|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 3.** Percentage of fungal isolates in cormels for two gladiolus varieties in response to four fertilization doses (Tlacotepec de José Manzo, El Verde, Puebla) during 2009 spring-summer cycle. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | External Tissue | | | | | | | | Internal Tissue | | | | | | | | |
| FDv | *Fusarium* spp. | | | | *Penicillium* spp. | | | Ow | | Hx | | *F. oxysporum* | | *P.* spp. | | | | O | | H | |
| **Borrega Rojay** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FD1 | | | 70.0 | | | | 22.5 | | 7.5 | | 0 | | 0 | | | 5 | 10 | | 85 | |
| FD2 | | | 15.0 | | | | 17.5 | | 27.5 | | 40 | | 0 | | | 0 | 5 | | 95 | |
| FD3 | | | 40.0 | | | | 36.3 | | 18.7 | | 5 | | 5 | | | 0 | 0 | | 95 | |
| FD4z | | | 63.8 | | | | 8.8 | | 17.4 | | 10 | | 40 | | | 15 | 10 | | 35 | |
| **Espuma** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FD1 | | 51.3 | | | | 23.8 | | | 15 | | 10 | | 0 | | 10 | | 5 | | 85 | |
| FD2 | | 20.0 | | | | 27.5 | | | 10 | | 42.5 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 100 | |
| FD3 | | 42.5 | | | | 20.0 | | | 11.1 | | 26.4 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 100 | |
| FD4 | | 75.0 | | | | 17.5 | | | 5.0 | | 2.5 | | 20 | | 10 | | 5 | | 65 | |

vFertilization Dose. wOther: saprophytic fungi or contaminants.

xHealthy: tissue without microorganisms yGladiolus variety zBlank