Wording is awkward throughout the manuscript and we advice professional advice on technical English. I like the term multiplication ratio (not folds).

The analysis of data is not handled correctly. It seems PGR types and concentrations are both factors, and there would be an interactive term. Since concentration is quantitative, a regression type of analysis would be preferred to means testing.

How did you arrive at the cytokinin level in Table 1, or the auxin level in Table 2. Auxin x cytokine interactions are well documented, and you may not have an optimal response from one factor at a time, research.

Other smaller suggestions by line number

11 propagation and shoot growth

12 this is generally true of micropropagation and does not need to be stated

20-25 mention real numbers on multiplication ratios and shoot lengths in abstract

31 moist is not a texture

52 3 subcultures under what condition- maybe this sentence comes at end of paragraph

57 temperature, light, vessels, etc. needs to be identified

63 how may plants per vessel, media per vessel, vessels per treatment, etc.

65 don’t use lux, use a measure of photosynthetically active radiation

70 quantitative treatment levels should receive a quantitative analysis, like linear regression.

70-118 a proper review of results can only follow a proper statistical analysis

Tables 1 and 2- BA and IBA, respectively, used at fixed levels in each table should be in table header or methods, not a separate column. The use of two sets of letters to separate means differences followed improper analysis. Line graphs may be better then tables with proper analysis.