

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No. 196 of 2021

with

I.A. No. 4334 of 2023

Chandra Shekhar Prasad Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
 2. The Principal Secretary of the Co-operative Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 3. The Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Ranchi.
 4. The Deputy Commissioner, Chatra.
 5. The District Co-operative Officer, Chatra.
 6. The District Supply Officer, Chatra.
 7. The Block Development Officer, Chatra.
 8. The Principal Accountant General (A&E), Jharkhand, Ranchi.
- Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Mohan Kumar Dubey, Advocate
For the Resp-State	:	Ms. Mousmi Chatterjee, AC to GA-V
For the Resp. No. 8	:	Ms. Richa Sanchita, Advocate

11/ 17.02.2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court with a prayer for a direction upon the respondents to make payment of all retiral dues including gratuity, arrears accrued on account of first and second time bound promotion, leave encashment, pension, interest on delayed payment etc. on account of his retirement on 31.1.2009.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if a fresh representation is directed to be filed by the petitioner for consideration of his case for retiral benefits etc. and a direction thereof be given to the respondents to pass a reasoned order on the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within stipulated time.

4. Per contra, counter-affidavit has been filed by the respondents. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that he has no objection to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and without entering into the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to approach the respondents with a copy of the writ petition

along with fresh representation and a copy of this order enclosing any other documents on which he is relying upon. On receipt of the same, the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner and pass a speaking order assigning valid and concrete reason for consideration / non-consideration of the case of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks thereafter.

6. Needless to say that if the petitioner is found entitled for the benefits as prayed for in the writ petition, the same be extended to him within a further period of four weeks in accordance with law. If the case of petitioner is turned down for one or other reasons, the same be communicated to him, within the said period.

7. With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition stands disposed of. The aforesaid interlocutory application stands disposed of.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)

R.Kr.