

GAHC010051412024



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

Case No. : WP(C)/182/2024

M/S POOJA TILES AND SANITARY PVT LTD
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 2 ND FLOOR
AB COMPLEX A T ROAD NEAR MAHAVIR BHAVAN
GUWAHATI PIN 781001
REP BY ITS DIRECTOR MR MANOJ KUMAR GOYAL
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
HAVING ITS RESIDENT AT FLAT NO 1A RR RESIDENCY KC ROAD
CHATRIBARI GUWAHATI 781001

VERSUS

1. THE UNION OF INDIA AND 8 ORS
REP BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE 3 RD FLOOR
JEEVAN DEEP BUILDING SANSAD MARG NEW DELHI 110011
- 2:THE DEPTT OF FINANCIAL SERVICE
MINISTRY OF FINANCE 3 RD FLOOR JEEVAN DEEP BUILDING SANSAD
MARG NEW DELHI 110011
- 3:THE STATE O F ASSAM
REP BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF ASSAM
DISPUR GUWAHATI PIN 781006
- 4:THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (MAGISTRACY BRANCH)
KAMRUP, AMINGAON ASSAM PIN 781039
- 5:THE SUB DIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (S), KAMRUP
AMINGAON ASSAM PIN 781039
- 6:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
NORTH GUWAHATI REVENUE CIRCLE
AMINGAON,ASSAM PIN 781039

7:THE STATE BANK OF INDIA
REP BY ITS CHAIRMAN HAVING ITS REGISTERD OFFICE AT MADAME
CAMA ROAD NARIMAN POINT
MUMBAI PIN 400021

8:STATE BANK OF INDIA
REP BY ITS MANAGER COMMERCIAL BRANCH COMMERCIAL CLIENT
GROUP SWAGOTA SQUARE 3RD FLOOR ABC POINT
G S ROAD GUWAHATI 781005

9:STATE BANK OF INDIA
REP BY ITS MANAGER STRESSED ASSETS MANAGEMENT BRANCH II
JEEVANDEEP BUILDING 10TH FLOOR 1 MIDDLETON STREET
KOLKATA 700071

For the Petitioner : Mr. D. Das, Senior Advocate assisted by Mr. R. Sarma,
Advocate.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. J. Das, Advocate on behalf of Mr. S.K. Medhi, Central
Government Counsel for respondent No.1.

 : Mr. N. Das, Government Advocate for respondent Nos.4, 5
& 6.

 : Mr. A.K. Sahewalla, Advocate for respondent Nos.7, 8 & 9.

- BEFORE -
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. VIJAY BISHNOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMAN SHYAM

09.04.2024
(Vijay Bishnoi, CJ)

After attempting to argue the matter for quite some time, Mr. D. Das, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. R. Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, has submitted that he wants to withdraw the instant writ petition. However, he seeks liberty for the petitioner to approach the Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT) against the action of the respondent Bank.

In view of above, the instant writ petition is dismissed with the liberty, as

prayed for.

Consequently, *interim order*, if any, passed earlier, stands vacated.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant