GAHC010058062017

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Review.Pet./194/2017

SABIYA KHATUN @ MABIYA KHATUN
W/O ABDUL AWAL, D/O LATE RUSTOM ALI, R/O VILL.
GHORAMARA/SIMLABARI PT-I, P.S. MERERCHAR, DIST. BONGAIGAON,
ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA and 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
 REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER and SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
 HOME DEPTT.
 DISPUR
 GHY-6

3:THE DY. COMMISSIONER
 BONGAIGAON
 DIST- BONGAIGAON
 ASSAM

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE B
 BONGAIGAON
 ASSAM

5:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE
 MERERCHAR POLICE STATION
 DIST- BONGAIGAON
 ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner   : MR.A CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I.

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

<u>**06-05-2024**</u>
(<u>Mridul Kumar Kalita, J</u>)

None appears for the petitioner.

2) Heard Mr. G. Sarma, learned Standing Counsel, Home Department, Assam for the respondent Nos. 2, 4 and 5 as well as Mr. R. Talukdar, learned Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent 3.

3) It appears from the record that by order dated 04.06.2018, it was observed by this Court that the present review petition was not filed as per the format prescribed as per Rule 2 of the Chapter X of the Gauhati High Court Rules and accordingly, a direction was made for returning the review petition to the petitioner for filing the same as per the prescribed Rules.

4) It also appears from the office note dated 20.06.2018 that in pursuant to the order dated 04.06.2018, the original review petition was returned to the learned counsel for the petitioner, which was duly received by the learned counsel.

5) Thereafter, there is nothing on record to show that the review application was filed as per the prescribed format under Rule 2 of the Chapter X the Gauhati High Court Rules.

6) In view of the above, this Review Petition stands closed.

JUDGE   **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant