

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**W.P.(S). No. 112 of 2024**

---

Rajesh Ekka ..... Petitioner  
**Versus**  
1.The State of Jharkhand through the Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, Dist.-Ranchi  
2.Deputy Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, Dist.-Ranchi  
3.Joint Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, Dist.-Ranchi  
4.The Accountant General, Dist.-Ranchi  
5.Senior Accounts Officer, Accountant General Office, Dist.-Ranchi ..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N.PATHAK**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Divyam, AC to SC-IV

Mr. Sunil Kumar Agarwal, Advocate

---

**04/ 13.05.2024 Heard the parties.**

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that suffice it would be if a direction is given to the petitioner to file fresh representation for redressal of his grievances and thereupon, respondents may be directed to pass reasoned order on his representation within a stipulated period of time.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the respondents very fairly submits that if this Court directs the petitioner to file fresh representation before the respondent-authorities, the respondents shall pass reasoned order on the same.

4. In view of fair submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I hereby direct the petitioner to file fresh representation annexing all the relevant documents on which he is relying, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and after

receiving the same alongwith the copy of this order, the respondents shall consider the grievances in accordance with law, taking into consideration the prevalent rules, guidelines and legal propositions and thereafter pass a speaking and reasoned order within a further period of six weeks which shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. It goes without saying that if the petitioner is found entitled for the benefits as prayed for, the same may be extended to him within a further period of two weeks. Let it be made clear that if any adverse order shall be passed the same also be communicated to him within further period of two weeks.

6. With these observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)**

Rohit/-