Table A4 Complex model for total fixation time.			
Fixed effects	β	95% CI	t
Intercept	7.86	[7.80, 7.92]	250.85***
Language (English vs. Chinese)	0.37	[0.26, 0.48]	6.81***
Language (Finnish vs. English)	-0.07	[-0.18, 0.04]	-1.28
Average Frequency	-0.04	[-0.07, -0.02]	-3.04**
Number of Words	0.44	[0.39, 0.49]	17.70***
Visual Complexity	0.15	[0.12, 0.19]	8.26***
Average Frequency × Number of Words	-0.03	[-0.06, -0.01]	-2.45*
Average Frequency × Visual Complexity	0.02	[-0.01, 0.04]	1.27
Number of Words \times Visual Complexity	0.01	[-0.03, 0.05]	0.39
Language (English vs. Chinese) \times Average Frequency	-0.02	[-0.08, 0.05]	-0.53
Language (Finnish vs. English) $ imes$ Average Frequency	-0.06	[-0.12, 0.01]	1.80
Language (English vs. Chinese) \times Number of Words	-0.02	[-0.10, 0.05]	-0.60
Language (Finnish vs. English) \times Number of Words	0.12	[0.03, 0.21]	2.72**
Language (English vs. Chinese) $ imes$ Visual Complexity	0.01	[-0.08, 0.09]	0.14
Language (Finnish vs. English) $ imes$ Visual Complexity	-0.02	[-0.09, 0.04]	-0.71
Language (English vs. Chinese) \times Average Frequency \times Number of Words	-0.05	[-0.10, -0.01]	-2.49 *
Language (Finnish vs. English) $ imes$ Average Frequency $ imes$ Number of Words	-0.02	[-0.07, 0.03]	-0.77
Language (English vs. Chinese) $ imes$ Average Frequency $ imes$ Visual Complexity	0.003	[-0.07, 0.07]	0.07
Language (Finnish vs. English) $ imes$ Average Frequency $ imes$ Visual Complexity	0.003	[-0.05, 0.05]	0.10
Language (English vs. Chinese) \times Number of Words \times Visual Complexity	-0.04	[-0.14, 0.05]	-0.90
Language (Finnish vs. English) \times Number of Words \times Visual Complexity	0.06	[-0.01, 0.14]	1.67