# R Notebook

## Vasumathi Narayanan

# Question 1 Answer

Data Loss Situation: Suppose, lets say there are couple of transactions (say 10 transactions) happened to transfer amount from one account to the other. These transactions are happening on Account and Transac-

#### **Account Table**

Account Number	Customer Name	Balance
1001	John Smith	\$500
1002	Jane Doe	\$1000
1003	Bob Johnson	\$250

### Transaction Table:

Transaction ID	Date/Time	Type	Amount	Account Number
1	2023-03-20 10:00:00	Credit	\$100	1001
2	2023-03-20 11:00:00	Debit	\$50	1001
3	2023-03-20 12:00:00	Credit	\$200	1002
4	2023-03-20 13:00:00	Debit	\$75	1003
5	2023-03-20 14:00:00	Debit	\$100	1001
6	2023-03-20 15:00:00	Credit	\$50	1002
7	2023-03-20 16:00:00	Debit	\$25	1001
8	2023-03-20 17:00:00	Credit	\$75	1003
9	2023-03-20 18:00:00	Debit	\$200	1002
10	2023-03-20 19:00:00	Credit	\$100	1001

tion Tables.

Now let's assume that a system failure occurs after transaction ID 7 has been committed, but before transaction ID 8 could be committed. Here's how we would apply the ARIES recovery algorithm to restore

the database to a consistent state: Analysis Phase: The analysis phase determines which transactions were committed before the system failure and which transactions were in progress but not yet committed. In this case, transactions 1 through 7 were committed, and transactions 8 through 10 were in progress but not yet committed. Redo Phase: In the redo phase, we apply all committed transactions to the database. This involves replaying the changes made by each transaction. For example, transaction 1 added \$100 to account 1001, so we would update the account balance to \$600. Undo Phase: In the undo phase, we undo any uncommitted transactions that were in progress at the time of the system failure. This involves reversing the changes made by each transaction. For example, transaction 8 subtracted \$75 from account 1003, so we would add \$75 back to the account balance. Redo Phase (again): In the redo phase (again), we reapply any transactions that were partially undone in the previous phase. This is necessary because some transactions may have been only partially undone before the system failure occurred. In this case, no partial undos were necessary.

Here's an example of how the log table might look like in the banking scenario with sample entries we discussed earlier, assuming that the database uses the ARIES recovery algorithm and a write-ahead logging:

LSN	Transaction ID	Туре	PrevLSN	Page/Record	UndoNextLSN	RedoNextLSN
1	1	Begin	-	-	-	2
2	1	Update	-	1001	-	3
3	1	Commit	2	-	-	-
4	2	Begin	-	-	-	5
5	2	Update	-	1001	2	6
6	2	Commit	5	-	-	-
7	3	Begin	-	-	-	8
8	3	Update	-	1002	-	9
9	3	Commit	8	-	-	-
10	4	Begin	-	-	-	11
11	4	Update	-	1003	-	12
12	4	Abort	11	-	-	-
13	5	Begin	-	-	-	14
14	5	Update	-	1001	2	15
15	5	Abort	14	-	-	-
16	6	Begin	-	-	-	17
17	6	Update	-	1002	-	18
18	6	Abort	17	-	-	-
19	7	Begin	-	-	-	20
20	7	Update	-	1001	2	21
21	7	Abort	20	-	-	-
22	8	Begin	-	-	-	23
23	8	Update	-	1003	11	24
24	8	Abort	23	-	-	-

In this scenario, transactions 4, 5, 6, and 7 have failed and been aborted, so the log records for those transactions have an "Abort" operation type instead of "Commit". The UndoNextLSN column points to the next log record that needs to be undone during the undo phase of the recovery process. For example, for Transaction 1, the UndoNextLSN is 2, which means that the log record with LSN 2 needs to be undone first during the undo phase. The RedoNextLSN column points to the next log record that needs to be redone during the redo phase of the recovery process. For Transaction 1, the RedoNextLSN is 3, which means that the log record with LSN 3 needs to be redone first during the redo phase. During the recovery process, the system would start with the last checkpoint and scan the log backwards, undoing the changes made by the failed transactions by following the UndoNextLSN pointers. Once the undo phase is complete, the system would then scan the log forwards, redoing any changes that were made by the successful transactions but not yet written to disk, by following the RedoNextLSN pointers. Once the redo phase is complete, the system would then bring the database back to a consistent state and allow normal transactions to resume.

## Question 2 Answer

#### **Database Connection**

```
library(RSQLite)
# Connect to Sakila database
con <- dbConnect(RSQLite::SQLite(), dbname = "sakila.db")</pre>
```

## Drop and Create Journal Table to track Transactions

```
dbExecute(con, "DROP TABLE IF EXISTS journal;")

## [1] 0

dbExecute(con, "CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS journal
  (transaction_id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY, table_name TEXT, row_id INTEGER,
  old_value TEXT, new_value TEXT, timestamp DATETIME)")
```

### Transaction 1

```
#Begin the transaction
dbExecute(con, "BEGIN TRANSACTION")
```

**##** [1] 0

## [1] 0

Values before update to payment and address table

```
SELECT amount from payment WHERE customer_id = 130 and rental_id=1;
```

Table 1: 1 records

 $\frac{\text{amount}}{6.99}$ 

```
SELECT phone from address WHERE address_id = 3;
```

Table 2: 1 records

phone 1873343014

### **Updates for Transaction 1**

dbExecute(con, "INSERT INTO journal

Changing Amount to 6.99 from 2.99 in payment table Changing phone number from 14033335568 to 1873343014 Also insert the same in journal Table. ### Transaction 1 successful- so commit Committing the transactions to the table permanently as the transaction was successful. To Test the transaction, txnFailed this flag is used

```
# Update customer payment information and track in journal
txnFailed<- FALSE
ps<-dbSendStatement(con, "UPDATE payment</pre>
          SET amount = 6.99
          WHERE customer_id = 130 AND rental_id=1 ;")
if (dbGetRowsAffected(ps) < 1)</pre>
    txnFailed = TRUE
  dbClearResult(ps)
ps<-dbSendStatement(con, "UPDATE address</pre>
          SET phone = '1873343014'
          WHERE address_id = 3")
if (dbGetRowsAffected(ps) < 1)</pre>
    txnFailed = TRUE
  dbClearResult(ps)
dbExecute(con, "INSERT INTO journal
          (table_name, row_id, old_value, new_value, timestamp)
          VALUES ('payment', 1, '2.99', '6.99', datetime('now'));")
## [1] 1
```

## [1] 1

VALUES ('address', 1, '14033335568', '1873343014', datetime('now'));")

(table\_name, row\_id, old\_value, new\_value, timestamp)

```
if (!txnFailed) {
  print("Transaction was successful")
  dbExecute(con, "COMMIT TRANSACTION")

} else {
  print("Transaction failed")
  dbRollback(con)
}

## [1] "Transaction was successful"

## [1] 0
```

Updates have happened properly as the query got executed without any error Values after update to payment and address table

```
SELECT amount from payment WHERE customer_id = 130 and rental_id=1;
```

Table 3: 1 records

 $\frac{\text{amount}}{6.99}$ 

```
SELECT phone from address WHERE address_id = 3;
```

Table 4: 1 records

phone 1873343014

We can also see the Journal was updated properly with the respective changes.

```
# Select journal entries for the transaction
journal_results <- dbGetQuery(con, "SELECT * FROM journal")
# Print journal entries
print(journal_results)</pre>
```

```
## transaction_id table_name row_id old_value new_value timestamp
## 1 1 payment 1 2.99 6.99 2023-03-29 02:13:14
## 2 2 address 1 14033335568 1873343014 2023-03-29 02:13:14
```

## Transaction 2

Begin New Transaction to demonstrate RollBack during transaction failure

```
#Begin the transaction
dbExecute(con, "BEGIN TRANSACTION")
```

## [1] 0

## Updates for Transaction 2

Update the payment from 6.99 to 100

Check if updates happened

```
select amount from payment where customer_id = 130 AND rental_id=1;
```

Table 5: 1 records

 $\frac{\text{amount}}{100}$ 

Check if Journal Table has been inserted with tranaction\_id 3

```
# Select journal entries for the failed transaction
journal_results <- dbGetQuery(con, "SELECT * FROM journal")

# Print journal entries
print(journal_results)</pre>
```

```
##
     transaction_id table_name row_id
                                        old_value new_value
                                                                       timestamp
## 1
                 1
                       payment
                                             2.99
                                                        6.99 2023-03-29 02:13:14
                                    1
## 2
                  2
                       address
                                    1 14033335568 1873343014 2023-03-29 02:13:14
                  3
## 3
                       payment
                                             6.99
                                                         100 2023-03-29 02:13:14
```

Trying to update address\_id for 7777 which is not there in the table. So this will not return any rows. Hence this transaction should fail.

commets [1] 1

### Transaction 2 unsuccessful- so rollback

Now we have to rollback this transaction as the previous update in payments table should not be committed to the db. Check if the transaction was successful, and the amount should still be 6.99 as we rolled back so it should ideally say Transaction Failed

```
# Test the transaction
# Select payment information
if (!txnFailed) {
    print("Transaction was successful")
    dbExecute(con, "COMMIT TRANSACTION")
} else {
    print("Transaction failed")
    dbRollback(con)
}
```

## [1] "Transaction failed"

Check if rollback has happened, Amount should be 6.99

```
select amount from payment where customer_id = 130 AND rental_id=1;
```

Table 6: 1 records

amount 6.99

Also check no inserts happened to journal Table (ie) transaction\_id 3 should have also been roll backed.

```
# Select journal entries for the failed transaction
journal_results <- dbGetQuery(con, "SELECT * FROM journal")

# Print journal entries
print(journal_results)</pre>
```

# Closing the DB

# Close connection

dbDisconnect(con)