



R&D tax incentive application

Tracking ID:	PET0HAF95
Submitted:	30 Apr 2025 09:24 PM AEST
Company name:	BRAVADA GROUP PTY LTD
Australian Business Number (ABN):	58167664815
Australian Company Number (ACN):	167664815
Registration Date:	22/01/2014
Income period:	01 Jul 2023 - 30 Jun 2024
Financial year:	2023-24

Table of contents

Registration type	1
Company details	1
Contact details	3
Application inclusions	4
Employees	4
Finance	5
Projects and activities	5
Project - AI-Driven Labor Efficiency and Quoting Analysis System (PBN3C99CP)	5
Core R&D activity - Email and Document Context Intelligence and Sub-Module Creation: Bills, Timesheets, BI and Information (PQYAQTS17)	6
Declare and submit	10

Registration type

Is the company registered with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission?

- Yes, under an Australian law
- Yes, under foreign law that is an Australian resident for tax purposes
- Yes, under a foreign law AND
is a resident of a country with which Australia has a double tax agreement, including a definition of 'permanent establishment' AND
is carrying on business in Australia through a permanent establishment as defined in the double tax agreement
- No, this company is not registered with Australian Securities and Investments Commission

Company details

What date was the company registered with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission?

You can find this information in the Australian Securities and Investments Commission register at [ASIC Connect](#). Please notify the Australian Securities and Investments Commission if your details need to be updated.

22/01/2014

Is the company the head of a consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group?

Only the head company of a consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group can apply to register R&D activities. The head company must register R&D activities performed by any member of the group. For further information on claiming the R&D Tax Incentive if you are a member of a consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group please visit the [Australian Tax Office website](#).

- Yes
 No, the company is not part of a consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group
 No, the company is a subsidiary of a consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group

Is the company controlled by one or more tax exempt entities?

To work out if your company is controlled by one or more exempt entities, you will need to consider if one or more exempt entities, their affiliates or both have either:

- shares and other equity interests in your company that give them and/or their affiliates at least 50% of the voting power in your company
- the right to receive at least 50% of any income or capital your company distributes.

- Yes
 No

Does the company have an Ultimate Holding Company?

A company is an Ultimate Holding Company if it has majority ownership of or controlling interests in the other companies in the consolidated or multiple entry consolidated group. The ultimate holding company may be incorporated in a country other than Australia. More information can be found on the ASIC website and the Corporations Act 2001 where the term 'ultimate holding company' is defined.

- Yes
 No

What country was the Ultimate Holding Company incorporated in?

AUSTRALIA

What is the Ultimate Holding Company's ABN or ACN?

Company name

PHOENIX EQUITY INVESTMENTS PTY LTD

Australian Business Number (ABN)

39677010043

Australian Company Number (ACN)

677010043

Registration Date

01/05/2024

Is the company Indigenous owned (where at least 51% of the organisation's members or proprietors are Indigenous)?

- Yes
 No
 Prefer not to answer

Is the company Indigenous controlled (where at least 51% of the organisation's board or management committee are Indigenous)?

- Yes
 No
 Prefer not to answer

Which industry does the company mostly operate in?

ANZSIC Division

Select the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) division that best describes the main business activity of the company.

E - CONSTRUCTION

ANZSIC Class

3299 Other Construction Services n.e.c.

Contact details

Please note that all contacts listed will receive correspondence about the application. Any contact listed may be contacted by the R&DTI Program to provide further information.

Primary company contact details

At least one company contact must be provided.

Title (optional)

Mr

First name

Gary

Last name

McMahon

Position or role

CEO

Phone number

For phone numbers outside of Australia, please include the international code (e.g. +64 X XXXX XXXX).

0419546264

Email

To ensure the integrity of your information, please provide a personal email address. Do not use a generic email address. Using a generic email address may result in correspondence not being received.

gary.mcmahon@bravada.com.au

Main business address

This is the main address where the company does business in Australia.

Unit 3 10 Pilgrim Ct, RINGWOOD VIC 3134

Website (optional)

www.bravada.com.au

Would you like to include an alternate company contact?

Yes

No

Did you rely on advice from a tax agent?

Yes

No

Primary tax agent contact details

Title (optional)

Mr

First name

Chander

Last name

Dhawan

Position or role

Advisor

Tax agent registration number

To find your tax agent registration number visit the [Tax Practitioners Board](#) website and search the TPB Register.
78394004

Phone number

For phone numbers outside of Australia, please include the international code (e.g. +64 X XXXX XXXX).
0421820275

Email

To ensure the integrity of your information, please provide a personal email address. Do not use a generic email address. Using a generic email address may result in correspondence not being received.
cdhawanca@gmail.com

Tax agent ABN

To find your tax agency ABN visit the [ABN Lookup](#) website to search by name or ABN. The ABN is part of the result if the business is registered.

Company name

The Trustee for CAMA FAMILY TRUST

Australian Business Number (ABN)

67584245145

Would you like to include an alternate tax agent contact?

- Yes
 No

Did you receive advice from an R&D consultant?

Please include details of the primary consultant who provided advice on your application. Please note, primary consultant details are collected for internal reporting only. The primary consultant will not receive correspondence about the application and will not be contacted by the R&D Tax Incentive Program to provide further information.

- Yes
 No

Application inclusions

This application will include:

Select one or more of the options below.

- Activities with an advance or overseas finding
 Expenditure paid via a levy to a Research Service Provider
 Activities conducted by a Research Service Provider
 Activities conducted by a Cooperative Research Centre
 Activities conducted by another research organisation
 Activities conducted under another collaborative agreement
 None of the above

Will the company be including activities that are excluded from being a core activity in this application?

- Yes, as supporting activities
 No

Employees

How many employees did the company have across all companies at the end of 30 Jun 2024?

This is the total number of employees on the company's payroll at the end of the income period covered by this application (including working directors, partners, proprietors, full time, part time, and casual staff). For consolidated groups, this will be the total employee numbers for the entire group.

How many employees across all companies were engaged in the R&D activities included in this application?

This is the full time equivalent (FTE) number of staff (including working directors, partners, proprietors, full time, part time, and casual staff) employed by the company on research and development in the income year covered by this application.

8

Finance

For your selected income period, what was the company's taxable income or loss across all companies?

This is the company's taxable income or loss for the selected income year. Losses should be shown as negative figures.

AUD -290,783.00

For your selected income period, what was the company's aggregated turnover?

AUD 9,016,824.00

For your selected income period, how much revenue did the company earn across all companies from export sales?

This is the company's total revenue from export sales for the income year covered by this application as reported in the company's business activity statement provided to the Australian Taxation Office. The total revenue for the entire income year should be included, and this may require a company to add up the individual export sale amounts provided in their periodic business activity statements for the income year.

AUD 0.00

Projects and activities

Project - AI-Driven Labor Efficiency and Quoting Analysis System (PBN3C99CP)

Name for this project

If you have registered this project before please use the same name.

AI-Driven Labor Efficiency and Quoting Analysis System

Project reference description (optional)

This is an optional field to insert your internal reference.

QUO0001

What is the expected duration of this project?

Jul 2022 to Jun 2026

How much is expected to be spent over the life of this project?

Include both R&D and non-R&D expenses.

AUD 1,500,000.00

What are the objectives of this project?

Enter a maximum of 1000 characters.

At the project level the objectives may be described fairly broadly and can include both research and development and commercial aims. Please ensure your response allows the Department to understand the purposes for conducting the project.

The objectives of this project are focused on researching and providing AI-Driven Agentic Modules to address gaps for Construction Sub-Contracting Companies to create AI driven BI and Planning:

1. Email and Directory AI Module: Integrate Email AI categorisation and context map, alongside document directory creation
2. Document Fetch and Information AI: Chat interface which uses micro-agentic structure for fetching interface and information from general categorised data
3. Billing / PO / Invoice Intelligence: W. Emails categorise bills against POs and supplier database with price intelligence and approvals
4. Quote Intelligence: Use quoted estimation excel sheets to generate KPIs w. cost centers and data integration for detailed BI for reporting w. Chat and Auto-Intelligence
5. Timesheet Planning: Calendar resource planning with AI interface for logging and reminders, alongside capacity intelligence

Discovering multi-agentic AI retrieval and automation for sub-contractors

For the selected income period, how much was spent on feedstock inputs?

Enter 0 if there is no spend related to feedstock inputs.

AUD 0.00

For the selected income period, where in Australia did the company conduct most of the R&D activities in this project?

Select the Australian postcode where most of the R&D activities in this project were conducted.

3134

Which field of research best describes the majority of R&D activities in this project?

ANZSRC Division

46 Information and Computing Sciences

ANZSRC Group

4602 Artificial intelligence

Core R&D activity - Email and Document Context Intelligence and Sub-Module Creation: Bills, Timesheets, BI and Information (PQYAQTS17)

You must conduct or plan to conduct, at least one eligible core R&D activity to register for the R&D Tax Incentive.

Section 355-25(1) of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997, the law that applies to the program, states:

Core R&D activities are experimental activities:

(a) whose outcome cannot be known or determined in advance on the basis of current knowledge, information or experience, but can only be determined by applying a systematic progression of work that:

(i) is based on the principles of established science; and

(ii) proceeds from hypothesis to experiment, observation and evaluation, and leads to logical conclusions; and

(b) that are conducted for the purpose of generating new knowledge (including new knowledge in the form of new or improved materials, products, devices, processes or services)

For further information about core activities read the [R&D Tax Incentive Guide to Interpretation](#).

Name for this core activity

If you have registered this core activity before please use the same name.

Email and Document Context Intelligence and Sub-Module Creation: Bills, Timesheets, BI and Information

Which project is this core activity related to?

Select the project that this core activity relates to.

AI-Driven Labor Efficiency and Quoting Analysis System

Does this core activity commence after the end of your income period for this application?

Where a core activity is planned to occur in a future income year, you will need to provide the title of the core R&D activity, its start and end date, a brief description of the activity, and the new knowledge the activity is intended to create.

Yes

No

Enter the start and end dates for this core activity

The start and end dates for the core activity must fall within the dates specified for the related project.

Sep 2022 to May 2026

For your selected income period, what was the estimated expenditure for this core activity?

Enter a reasonable estimate of the expenditure on this core R&D activity for the income year of registration. This should include expenditure on the activity conducted by the company, and contracted expenditure to Research Service Providers or Cooperative Research Centres (if any).

AUD 395,370.00

What was the hypothesis?

Enter a maximum of 4000 characters.

We hypothesise that a hybrid rule-based and generative AI system, with a continuous growth of general

knowledge intelligence specific to company, and sub-categorised query agentic logic, integrated with emails, documents, timesheets, and other ERP or manual quote data, can consistently achieve automation in cost categorisation to quotation tasks, resource capacity planning efficiency gains of upto 10-20%, allow +/-10% capacity planning forecasts with estimated pipeline within a 1 week horizon, and automate bill and cost intelligence within 80% of the scenarios not requiring human loop, alongside request for timesheets and verifications. Specifically a latency below 500 ms.

The hypothesis for this project can be divided as following, with an interconnected primary hypothesis formed by these sub-hypothesis:

H1: Using Emails and Documentation Directory we can train a general Context Library with the approach of creating "Context Bubbles" and associated directory nodes with a merging of General AI Search and Elastic Search approaches to efficiently create an evolving library specific to company / organisation which acts as the intelligence basis, with our approach we aim to have the Memory Fetch through AI be efficient with less than 0.5 second on normal server capacity, where we are testing on training with 100's of documents and 10k+ emails.

H2: Sub-Trained AI can efficiently use generative AI fine tuning and blueprint structures to read and store bills in database and also approve it with: Reconciliation with generative models against PO's blended into a rule-based semantic match for efficiency, be able to categorise against suppliers and use generative to accurately allocate information, i.e. is it a general aluminium works sub-contract, or a materials, and if materials then query price prior trends and provide general market price guidance to raise flags on supplier pricings -> Same logic when generating PO's / Quote Requests from Suppliers

H3: Expert Model Agentic AI Logic can be used to create Timesheets, inclusive of reminder w. calendar and integrate it into complete database with agents that create BI reports, updating BI Dashboards on cost center analysis with Bills paid vs. Quoted Budget, resources utilised vs labour hours quotes. Focusing upon data accuracy in Materials, Sub-Contractors and Labour estimation inputs, to use generative API layers with call efficiency through targeted Expert Modelling for Emails and Database, alongside general knowledge creation.

The research design for this project is to achieve accurate "Context" generation from emails and documents in a company, and use this knowledge tree for specific tasks focused upon Labour and Cost Planning with Quotation (Estimation Comparison). For context: Construction estimations have cost centers such as Equipment Hire and Labour On-Site and Labour In-Factory which add up to create a revenue line item against a material / scope takeoff. Providing cost intelligence against this is critical metric which most ERPs in-market struggle with producing. We are aiming to create sub-module logic in AI to solve Cost Data Problem, and Quote Reconciliation and then eventually merge it with general intelligence context, to retrieve and conduct specialised tasks, with a mix of agentic and input-output threading. Focusing upon rule-based AI utilisation in Sub-Contractors within construction.

H1, H2, and H3 are connected into a single AI System Research as they work in conjunction and need to be proved or an alternative proposed to reach objective. The approach is currently to design -> test and refine as this is a new field for industrialising generative AI model research and refinement of multi-system rules, current limitations lie in Context Mapping for "Window" of token feed and fine tuning with localised model deployment.

Did you conduct this core activity for a substantial purpose of generating new knowledge?

- Yes
 No

What new knowledge was this core activity intended to produce?

Enter a maximum of 1000 characters.

Your description should include sufficient and relevant detail so that the Department can understand the new knowledge the core activity was intended to generate.

The new knowledge intended is to develop a multi-model ensemble approach to using generative AIs in sub-contracting companies with Automation through database, documentation and email intelligence, especially focusing upon efficiency of data structures and categorisation with accuracy on numerical data. The knowledge focuses upon Quotation to Invoice with Billing and Timesheet Cost modelling. This will allow labour-hour precision

forecast and prediction, along with addressing gaps in real-time analysis of Labor utilisation and intangible costs, providing intelligent category mapping with generative data updates to traditional data structures, simplifying decision making.

In AI Research we will be focusing upon Pre-Print validation on:

1. Document and Email storage and directory automation for Intelligent Memory in existing Construction Companies
2. Fine-Tuning and Chain of Thought required for: Billing, Labour Timesheets and Dynamic Reporting BIs w. Quote Analysis

How did the company determine that the outcome could not be known in advance?

Select all options that apply.

- There was no applicable information in scientific, technical, or professional literature or patents
- Experts in the field provided advice that there wasn't a solution that could be applied
- There wasn't a way to adapt solutions from other companies in, and out of, Australia
- Other
- The company did not look into existing knowledge

Please explain what sources were investigated, what information was found, and why a competent professional could not have known or determined the outcome in advance.

Enter a maximum of 1000 characters.

1. Literature Review: There are 3 core pre-prints already drafted as part of our research, there was a thorough literature study on FY24 publications and prior, to see existing knowledge, there is no existing system which can do this, general intelligence models with training such as Deepseek / OpenAI are new to market, and thus cannot be threaded together to conduct this, we are uncertain whether the experiment will work, and will pivot as we did from last year based on results of experimentation
2. In-depth market research on existing and new solutions such as: Odoo, Microsoft ERP, Procore, Deputy and approach experts and researchers

It is clear that the design of AI system is based on single-model approach and agentic models don't fine tune and ensemble ML and Semantic layers, to further augment structures creating a limitation on company data utilisation, thus research is required specifically on Information Retrieval and Construction Sub-Contractor Planning System

What was the experiment and how did it test the hypothesis?

Enter a maximum of 4000 characters.

The experiment evaluates whether a hybrid rule-based and generative AI platform targeting specific outcomes in automation and information retrieval / storage can perform labour-hour capture with accurate resource planning and response request process, billing / cost-categorisation and real-time business intelligence and cost-centre categorisation for construction sub-contracting companies. With the primary focus upon cost utilisation by generative models deployed on server and the information storage and retrieval approach to sustain accuracy of 100% through intelligent database updates with generative layer by providing "Framework" though chains for sub-tasks standardised in outputs.

Pilot: Three independent sub-contracting firms with a combined annual turnover of \$18m are selected as live testbeds, each have different ERPs and integration systems such as Xero, Deputy and provides three unique data inflows and email usage alongside document storage framework. This allows testing of the AI to directly read and interpret these data without manual mapping of chat of accounts and other such structures to automate and provide categorised and structured data from data inflow and ingestion.

System Architecture: Data ingestion to be converted into a data graphs and data table updates through agentic logic such as Is Email? Document? If PDF then convert into text and interpret images. If Bill through email feed on categorisation then tag bill, and change bill into a bill database format, and find if bill has PO match through search approaches and final generative approach with semantic matches. Architecture also focuses on human in the loop interface to handle "Uncertainties" or missing information requests with adaptive database creation requests in the HIL.

Test procedures: Baseline capture, entry errors and BI data manual vs automation error captures. The project also looks into the iterative potential with HIL to avoid errors, alongside following expert model testing, for each sub-model, including efficiency testing on retrievals by fine tuning localised generative refinements and reducing generative model multi-layering with pre-fixed "Traditional" API structuring for input output response testing.

This experiment aims to continuously refine the chain of though and rules created in utilising existing AI models in conjunction with traditional approaches. The progressive A/B methods for manual workflow structuring also provides controlled baselines, for systematic progression requirements.

It is unknown whether our approach can beat the documented 1.5 s p95 latency and 80 % F1 ceiling, further to this it is unkown whether multi-agentic logic with so many rules and sub-AI fine tuning will be able to function as is in terms of accuracy targets on information being 100% due to billing which is the first module tested alongside BI categorisation. To test this we are specifically doing live testing and manual reviews in Xero and other existing systems including timesheet data for accuracy benchmarking. If there is a fail i.e. AI needs to always raise a flag and put any uncertainty to Human Loop.

How did you evaluate or plan to evaluate results from your experiment?

Enter a maximum of 4000 characters.

Specific Evaluations:

1. Context Storage and Retrieval efficiency on each sub-query and utilisation of CPU and GPU / Server with AWS data monitoring on queries by structuring it into Django based modular API structure and query
2. Manual quotation, timesheet and information analysis: Manually review each data point on projects by taking 20 active projects form each company to do a running live experiment on to ensure data captures are accurate and if a decision cannot be made by AI or something is wrong this is captured in HIP
3. Line item

Numeric Metrics:

1. % of accuracy in each data table appending and updates such as Billing, Timesheet, Reporting on Labour Cost Centre Table and more
2. Accuracy leak rate where manual input is prompted, and how many times this is prompted and can be avoided
3. Cost utilisation on entire system query chain, through API logging with manual evaluation per query with defined ROI and Billing Commercialisation considerations, for timesheet queries for example the cost should be below \$0.005 / query chain / project. For data storage each email and document of 20 pages, the categorisation query should be a fixed \$0.001 or less in cost utilisation of logging.
4. Category Accuracy: How AI subjectively categorises and groups information for specific tasks such as billing or projects and manually reviewing it to reflect on the fine tuning of chain of though and individual models in the rule-based CoT structure

P-Tests are not a viable way to tese these, thus instead of using confidence interval approach, a analytic approach based on exploring "Useability" and "Accuracy" viability for daily use with existing systems is explored, this is further highlighted in tailor modules to be independent in function where billing module is the first module refined and is currently being tested in Xero and automatic maps of keys with generative model fine tuning

If you reached conclusions from your experiments in the selected income period, describe those conclusions.

Enter a maximum of 4000 characters.

Primary Conclusions:

1. Sub-Agentic AI models need to be single LLM chains for specific task structuring for accurate database update, specifically tested on billing email update, the database tagging needs to be pre-tagged manually on categorisation clusters, where a context column is also created in the SQL schema for each table for AI context node (Simplified: Logic needs to be chained in 1. Emails are Categorised by AI as: IS Bill? IS PO? IS Quote from Sub-Contractor? Then if it IS Bill? It needs to be allocated in a schema which is set in SQL instead of a general context graph, this schema is updated, and then goes into next step logic has PO, then the next step logic of has Quote to PO, which is in PO table segmentation, when updating table it goes through PDF to OCR conversion format for text, and this is then fed into a "Complete" token for OpenAI model to augment with blueprint prompt for schema structure and categorisation map is done from another agent which only categorises, this increases speed. Further to this, the Approval is based upon PO, and then there is a project categorisation structure. The

primary conclusion being to sub-string A->B instead of general graph memory, and have hard table schema which AI creates a blueprint guided SQL command to update.

2. General Memory Tree does not meet retrieval on the documentation amounts, currently researching ways in which we can use context vectors and node relations which are automatically fed and structured for solving the retrieval efficiency problem as general AI tokens are limited, thus sub-LLM models are currently being considered to act as "Navigation" agents with data structure going into nodal depth mapping (Graphs)

3. The agentic logic can be rapidly Frameworked on query guidance to start testing immediately, the focus should be on BI to be able to verify experiment results BI focus should be on cost P&L which uses quoted sub-categories such as Labour, Materials and such and generates against invoiced and other data, including timesheet and billing reports on gross margin allocated for projects

4. Chat interface prompting timesheet needs to be sub-segmented into manual calendar planning / and specific gap logic where Agentic Validators are hardcoded to guideline query sequence

5. Logging query sequence in a graphical dashboard allows for rapid Human Loop optimisation, as agentic logic is exploding to be a complex formation of loops

API refinement for sub-step will be critical to scaling and actual functional systems due to requirements of creating multiple rules with similar input-output building

Further research is required in:

1. How information is effectively stored, currently to solve specific problems and make it useable we are focused upon hard database schema with SQL in conjunction with general context graph, this SQL database has multi-step tables and update process
2. There is research required on ensuring APIs are developed for each sub-step where blueprint feed can be self-refined through feedback on new agentic self-creation through general model APIs
3. We need to establish a fixed schema for Sub-Contractor Directory Structure for information organisation, and Project Retrieval Diaries are an approach proposed to segment information by projects and operations

What evidence did the company keep about this core activity?

Select all that apply.

- Evidence of searches or enquiries you made to find current knowledge
- Evidence to show that you could only determine the outcome of the core activity by conducting experiments as part of a systematic progression of work
- Evidence of your hypothesis and design of your experiments
- Documented results and evaluation of your experiments
- Other
- The company did not keep records

Please describe the other evidence.

Enter a maximum of 100 characters.

Your description should include sufficient and relevant details to describe the nature of the evidence.

Pre-Print, Logs, Github, Update Register in Notion, Research Notes (Detailed 100+ Pages)

Declare and submit

Privacy collection statement

The Department of Industry, Science and Resources (Department) is bound by the Australian Privacy Principles (APPs) outlined in Schedule 1 of the Privacy Act 1988 (Cth) (Privacy Act) which regulates how entities may collect, use, disclose and store personal information.

The Department will collect from all application forms, personal information including the name, address, email address and telephone numbers of companies applying for the R&D Tax Incentive programme and also the named contact people for these companies, for the purposes of carrying out its functions including registering, identifying and contacting the applicants. This information may also be disclosed to and accessed by Departmental staff within the Department for the purposes of administering the R&D Tax Incentive, evaluating and improving the efficient administration of the programme, informing policy development and decision-making, as well as to contact R&D Tax Incentive programme participants to notify the company or business of other similar programmes or services.

Personal information obtained will be stored and held in accordance with the Department's obligations under the Archives Act 1983 (Cth) and will

only be used and disclosed for the purposes outlined and will not be disclosed without your consent, except where authorised or required by law. For further information, please refer to the Department's Privacy Policy which can be found at: <http://www.industry.gov.au/Pages/PrivacyPolicy.aspx>

Declaration and submit application

I declare that:

- I have the authorisation to lodge this application for the R&D entity;
- to the best of my knowledge and belief the information in this application is true and correct and accurate in all material details, and that the activities and corresponding expenditure described in this application meet all prescribed eligibility requirements for the R&D Tax Incentive. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence;
- the R&D entity, while undertaking the activities described in this application, has maintained records, while the activities were conducted, that substantiate the conducting of the activities to be registered for the R&D Tax Incentive; and
- the R&D entity will provide further information as requested by the Department or Innovation Science Australia to support my registration in the future, and the R&D entity will do so in a reasonable amount of time after receiving a request.

I acknowledge that:

- Australian Government entities will securely share data to improve efficiencies and inform policy development and decision-making. In doing so, Australian Government entities will uphold the highest standards of security and privacy for the individual, national security and commercial confidentiality. For more information on the Australian Government's Public Data Policy and the commitment to use Public Data to help grow the economy, stimulate innovation and improve service delivery across Government, please visit: <https://www.finance.gov.au/government/public-data>;
- the application will be treated as a confidential Commonwealth record and information in the application will not be disclosed to any other person (unless required or permitted by law to do so);
- it is an offence (subject to a civil penalty) for a person to provide a service that is a 'tax agent service', where that person is not a registered tax agent (refer section 50-5 of Tax Agent Services Act 2009), other than where the service is a legal service in some circumstances.

Declarant details

The declarant details need to be completed and saved before you can submit your application.

Title (optional)

Mr

First name

Gary

Last name

Mcmahon

Position or role

CEO

Phone number

For phone numbers outside of Australia, please include the international code (e.g. +64 X XXXX XXXX).

0419546264

Email

To ensure the integrity of your information, please provide a personal email address. Do not use a generic email address. Using a generic email address may result in correspondence not being received.

gary.mcmahon@bravada.com.au

Company ABN

To find your company ABN visit the [ABN Lookup](#) website to search by name or ABN. The ABN is part of the result if the business is registered.

Company name

BRAVADA GROUP PTY LTD

Australian Business Number (ABN)

58167664815

Australian Company Number (ACN)

167664815

Registration Date

22/01/2014

Potential risks

The following issues have been identified for your application. Please review the following guidance and address any issues as required. You can submit your application by acknowledging that you have considered the guidance to ensure you have correctly assessed your claim as eligible.

I acknowledge I am aware of the potential risks

There are Tax Payer alerts and / or specific guidance relevant to your company's primary industry of operation. Please confirm that you have considered the following guidance to ensure you have correctly assessed your claim as eligible.

ANZSIC Division

E - CONSTRUCTION

Before continuing, please consider the [tax payer alert](#) for claiming the R&D Tax Incentive for construction activities relevant to the ANZSIC Division/Class selected for the industry the company mostly operates in.

ANZSIC Class

3299 Other Construction Services n.e.c.

Before continuing, please consider the [built environment sector guide](#) for the R&D Tax Incentive relevant to the ANZSIC Division/Class selected for the industry the company mostly operates in.

- I acknowledge that I have reviewed and understood the Tax Payer alerts and / or BGA guidance that are relevant to my company's primary industry of operation.

Acknowledged by:

Gary James McMahon

Employer ABN

58167664815