



PROPAGATION OF ORNAMENTAL PLANTS

Editorial Office, University of Forestry, 10 Kliment Ohridski blvd.,
Sofia 1756, Bulgaria, Fax: (++ 359 2) 862 28 30, e-mail: ivilievltu@yahoo.com,
www.journal-pop.org

CHECKLIST FOR REVIEWERS

Title of the manuscript: Advances and future prospects for in vitro regeneration of *Sinningia speciosa* (Lodd.) Hiem”.

Author (s): . Ying-jie Tao, Rui-jun Guo, Quan-le Xu*, Ke-you Li
.....

No of the manuscript: ...JPOP844.....

Deadline for the receiving of your review: 30 days after the receiving of the manuscript

Please consider main point A and B. Please DO NOT CONTINUE TO REVIEW the manuscript if:

- the answer to point A.1 is YES

- the answer to point B is LOW.

A. Relevance of the paper.

1. Previous publication of the material

X No (It is a review paper)

☐ Yes. What and where.....

B. Scientific and practical importance of the data

☐ High

☐ Adequate

X Low

C. Scientific quality

1. Are the data in this manuscript new?

☐ Yes

X No. Comments:..It is a review

paper.....

2. Is the manuscript clearly written and well-organized?

☐ Yes

X No. Comments:..Very poorly written manuscript with respect to grammar and organization.....

3. Are the Abstract and the Key words adequate?

☐ Yes

X No. Suggestions: ..See attached comments.....

4. Does the Introduction state the present knowledge and aim of the research?

X Yes

☐ No. Comments:

5. Materials, methods, and study design

☐ Adequate

X Improvement needed. Suggestions:.....

☐ Inadequate. Comments:!

6. Results and Discussion

☐ Properly drawn with regard to methods and data

X Should be adjusted – Suggestions:

☐ Insufficiently supported – Comments:

7. Are the tables , figures titles, and legends presented well and necessary?

☐ Yes

X Improvement needed.

Suggestions:.....

☐ No. Comments:

8. Data and statistical treatment

☐ Adequate

X Improvement needed.

Comments:.....

☐ Inadequate. Comments:

9. Have all relevant literature been cited

☐ Yes

X No. Suggestions:

D. Recommendations (after corrections)

☐ The paper should be published as it is now, or with minor editorial changes

☐ The paper could be published after minor revision, and need not be re-reviewed

☐ The paper could be accepted after major revision according to the comments

X Rejected

E. If adjustments or revision is recommended

☐ The writer is allowed to contact me

X I want to be anonymous

X I am not willing to review this paper again

☐ I agree to review the manuscript again after the revision

Please add further comments.