CMPT 409/981: Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 18

Sharan Vaswani

November 17, 2022

Recap

Adam:
$$w_{k+1} = \prod_{k=0}^{c} [w_k - \eta_k A_k^{-1} m_k]$$
; $m_k = \beta m_{k-1} + (1 - \beta) \nabla f_k(w_k)$.
 $G_k = (1 - \beta_2) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_2^{k-i} [\nabla f_i(w_i) \nabla f_i(w_i)^{\mathsf{T}}]$ and $m_k = (1 - \beta_1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_1^{k-i} [\nabla f_i(w_i)]$.

Adam does not guarantee that $A_k \succeq A_{k-1}$ for all k. There are simple counter-examples that exploit this and can result in the non-convergence of Adam.

1

AMSGrad – fixing the convergence of Adam

AMSGrad [RKK19] fixes the non-convergence of Adam by making a small modification (in red) to Adam. It has the following update – for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 1)$,

$$G_{k} = \beta_{2} G_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_{2}) \operatorname{diag} \left[\nabla f_{k}(w_{k}) \nabla f_{k}(w_{k})^{\mathsf{T}} \right] ; \quad A_{k} = \max \{ G_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}, A_{k-1} \}$$

$$w_{k+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{k} [w_{k} - \eta_{k} A_{k}^{-1} m_{k}]; \quad m_{k} = \beta_{1} m_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_{1}) \nabla f_{k}(w_{k})$$

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{k} [v_{k+1}] := \underset{w \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \frac{1}{2} \| w - v_{k+1} \|_{A_{k}}^{2} ,$$

where $C = \max\{A, B\}$ for diagonal matrices A and B implies that for all $i \in [d]$, $C_{i,i} = \max\{A_{i,i}, B_{i,i}\}$.

The AMSGrad update ensures that $A_k \succeq A_{k-1}$ and hence the step-sizes η_k are non-increasing, which guarantees convergence.

Convergence of AMSGrad

For a sequence of convex, G-Lipschitz functions,

- [RKK19] prove an $O(D^2 Gd \sqrt{T})$ regret bound for AMSGrad. The proof requires $\eta_k = O(1/\sqrt{k})$ and $\beta_1 = O(\exp(-t))$ (decreasing step-size and momentum).
- [AMMC20] prove the same regret guarantee with a decreasing step-size, but constant β_1 .

Since AMSGrad is typically used with a constant step-size and momentum term, $[VLK^+20]$ analyze the convergence of this variant of AMSGrad for smooth, convex functions. For this analysis, we will make the following simplifying assumptions,

- Bounded eigenvalues: The eigenvalues of A_k are bounded for all iterations, i.e. for all k, there exists constants a_{\min} , $a_{\max} > 0$ such that $a_{\min} I_d \leq A_k \leq a_{\max} I_d$. This condition can be algorithmically ensured for the diagonal preconditioner.
- Near-interpolation: There exists a $\zeta < \infty$ such that $\zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) f_i^*]$ is small.
- Bounded iterates: The domain is unconstrained i.e. $C = \mathbb{R}^d$ but the iterates remain bounded in a set of diameter D, i.e. for all k, $||w_k w^*||^2 \le D^2$.

Let us prove the convergence of AMSGrad when minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions. As a warm-up, let us first analyze the case where $\beta_1 = 0$.

Claim: For minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions, assuming that for all $k \in [T]$, $\|w_k - w^*\|^2 \le D^2$, $a_{\min}I_d \le A_k \le a_{\max}I_d$, T iterations of the AMSGrad update with $\eta = \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$, $\beta_1 = 0$ returns an iterate $\bar{w} = \sum_{k=1}^T w_k / \tau$ such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 \, 2dL \, a_{\mathsf{max}}}{a_{\mathsf{min}} \, T} + \zeta^2 \quad \mathsf{where} \quad \zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) - f_i^*].$$

Proof: Define $P_k := \frac{A_k}{\eta}$. Starting from the update, $v_{k+1} = w_k - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$ and using the same steps as the AdaGrad proof,

$$v_{k+1} - w^* = w_k - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - w^* \implies P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] = P_k[w_k - w^*] - \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$$

$$\implies [v_{k+1} - w^*]^\mathsf{T} P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] = [w_k - w^* - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]^\mathsf{T} [P_k[w_k - w^*] - \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]$$

$$\|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + [P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]^\mathsf{T} [\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]$$

$$\implies \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$

Recall that
$$\|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$
. Since $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^d$, $w_{k+1} = v_{k+1}$,
$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \frac{\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{A_k}^2}{n} = \frac{\|\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[v_{k+1}] - \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}[w^*]\|_{A_k}^2}{n} \leq \frac{\|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{A_k}^2}{n} = \|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2$$

$$|w_{k+1} - w^*|_{P_k}^2 = \frac{w_{k+1} - w^*}{\eta} = \frac{w_{k+1} - w^*}{\eta} \le \frac{w_{k+1} - w^*}{\eta} \le \frac{w_{k+1} - w^*}{\eta} = \|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2$$

$$\implies \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 \le \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2$$

$$f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \le \frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2 \qquad \text{(Convexity of } f_{ik})$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(w)) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(w)\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\right\|_{P_k^{-\mathbf{1}}}^2 \leq \frac{\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\right\|^2\right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E}\left[f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}^*\right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{2L\eta \, \zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{L\eta\zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

Recall that
$$\mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{L\eta\zeta^2}{a_{\min}}.$$

Setting $\eta = \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$ and rearranging,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] + \zeta^2$$

Taking expectation w.r.t the randomness in iterations k = 1 to T and summing,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] + \zeta^2 T$$

Dividing by T, using Jensen's inequality on the LHS and the definition of \bar{w}_T

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_{T}) - f(w^{*})] \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k+1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2}\right]}{T} + \zeta^{2}$$

Recall that
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right]}{T} + \zeta^2$$
.

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=2}^T \left[(w_k - w^*)^T [P_k - P_{k-1}](w_k - w^*)] + \|w_1 - u\|_{P_1}^2 - \|w_{T+1} - u\|_{P_T}^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^T \|w_k - w^*\|^2 \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2 \leq \sum_{k=2}^T D^2 \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - u\|_{P_1}^2$$

$$(\text{Since } A_{k-1} \leq A_k, P_{k-1} \leq P_k, \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] \geq 0 \text{ and } \|w_k - u\|^2 \leq D)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] \leq D^2 \sum_{k=2}^T \text{Tr}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - u\|_{P_1}^2 \leq D^2 \text{Tr}[P_T]$$

$$(\text{By linearity of trace, and bounding } \|w_1 - u\|_{P_1}^2 \leq D^2 \text{Tr}[P_1])$$

Recall that
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 \operatorname{Tr}[P_T]}{T} + \zeta^2$$
.

$$D^2 \operatorname{Tr}[P_T] \leq \frac{D^2}{\eta} \operatorname{Tr}[A_T] = \frac{D^2 2L \operatorname{Tr}[A_T]}{a_{\min}} \leq \frac{D^2 2L d \lambda_{\max}[A_T]}{a_{\min}} \leq \frac{D^2 2L d a_{\max}}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 2dL a_{\max}}{a_{\min}} + \zeta^2$$

When minimizing smooth, convex functions, AMSGrad with a constant step-size without momentum will converge to a neighbourhood of the solution at an O(1/T) rate. Similar to SGD, this neighbourhood depends on ζ , the extent to which interpolation is violated.

Next, we will consider the $\beta_1 \neq 0$ case and prove a similar convergence result for constant step-size AMSGrad.



Claim: For minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions, T iterations of the AMSGrad update such that $a_{\min}I_d \leq A_k \leq a_{\max}I_d$, with $\eta = \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$, $\beta_1 = \text{returns}$ an iterate $\bar{w} = \sum_{k=1}^T w_k / T$ such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{D^2 \, 2dL \, \mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{max}}}{\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{min}} \, T} + \zeta^2 \quad \mathsf{where} \quad \zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) - f_i^*].$$

Proof: Proceeding similar to the case for $\beta_1 = 0$, define $P_k := \frac{A_k}{\eta}$ and $\beta := \beta_1$. Starting from the update, $v_{k+1} = w_k - P_k^{-1} m_k$ where $m_k = \beta m_{k-1} + (1-\beta) \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$.

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1} - w^* &= w_k - P_k^{-1} m_k - w^* \implies P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] = P_k[w_k - w^*] - m_k \\ [v_{k+1} - w^*]^\mathsf{T} P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] &= [w_k - w^* - P_k^{-1} m_k]^\mathsf{T} \left[P_k[w_k - w^*] - m_k \right] \\ \|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 &= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle m_k, w_k - w^* \rangle + [P_k^{-1} m_k]^\mathsf{T} [m_k] \\ \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 &= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \rangle - 2\beta \langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 . \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{Since } \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^d, \ w_{k+1} = v_{k+1})$$

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1-\beta) \langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \rangle - 2\beta \langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2.$$
 To simplify the $\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle$ term, we will prove the following lemma: for any set of vectors a, b, c, d , if $a = b + c$, then, $-2\langle c, a - d \rangle = \|b - d\|^2 + \|a - b\|^2 - \|a - d\|^2.$
$$\|a - d\|^2 = \|b + c - d\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle a - b, b - d \rangle + \|a - b\|^2 \quad (a = b + c, c = b - a)$$

$$\|a - d\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle a - b, b - a + a - d \rangle + \|a - b\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle c, a - d \rangle - \|a - b\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow -2\langle c, a - d \rangle = \|b - d\|^2 + \|a - b\|^2 - \|a - d\|^2$$

$$-2\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle = -2\langle w_k - w^*, P_{k-1}(w_k - w_{k-1}) \rangle = -2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w_{k-1}) \rangle$$

$$= -2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*) - P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^*) \rangle$$

$$= -2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*) - P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^*) \rangle$$

$$= -2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*) - P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^*) \rangle$$

$$= -2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*) - P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*) \rangle$$

Using the above lemma with $a = c = P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*)$, b = 0, $d = P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^*)$,

$$-2\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle \le \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2-1} + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2$$
(Since $P_{k-1}(w_k - w_{k-1}) = m_{k-1}$)

Putting everything together,

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \rangle - 2\beta \langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \rangle$$

$$- 2\beta \left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right] + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$

$$= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \left[f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \right] \qquad \text{(By convexity)}$$

$$- 2\beta \left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right] + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(1 - \beta) \left[f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \right]$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 \right]}_{\text{Will telescope}} + \underbrace{\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right]}_{\text{Will telescope}}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left[\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \right]}_{\text{Will handle next}}$$

Let us focus on bounding the $\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$ term.

$$\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2} + \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}$$

$$= \beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2} + (1+\delta) \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} \qquad (\text{For some } \delta > 0)$$

$$= \beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2} + (1+\delta) \|\beta m_{k-1} + (1-\beta)\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2} + (1+\delta) \left[(1+\epsilon)\beta^{2} \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} + (1+1/\epsilon)(1-\beta)^{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} \right] - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}$$
(By Young's inequality: for some $\epsilon > 0$, $(a+b)^{2} = a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} \leq a^{2}(1+\epsilon) + b^{2}(1+1/\epsilon)$)
$$= \left[(\beta + (1+\delta)(1+\epsilon)\beta^{2}) \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} \right] + (1+\delta)(1+1/\epsilon)(1-\beta)^{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}$$

In order to obtain a telescoping sum, we want $\beta + (1 + \delta)(1 + \epsilon)\beta^2 = \delta$. Hence, $\delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2(1+\epsilon)}{1 - (1+\epsilon)\beta^2}$. Since $\delta > 0 \implies \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}$. With these parameter settings,

$$\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \le \delta \left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 - \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \right] + (1+\delta)(1+1/\epsilon)(1-\beta)^2 \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$$

Putting everything together and taking expectation w.r.t randomness at iteration k,

$$\begin{aligned} &2(1-\beta)\,\mathbb{E}[f(w_{k})-f(w^{*})]\\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}\right\|_{P_{k}}^{2}-\left\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}\right\|_{P_{k}}^{2}\right]+\beta\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|w_{k}-w^{*}\right\|_{P_{k}}^{2}-\left\|w_{k-1}-w^{*}\right\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2}\right]\\ &+\delta\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|m_{k-1}\right\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^{2}-\left\|m_{k}\right\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}\right]+(1+\delta)(1+f)(1-\beta)^{2}\,\mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\right\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}\end{aligned}$$

Bounding $\mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2$ using smoothness of f_{ik} ,

$$\mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \leq \frac{\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}^* \right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[f(w_k) - f(w^*) \right] + \frac{2L\eta \zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\begin{split} & \left[\underbrace{2(1-\beta) - (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}}}_{:=\alpha} \right] \mathbb{E}[f(w_{k}) - f(w^{*})] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| w_{k} - w^{*} \right\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \left\| w_{k+1} - w^{*} \right\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| w_{k} - w^{*} \right\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \left\| w_{k-1} - w^{*} \right\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} \right] \\ & + \delta \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| m_{k-1} \right\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} - \left\| m_{k} \right\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} \right] + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta \zeta^{2}}{a_{\min}} \end{split}$$

Taking expectation w.r.t randomness from iterations k = 1 to T and summing,

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_{k}) - f(w^{*})]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[\|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k+1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + \beta \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[\|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k-1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} \right]$$

$$= T_{1}$$

$$= T_{2}$$

$$+ \delta \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} - \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + (1 + \delta)(1 + 1/\epsilon)(1 - \beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta \zeta^{2} T}{a_{\min}}$$

As before,
$$T_1 \leq \frac{D^2}{n} \operatorname{Tr}[A_T] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}}{n}$$
. $T_2 = \frac{1}{n} \|w_T - w^*\|_{A_T}^2 \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}}{n}$. $T_3 = \frac{1}{n} \|m_0\|_{A_0}^2 = 0$.

$$\implies \alpha \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\mathsf{max}} (1+\beta)}{\eta} + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^2 \frac{2L\eta \zeta^2 T}{a_{\mathsf{min}}}$$

Recall that
$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d \, a_{\text{max}}(1+\beta)}{\eta} + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta \, \zeta^2 \, T}{a_{\text{min}}}$$
. Here, $\delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2 (1+\epsilon)}{1 - (1+\epsilon)\beta^2}$, $\beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}$ and $\alpha = 2(1-\beta) - (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, setting
$$\beta = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}} \implies \delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2 \, \frac{1}{\beta}}{1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\beta^2} = \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$$

$$\alpha = 2(1-\beta) - \left(1 + \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \, (1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}} = 2(1-\beta) - (1+\beta) \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}}$$

For $\alpha > 0$, we want that $\eta < \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{a_{\min}}{L}$. Setting $\eta = \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$, $\alpha = 1-\beta$. With these settings,

$$\implies \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}(1+\beta)}{\alpha \eta} + \frac{(1-\beta) \zeta^2 T}{\alpha}$$

Dividing by T, using Jensen's inequality on the LHS and using the definition of \bar{w}_T ,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}) - f(w^*)] \le \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{D^2 2dL a_{\mathsf{max}}}{a_{\mathsf{min}}} + \zeta^2$$

When minimizing smooth, convex functions, AMSGrad with a constant step-size will converge to a neighbourhood of the solution at an O(1/T) rate. Similar to SGD, this neighbourhood depends on ζ , the extent to which interpolation is violated.

Since Stochastic Heavy Ball (SHB) is a special case of AMSGrad with $A_k = I_d$, we can prove a similar $O(1/\tau + \zeta^2)$ rate of convergence (Prove in Assignment 4!).



References i

- Ahmet Alacaoglu, Yura Malitsky, Panayotis Mertikopoulos, and Volkan Cevher, *A new regret analysis for adam-type algorithms*, International conference on machine learning, PMLR, 2020, pp. 202–210.
- Sashank J Reddi, Satyen Kale, and Sanjiv Kumar, *On the convergence of adam and beyond*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09237 (2019).
- Sharan Vaswani, Issam H Laradji, Frederik Kunstner, Si Yi Meng, Mark Schmidt, and Simon Lacoste-Julien, Adaptive gradient methods converge faster with over-parameterization (and you can do a line-search).