

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 3335 of 2010
with
I.A. No. 4648 of 2013

- | | | | |
|--|------|------|-------------|
| 1. Birendra Prasad Shrivastava
2. Dadan Singh
3. Giridhar Mishra | | | Petitioners |
| Versus | | | |
| 1. State of Jharkhand.
2. Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
3. Director, B.I.T. Sindri, Dhanbad. | | ... | Respondents |

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rajendra Krishna, Advocate Mr. Pratyush Shoumikya, Advocate
For the Resp-State	:	Mr. Shubham Gautam, AC to AAG-V

9/ 17.01.2024 The petitioner has approached this Court with a prayer for quashing of the letter No. 1303 dated 9.6.2008 whereby the respondent no. 3 has cancelled the process of appointment. The communicational letter no. 2184 dated 17.12.2008 is also under challenge. The petitioner has also prayed for a direction upon the respondents to complete the process of appointment of the petitioners since they were working under the respondents for last several years on daily wage basis.

2. At the very outset, referring to the supplementary counter affidavit, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that though recruitment process inviting applications from eligible daily wagers against Class-III and Class-IV posts was initiated, but the same could not be completed in view of the direction of this Court in Cont. Case (Civil) No. 291 of 2009 and Cont. Case (Civil) No. 418 of 2008. Pursuant to such direction, the Principal Secretary, Department of Science and Technology vide Memo No. 1859 dated 30.6.2010 passed a reasoned order whereby it was decided that appointment against Class-IV posts can only be filled up from the district panel. At the same time, the Deputy Commissioner, vide Memo No. 1096 dated 27.7.2002 and letter no. 49 dated 28.2.2006 also directed to go ahead with the appointment of Class-IV in BIT Sindri. Thereafter, the Director, BIT Sindri after taking due sanction from the Principal Secretary, Department of Science and Technology has directed to keep the process of appointment in

abeyance and later on, total 137 posts have been filled up from the district panel. As such, the recruitment process against Class-III and Class-IV was completed.

3. In view of fair submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, nothing remains to be decided in the present writ petition, since the recruitment process has already been completed.

4. This writ petition is accordingly, dismissed. Consequently, the aforesaid interlocutory application also stands disposed of.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)

R.Kr.

