

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (S) No. 7311 of 2011

1. Azimul Haque Ansari
 2. Md. Nasiruddin Khan
 3. TSushil Lakra
 4. Md. Barik Ansari
 5. Md. Akhtar
 6. Jitendra Kumar
- Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
 2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 3. The Secretary, Personnel and Administration Reforms Raj Bhasha Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 4. The Secretary, Cabinet Secretary and Coordinate Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 5. The Special Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat and Coordinate Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 6. The Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Ranchi.
 7. Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 8. Secretary, Food Public Distribution and Consumers Office Depatt., Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 9. The Secretary, Mines and Geology Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 10. The Secretary, Rural Development Department, Ranchi.
 11. The Secretary, Board of Revenue, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 12. The Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Govt. of Jharkhand, Ranchi.
 13. Upendra Kumar
 14. Pradeep Kumar Mishra
 15. Ambar Kumar Sinha
 16. Manoj Kumar Singh
 17. Sudhir Kumar Mukherjee
 18. Liyakat Ali
 19. Ahmad Ali
 20. Kajru Khariya
 21. Asraf Khan
- Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Prem Pujari Roy, Advocate Mr. Navin Kumar, Advocate
For the Resp-State	:	Mr. Shubham Gautam, AC to AAG-V

31/ 19.12.2024 The petitioners are seeking regularization of their services.

2. At the very outset, Mr. Prem Pujari Roy, learned counsel

appearing for the petitioners submits that altogether six petitioners have moved this Court for consideration of their regularization and out of them, case of four petitioners have been considered for regularization.

3. Mr. Shubham Gautam, learned counsel appearing for the respondents referring to the counter affidavit submits that only the case of petitioner no. 5 (Md. Akhtar) has not been considered till date and suffice it would be if the petitioner no. 5 is directed to file a fresh representation, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

4. In view of fair submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I hereby direct the petitioner no. 5 to file a fresh representation before the respondent concerned annexing all the relevant documents on which he is relying, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and after receiving the same along with the copy of this order, the respondent concerned shall consider the same in accordance with law, taking into account the fact that already four petitioners of the present writ petition have been considered for regularization. Let the reasoned order be passed within a period of six weeks thereafter.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)

R.Kr.