

Niti

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.560 OF 2023
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.2491 OPF 2022(F)
AND
MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO.561 OF 2023
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.2492 OF 2022(F)

T.K. CHANDRAN

...APPLICANT

Versus

RUKMINI VITHAL HALANKAR
AND 9 ORS.

.... RESPONDENTS

Mr Jatin Ramaiya, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr A.D. Bhobe with Ms A. Fernandes, Advocates for the Respondents.

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATE : 9th FEBRUARY 2024

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr Ramaiya for the applicant and Mr A.D. Bhobe for the respondents.
2. In Misc. Civil Application No.560/2023, office endorsement states that 9th respondent is not served. However, Mr Ramaiya points out that in Misc. Civil application No.561/2023, which has the same respondents, all the respondents are served. Mr Bhobe state that he appears for the respondents in Misc. Civil Application No.561/2023.

Considering this circumstance, both the Misc. Civil Applications are taken up for consideration.

- 3.** There is a delay of 58 days in instituting the respective Second Appeals. This delay has been sufficiently explained and there is sufficient cause for condonation of the same.
- 4.** Accordingly, both these civil applications are allowed and the delay in instituting the Second Appeal is hereby condoned. Both the Misc. Civil Applications are disposed of.

M. S. SONAK, J.