



PROPAGATION OF ORNAMENTAL PLANTS

Editorial Office, University of Forestry, 10 Kliment Ohridski blvd.,
Sofia 1756, Bulgaria, Fax: (++ 359 2) 862 28 30, e-mail: ivilievltu@yahoo.com,
www.journal-pop.org

CHECKLIST FOR REVIEWERS

Title of the manuscript: ... Micropropagation of *Rhododendron keiskei* var. *hypoglaucum* Suto & Suzuki and assessment of clonal fidelity of plantlets by RAPD

Author (s): . Iyyakkannu Sivanesan¹ and Byoung Ryong Jeong¹.....

No of the manuscript: ...JPOP743.....

Deadline for the receiving of your review: 30 days after the receiving of the manuscript

Please consider main point A and B. Please DO NOT CONTINUE TO REVIEW the manuscript if:

- the answer to point A.1 is YES

- the answer to point B is LOW.

A. Relevance of the paper.

1. Previous publication of the material

☒ No

☐ Yes. What and where.....

B. Scientific and practical importance of the data

☐ High

☒ Adequate

☐ Low

C. Scientific quality

1. Are the data in this manuscript new?

☒ Yes

☐ No.

Comments:.....

2. Is the manuscript clearly written and well-organized?

☒ Yes

☐ No. Comments:.....

3. Are the Abstract and the Key words adequate?

☒ Yes

☐ No. Suggestions:

4. Does the Introduction state the present knowledge and aim of the research?

☒ Yes

☐ No. Comments:

5. Materials, methods, and study design

☒ Adequate

☐ Improvement needed. Suggestions:.....

☐ Inadequate. Comments:!

6. Results and Discussion

☒ Properly drawn with regard to methods and data

☐ Should be adjusted – Suggestions:

☐ Insufficiently supported – Comments:

7. Are the tables , figures titles, and legends presented well and necessary?

☒ Yes

☐ Improvement needed.

Suggestions:.....

☐ No. Comments:

8. Data and statistical treatment

☒ Adequate

☐ Improvement needed. Comments:.....

☐ Inadequate. Comments:

9. Have all relevant literature been cited

☒ Yes

☐ No. Suggestions:

D. Recommendations (after corrections)

☐ The paper should be published as it is now, or with minor editorial changes

☒ The paper could be published after minor revision, and need not be re-reviewed

☐ The paper could be accepted after major revision according to the comments

☐ Rejected

E. If adjustments or revision is recommended

☒ The writer is allowed to contact me

☐ I want to be anonymous

☐ I am not willing to review this paper again

☐ I agree to review the manuscript again after the revision

Please add further comments.