

# Supplementary File for Incentivizing High Quality Crowdsourcing Information using Bayesian Inference and Reinforcement Learning

Anonymous Authors<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Basic Lemmas

**Lemma 1.** If  $x \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}t^x = (1 - p + tp)^n$  holds for any  $t > 0$ , where  $\text{Bin}(\cdot)$  is the binomial distribution.

*Proof.*

$$t^x = e^{x \log t} = m_x(\log t) = (1 - p + pe^{\log t})^n \quad (1)$$

where  $m_x(\cdot)$  denotes the moment generating function.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For given  $n, m \geq 0$ , if  $0 \leq p \leq 1$ , we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^n \sum_{w=0}^m C_n^x C_m^w p^{x+w} (1-p)^{y+z} \times \\ B(x+z+1+t, y+w+1) = \\ \int_0^1 [(2p-1)x+1-p]^n [(1-2p)x+p]^m x^t dx \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the definition of the beta function (Olver, 2010),

$$B(x, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} u^{x-1} (1+u)^{-(x+y)} du \quad (2)$$

we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,w} C_n^x C_m^w p^{x+w} (1-p)^{y+z} B(x+z+1+t, y+w+1) \\ = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}u^x \cdot \mathbb{E}u^z \cdot u^t \cdot (1+u)^{-(n+m+2+t)} du \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we regard  $x \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$  and  $z \sim \text{Bin}(m, 1-p)$ . Thus, according to Lemma 1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}u^x \cdot \mathbb{E}u^z \cdot u^t \cdot (1+u)^{-(n+m+3)} du \\ = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{[1-p+up]^n \cdot [p+(1-p)u]^m \cdot u^t}{(1+u)^{n+m+2+t}} du. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For the integral operation, substituting  $u$  with  $v-1$  at first and then  $v$  with  $(1-x)^{-1}$ , we can conclude Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.**  $\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n \cdot x^n = (1+x)^N$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n \cdot n \cdot x^n = N \cdot x \cdot (1+x)^{N-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.**  $\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n \cdot n \cdot x^{N-n} = N \cdot (1+x)^{N-1}$ .

**Lemma 6.**  $\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n \cdot n^2 \cdot x^n = Nx(1+Nx)(1+x)^{N-2}$ .

**Lemma 7.**  $\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n \cdot n^2 \cdot x^{N-n} = N(x+N)(1+x)^{N-2}$ .

**Lemma 8.** If  $0 < x < 1$ , we can have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n \cdot x^n \geq (1 - e^{-cN}) \cdot (1+x)^N$$

$$\sum_{n=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1}^N C_N^n \cdot x^{N-n} \geq (1 - e^{-cN}) \cdot (1+x)^N.$$

where  $c = 0.5(1-x)^2(1+x)^{-2}$ .

*Proof.* To prove the lemmas above, we firstly define

$$F_t(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n n^t x^n \quad (5)$$

Then, Lemma 3 can be obtained by expanding  $(1+x)^N$ . Lemma 4 can be proved as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n (n+1) x^n - (1+x)^N \\ \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n (n+1) x^n &= \frac{d}{dx} [x F_0(x)] \\ &= Nx(1+x)^{N-1} + (1+x)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Lemma 5 can be obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n n x^{N-n} &= x^N \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n n \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n \\ &= x^N \cdot N \cdot \frac{1}{x} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For Lemma 6, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n (n+2)(n+1) x^n - 3F_1(x) - 2F_0(x) \\ &= [x^2 F_0(x)]' - 3F_1(x) - 2F_0(x) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus, we can have

$$F_2(x) = Nx(1 + Nx)(1 + x)^{N-2} \quad (9)$$

which concludes Lemma 6. Then, Lemma 7 can be obtained by considering Equation 10.

$$\sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n n^2 x^{N-n} = x^N \sum_{n=0}^N C_N^n n^2 \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n. \quad (10)$$

For Lemma 8, we can have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n x^n = (1+x)^N \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n p^n (1-p)^{N-n} \quad (11)$$

where  $p = x(1+x)^{-1}$ . Let  $X \sim \text{Bin}(N, p)$ , we can have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n p^n (1-p)^{N-n} \geq 1 - P(X \geq N/2). \quad (12)$$

Since  $x < 1$ ,  $p < 0.5$  and  $Np < N/2$ . Considering Hoeffding's inequality, we can get

$$P(X \geq N/2) \leq \exp\left[-\frac{N(1-x)^2}{2(1+x)^2}\right] \quad (13)$$

which concludes the first inequality in Lemma 8. Similarly, for the second inequality, we can have

$$\sum_{n=K}^N C_N^n x^{N-n} = (1+x)^N \sum_{n=K}^N C_N^n (1-p)^n p^{N-n} \quad (14)$$

where  $K = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1$ . Suppose  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(N, 1-p)$ , we can have

$$\sum_{n=K}^N C_N^n (1-p)^n p^{N-n} \geq 1 - P(Y \leq N/2). \quad (15)$$

Considering Hoeffding's inequality, we can also get

$$P(Y \leq N/2) \leq \exp\left[-\frac{N(1-x)^2}{2(1+x)^2}\right] \quad (16)$$

which concludes the second inequality in Lemma 8.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** For any  $x, y \geq 0$ , we can have

$$(1+x)^y \leq e^{xy}.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we can know  $(1+x)^y = e^{y \log(1+x)}$ . Let  $f(x) = x - \log(x)$ . Then, we can have  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(x) \geq 0$ . Thus,  $x \geq \log(1+x)$  and we can conclude Lemma 9 by taking this inequality into the equality.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.**

$$g(x) = \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1}$$

is a concave function when  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ .

*Proof.*  $g'(x) = (2 + t(x))^{-1}$ , where  $t(x) = e^x + e^{-x}$ .  $t'(x) = e^x - e^{-x} \geq 0$  when  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ . Thus,  $g'(x)$  is monotonically decreasing when  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ , which concludes Lemma 10.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** For  $x \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{e^{|x|} + 1}$$

satisfies

$$h(x) < e^x \text{ and } h(x) < e^{-x}.$$

*Proof.* When  $x \geq 0$ , we can have

$$h(x) < \frac{1}{e^x} = e^{-x} \leq e^x. \quad (17)$$

When  $x \leq 0$ , we can have

$$h(x) = \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1} < e^x \leq e^{-x}. \quad (18)$$

$\square$

**Lemma 12.** If  $\lambda = p/(1-p)$  and  $0.5 < p < 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}^N C_N^n \lambda^{m-n} p^n (1-p)^m \leq [4p(1-p)]^{N/2}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n \lambda^{n-m} p^n (1-p)^m \leq [4p(1-p)]^{N/2}$$

where  $m = N - n$ .

*Proof.* For the first inequality, we can have

$$\sum_{n=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}^N C_N^n \lambda^{m-n} p^n (1-p)^m \quad (19)$$

$$= \sum_{n=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}^N C_N^n p^m (1-p)^n \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^m p^m (1-p)^n$$

According to the inequality in (Arratia and Gordon, 1989), we can have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^m p^m (1-p)^n \leq \exp(-ND) \quad (20)$$

where  $D = -0.5 \log(2p) - 0.5 \log(2(p-1))$ , which concludes the first inequality in Lemma 12.

For the second inequality, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n \lambda^{n-m} p^n (1-p)^m \\ &= \frac{1}{[p(1-p)]^N} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n [p^3]^n [(1-p)^3]^m \\ &= \frac{[p^3 + (1-p)^3]^N}{[p(1-p)]^N} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n x^n (1-x)^m \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where  $x = p^3/[p^3 + (1-p)^3]$ . By using Equation 20, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} C_N^n \lambda^{n-m} p^n (1-p)^m \\ & \leq \frac{[p^3 + (1-p)^3]^N}{[p(1-p)]^N} [x(1-x)]^{N/2} \\ & = [4p(1-p)]^{N/2} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which concludes the second inequality of Lemma 12.  $\square$

## 2. H function analysis

Here, we present our analysis on the  $H$  function defined in the proof of Proposition 1. Firstly, we can have:

**Lemma 13.**  $H(m, 0.5; M, t) = 2(t+1)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 14.**  $H(m, p; M, t) = H(n, \bar{p}; M, t)$ .

**Lemma 15.** As a function of  $m$ ,  $H(m, p; M, t)$  is logarithmically convex.

*Proof.* Lemma 13 can be proved by integrating  $2x^t$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Lemma 14 can be proved by showing that  $H(n, \bar{p}; M, t)$  has the same expression as  $H(m, p; M, t)$ . Thus, in the following proof, we focus on Lemma 15. Fixing  $p$ ,  $M$  and  $t$ , we denote  $\log(H)$  by  $f(m)$ . Then, we compute the first-order derivative as

$$H(m)f'(m) = 2^{M+1} \int_0^1 \lambda u^n (1-u)^m x^t dx \quad (23)$$

where  $u = (2p-1)x + 1 - p$  and  $\lambda = \log(1-u) - \log(u)$ . Furthermore, we can solve the second-order derivative as

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-2(M+1)} H^2(m) f''(m) = \\ & \int_0^1 g^2(x) dx \int_0^1 h^2(x) dx - \left( \int_0^1 g(x) h(x) dx \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the functions  $g, h : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$g = \lambda \sqrt{u^n(1-u)^m}, \quad h = \sqrt{u^n(1-u)^m}. \quad (25)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\int_0^1 g^2(x) dx \int_0^1 h^2(x) dx \geq \left( \int_0^1 g(x) h(x) dx \right)^2 \quad (26)$$

we can know that  $f''(m) \geq 0$  always holds, which concludes that  $f$  is convex and  $H$  is logarithmically convex.  $\square$

Then, for the case that  $t = 1$  and  $M \gg 1$ , we can further derive the following three lemmas for  $H(m, p; M, 1)$ :

**Lemma 16.** The ratio between two ends satisfies

$$\log \frac{H(0, p; M, 1)}{H(M, p; M, 1)} \approx \begin{cases} \log(M) + \epsilon(p) & p > 0.5 \\ 0 & p = 0.5 \\ -\log(M) - \epsilon(\bar{p}) & p < 0.5 \end{cases}$$

where  $\epsilon(p) = \log(2p-1) - \log(p)$  and  $\epsilon(p) = 0$  if  $p = 0.5$ .

**Lemma 17.** The lower bound can be calculated as

$$\log H(m, p) \gtrsim \begin{cases} H(0, p) - \underline{k}m & 2m \leq M \\ H(M, p) - \underline{k}n & 2m > M \end{cases}$$

where  $\underline{k} = \log(\max\{p/(\bar{p} + M^{-1}), \bar{p}/(p + M^{-1})\})$ .

**Lemma 18.** The upper bound can be calculated as

$$\log H(m, p) \lesssim \begin{cases} H(0, p) - \bar{k}m & 2m \leq M \\ H(M, p) - \bar{k}n & 2m > M \end{cases}$$

where  $n = M - m$  and  $\bar{k} = 2 \log(2 \cdot \max\{p, \bar{p}\})$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 13,  $\log H(m, 0.5; M, 1) \equiv 0$ , which proves the above three lemmas for the case that  $p = 0.5$ . Considering the symmetry ensured by Lemma 14, we thus focus on the case that  $p > 0.5$  in the following proof and transform  $H(m, p)$  into the following formulation

$$H(m, p) = \omega(p) \cdot \int_{\bar{p}}^p x^n (1-x)^m (x-1+p) dx \quad (27)$$

where  $\omega(p) = 2^{M+1}/(2p-1)^2$ . Then, we can solve  $H(0, p)$  and  $H(M, p)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} H(0, p) &= \omega(p) \int_{\bar{p}}^p x^M (x-\bar{p}) dx \\ &= \frac{(2p)^{M+1}}{(2p-1)(M+1)} - O\left(\frac{(2p)^{M+1}}{M^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(M, p) &= \omega(p) \int_{\bar{p}}^p (1-x)^M (x-\bar{p}) dx \\ &= \frac{p(2p)^{M+1}}{(2p-1)^2(M+1)(M+2)} - O\left(\frac{(2\bar{p})^{M+1}}{M+2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using the Taylor expansion of function  $\log(x)$ , we can calculate the ratio in Lemma 16 as

$$\log \frac{H(0, p)}{H(M, p)} = \log(M) + \log \frac{2p-1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \quad (30)$$

which concludes Lemma 16 when  $M \gg 1$ .

Furthermore, we can solve  $H(1, p)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} H(1, p) &= \omega(p) \int_{\bar{p}}^p x^{M-1} (x-\bar{p}) dx - H(0, p) \\ &= \frac{(2\bar{p} + M^{-1})(2p)^M}{(2p-1)(M+1)} - O\left(\frac{(2p)^{M+1}}{M^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The value ratio between  $m = 0$  and  $m = 1$  then satisfies

$$\log \frac{H(1, p)}{H(0, p)} = \log \frac{p}{\bar{p} + M^{-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right). \quad (32)$$

By Rolle's theorem, there exists a  $c \in [m, m+1]$  satisfying

$$\log H(1, p) - \log H(0, p) = f'(c) \quad (33)$$

where  $f(m) = \log H(m, p)$ . Meanwhile, Lemma 15 ensures that  $f''(m) \geq 0$  always holds. Thus, we can have

$$\log H(m+1, p) - \log H(m, p) \geq \log \frac{H(1, 0)}{H(0, p)} \quad (34)$$

which concludes the first case of Lemma 17. Similarly, we compute the ratio between  $m = M-1$  and  $M$  as

$$\log \frac{H(M, p)}{H(M-1, p)} = \log \frac{p}{\bar{p} + M^{-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right). \quad (35)$$

Meanwhile, Rolle's theorem and Lemma 15 ensure that

$$\log H(m, p) - \log H(m-1, p) \leq \log \frac{H(M, 0)}{H(M-1, p)} \quad (36)$$

which concludes the second case of Lemma 17.

Lastly, we focus on the upper bound described by Lemma 18. According to the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means,  $x(1-x) \leq 2^{-2}$  holds for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Thus, when  $2m \leq M$  (i.e.  $n \geq m$ ), we can have

$$H(m, p) \leq 2^{-2m} \omega(p) \cdot \int_{\bar{p}}^p x^{n-m} (x-1+p) dx \quad (37)$$

where the equality only holds when  $m = 0$ .

$$\int_{\bar{p}}^p x^{n-m} (x-1+p) dx = \frac{(2p-1)p^\delta}{\delta} + \frac{\Delta}{\delta(\delta+1)} \quad (38)$$

where  $\delta = n - m + 1$  and  $\Delta = \bar{p}^{\delta+1} - p^{\delta+1} < 0$ . Hence,

$$\log \frac{H(m, p)}{H(0, p)} \leq -2m[\log(2p) - \varepsilon(m)] + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \quad (39)$$

where  $\varepsilon(m) = -(2m)^{-1}[\log(n-m+1) - \log(M+1)]$ . Since  $\log(x)$  is a concave function, we can know that

$$\varepsilon(m) \leq (M)^{-1} \log(M+1) = O(M^{-1}) \quad (40)$$

which concludes the first case in Lemma 18. Similarly, for  $2m > M$  (i.e.  $n < m$ ), we can have

$$\log \frac{H(m, p)}{H(M, p)} \leq -2n[\log(2p) - \hat{\varepsilon}(n)] + O\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \quad (41)$$

where  $\hat{\varepsilon}(n) \leq O(M^{-1})$ . Thereby, we can conclude the second case of Lemma 18. Note that the case where  $p < 0.5$  can be derived by using Lemma 14.  $\square$

For the case that  $t = 0$  and  $M \gg 1$ , using the same method as the above proof, we can derive the same lower and upper bounds as Lemmas 18 and 17. On the other hand, for  $t = 0$ , Lemma 16 does not hold and we can have

**Lemma 19.**  $H(m, p; M, 0) = H(n, p; M, 0)$

*Proof.* When  $t = 0$ ,

$$H(m, p) = 2^{M+1}(2p-1)^{-1} \int_{\bar{p}}^p x^n (1-x)^m dx. \quad (42)$$

Then, substituting  $x$  as  $1-v$  concludes Lemma 19.  $\square$

## References

- R. Arratia and L. Gordon. Tutorial on large deviations for the binomial distribution. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 51(1):125–131, Jan 1989.
- Frank W. J. Olver. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.