

**13.03.2024**

Heard Mr. S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parashar, learned CGC for all the respondents.

**2.** The petitioner belongs to OBC category and has participated in a selection process for recruitment to the post of Constable (GD) in the Central Armed Police Forces pursuant to an advertisement dated 21.07.2018. Though the petitioner belongs to the Reserved Category candidate, he secured more marks than some of the selected General Category candidates in the selection process. However, appointment order was not issued to the petitioner on the ground that he had availed of the chest and height relaxation prescribed for all candidates of the State of Assam. Therefore, the stand of the respondents is that the petitioner could have been considered only for Reserved Category and not for General Category post.

**3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this case is covered by the order dated 05.01.2024 passed in WP(C) No.7588/2023.

**4.** Mr. K.K. Parashar, learned CGC also fairly submits that the present case is covered by the order dated 05.01.2024 passed in WP(C) No.7588/2023. He also submits that the petitioner can be appointed against General Category post provided his marks are higher than the last selected General Category candidates.

**5.** After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the order dated 05.01.2024 passed in WP(C) No.7588/2023, this Court finds that the present case is a covered case.

6. Accordingly, this Court is of the view that relaxation in height and chest availed by the petitioner cannot disentitle him for consideration against the General Candidate vacancies if he has secured more marks than the last selected General Category candidates.
7. In view of above, this Court directs the respondents to accommodate the petitioner as and when vacancy arises to the post of Constable (GD) in any Central Armed Police Forces after verifying whether the petitioner has secured higher marks than the last selected General Category candidates.
8. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**