

Reviewer Recommendation and Comments for Manuscript JPOP844 titled “Advances and future prospects for in vitro regeneration of *Sinningia speciosa* (Lodd.) Hiern”.

Line 15: Suggest changing ‘famous’ to ‘popular’.

Line 16: Change ‘characters’ to ‘characteristics’.

Line 18: Delete ‘of it’.

Line 18: Change “The review...” to ‘This review...’

Line 20: Replace ‘novel’ with ‘novelize’.

Line 20: Hyphen is missing between ‘large’ and ‘scale’.

Line 21: Delete ‘respectively’.

Line 22: Omit ‘*Sinningia speciosa* (Lodd.) Hiern’ from key words. This is redundant because it is present in the title. Add gloxinia, Gesneriaceae

Line 27: Replace ‘magnificent’ with ‘popular’.

Line 28: Add ‘natively’ after ‘found’

Line 31: Delete comma and etc. following citation.

Line 31: Delete ‘That is owing to its perfect’ and add ‘It is a popular plant as a result of its’

Line 38(9): Suggest changing ‘the numbered plants produced’ to ‘large-scale production’.

Line 39: Suggest changing ‘it was also hard due to’ to ‘but proved to be ineffective as a result of self-sterility’.

Line 42: Suggest changing ‘ So, it is difficult to obtain’ to ‘As a result, it is difficult to obtain’

Line 43: Delete ‘Just in time’. Change ‘provides’ to ‘has provided’.

Line 44: Add ‘availability’ after ‘this’.

Change ‘At’ with ‘In’.

Line 49: Change ‘very successful of in vitro propation

Line 62: Never start a sentence with a conjunction (And). Rewrite sentence.

Line 62(5): Very poorly written sentence with respect to grammar. Rewrite.

Line 68: Authors mention “buds-first pathway” without any previous reference. This need clarification. The previous sentence is not defined with the two different pathways.

Line 74: All abbreviations must be spelled out initially and then subsequent use can be abbreviated. This is true for all abbreviations. This comment will not be made again and is a common problem throughout manuscript.

Line 84: Be consistent with terminology. “bud first pathway” doesn’t match first use of “buds-first pathway” in line 68.

Line 87: How is research reported “well”. Rewrite.

Line 104: Replace “in” with ‘With’.

Line 106: Suggest changing “shake” with “agitated”.

Line 113: Why is this surprising?

Line 115: These photoreceptors are not even comparable with plants and humans.

Line 135: “includes” should be singular.

Line 145: delete “segments”.

Line 194: “differentiate” should be ‘differentiating’

Line 201: Delete “there”.

Line 218: Delete “a”.

Line 221: Replace “gas” with “gaseous”.

Line 305: There are a lot of popular commercial ornamental plants.

Table 1: “Not determinated” should be “Not determined”