# CMPT 409/981: Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 18

Sharan Vaswani

November 17, 2022

#### Recap

**Adam**: 
$$w_{k+1} = \prod_{k=0}^{c} [w_k - \eta_k A_k^{-1} m_k]$$
;  $m_k = \beta m_{k-1} + (1 - \beta) \nabla f_k(w_k)$ .  
 $G_k = (1 - \beta_2) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_2^{k-i} [\nabla f_i(w_i) \nabla f_i(w_i)^{\mathsf{T}}]$  and  $m_k = (1 - \beta_1) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_1^{k-i} [\nabla f_i(w_i)]$ .

Adam does not guarantee that  $A_k \succeq A_{k-1}$  for all k. There are simple counter-examples that exploit this and can result in the non-convergence of Adam.

1

#### AMSGrad – fixing the convergence of Adam

AMSGrad [RKK19] fixes the non-convergence of Adam by making a small modification (in red) to Adam. It has the following update – for  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$G_{k} = \beta_{2}G_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_{2})\operatorname{diag}\left[\nabla f_{k}(w_{k})\nabla f_{k}(w_{k})^{\mathsf{T}}\right] ; \quad A_{k} = \max\{G_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}, A_{k-1}\}$$

$$w_{k+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{k}[w_{k} - \eta_{k} A_{k}^{-1} m_{k}]; \quad ; \quad m_{k} = \beta_{1}m_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_{1})\nabla f_{k}(w_{k})$$

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{k}[v_{k+1}] := \underset{w \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{arg min}} \frac{1}{2} \|w - v_{k+1}\|_{A_{k}}^{2} ,$$

where, for diagonal matrices A and B,  $C = \max\{A, B\} \implies \forall i \in [d], C_{i,i} = \max\{A_{i,i}, B_{i,i}\}.$ 

The AMSGrad update ensures that  $A_k \succeq A_{k-1}$  and is guaranteed to converge.

### Convergence of AMSGrad

For a sequence of convex, G-Lipschitz functions,

- [RKK19] prove an  $O(D^2 Gd \sqrt{T})$  regret bound for AMSGrad. The proof requires  $\eta_k = O(1/\sqrt{k})$  and  $\beta_1^k = O(\exp(-k))$  (decreasing step-size and momentum).
- [AMMC20] prove the same regret guarantee with a decreasing step-size, but constant  $\beta_1$ .

Since AMSGrad is typically used with a constant step-size and momentum term,  $[VLK^+20]$  analyze the convergence of this variant for smooth, convex functions. For this analysis, we will consider the stochastic optimization setting and make the following simplifying assumptions:

- Bounded eigenvalues: The eigenvalues of  $A_k$  are bounded for all iterations, i.e. for all k, there exists constants  $a_{\min}, a_{\max} > 0$  such that  $a_{\min} I_d \leq A_k \leq a_{\max} I_d$ . This condition can be algorithmically ensured for the diagonal preconditioner.
- Near-interpolation: There exists a  $\zeta < \infty$  such that  $\zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) f_i^*]$  is small.
- Bounded iterates: The domain is unconstrained i.e.  $C = \mathbb{R}^d$  but the iterates remain bounded in a set of diameter D, i.e. for all k,  $||w_k w^*||^2 \le D^2$ .

Let us prove the convergence of AMSGrad when minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions. As a warm-up, let us first analyze the case where  $\beta_1 = 0$ .

**Claim**: For minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions, assuming that for all  $k \in [T]$ ,  $\|w_k - w^*\|^2 \le D^2$ ,  $a_{\min}I_d \le A_k \le a_{\max}I_d$ , T iterations of the AMSGrad update with  $\eta = \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0$  returns an iterate  $\bar{w} = \sum_{k=1}^T w_k / \tau$  such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 \, 2dL \, a_{\mathsf{max}}}{a_{\mathsf{min}} \, T} + \zeta^2 \quad \mathsf{where} \quad \zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) - f_i^*].$$

**Proof**: Define  $P_k := \frac{A_k}{\eta}$ . Starting from the update,  $v_{k+1} = w_k - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$  and using the same steps as the AdaGrad proof,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1} - w^* &= w_k - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) - w^* \implies P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] = P_k[w_k - w^*] - \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \\ &\Longrightarrow [v_{k+1} - w^*]^\mathsf{T} P_k[v_{k+1} - w^*] = [w_k - w^* - P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]^\mathsf{T} \left[ P_k[w_k - w^*] - \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \right] \\ & \|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + [P_k^{-1} \nabla f_{ik}(w_k)]^\mathsf{T} [\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)] \\ &\Longrightarrow \|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^{2-1} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that 
$$\|v_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2$$
. Since  $C = \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $w_{k+1} = v_{k+1}$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 \le \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2\langle \nabla f_{ik}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2$$

$$f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \le \frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2$$

$$(\text{Convexity of } f_{ik})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2\right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_k}^2 \le \frac{\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|^2\right] \le \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}^*\right] \le \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{2L\eta\zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{L\eta\zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

Recall that 
$$\mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2}{2}\right] + \frac{L\eta}{a_{\min}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(w_k) - f(w^*)\right] + \frac{L\eta\zeta^2}{a_{\min}}.$$

Setting  $\eta = \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$  and rearranging,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] + \zeta^2$$

Taking expectation w.r.t the randomness in iterations k = 1 to T and summing,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] + \zeta^2 T$$

Dividing by T, using Jensen's inequality on the LHS and the definition of  $\bar{w}_T$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_{T}) - f(w^{*})] \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k+1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2}\right]}{T} + \zeta^{2}$$

Recall that 
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right]}{T} + \zeta^2$$
.

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=2}^T \left[(w_k - w^*)^T [P_k - P_{k-1}](w_k - w^*)] + \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2 - \|w_{T+1} - w^*\|_{P_T}^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^T \|w_k - w^*\|^2 \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2 \leq \sum_{k=2}^T D^2 \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2$$

$$(\text{Since } A_{k-1} \leq A_k, P_{k-1} \leq P_k, \lambda_{\max}[P_k - P_{k-1}] \geq 0 \text{ and } \|w_k - w^*\|^2 \leq D)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \left[\|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2\right] \leq D^2 \sum_{k=2}^T \text{Tr}[P_k - P_{k-1}] + \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2 \leq D^2 \text{Tr}[P_T]$$

$$(\text{By linearity of trace, and bounding } \|w_1 - w^*\|_{P_1}^2 \leq D^2 \text{Tr}[P_1])$$

Recall that 
$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 \operatorname{Tr}[P_T]}{T} + \zeta^2$$
.  

$$D^2 \operatorname{Tr}[P_T] \leq \frac{D^2}{\eta} \operatorname{Tr}[A_T] = \frac{D^2 2L \operatorname{Tr}[A_T]}{a_{\min}} \leq \frac{D^2 2L d \lambda_{\max}[A_T]}{a_{\min}} \leq \frac{D^2 2L d a_{\max}}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 2dL a_{\max}}{a_{\min}} + \zeta^2$$

When minimizing smooth, convex functions, AMSGrad with a constant step-size without momentum will converge to a neighbourhood of the solution at an O(1/T) rate. Similar to SGD, this neighbourhood depends on  $\zeta$ , the extent to which interpolation is violated.

Next, we will consider the  $\beta_1 \neq 0$  case and prove a similar convergence result for constant step-size AMSGrad.



**Claim**: For minimizing a finite-sum of convex, *L*-smooth functions, assuming that for all  $k \in [T]$ ,  $\|w_k - w^*\|^2 \le D^2$ ,  $a_{\min}I_d \le A_k \le a_{\max}I_d$ , T iterations of the AMSGrad update with  $\eta = \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$ ,  $\beta_1 = \beta \in (0,1)$  returns an iterate  $\bar{w} = \sum_{k=1}^T w_k / \tau$  such that,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}_T) - f(w^*)] \leq \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{D^2 2dL \, a_{\mathsf{max}}}{a_{\mathsf{min}} \, T} + \zeta^2 \quad \mathsf{where} \quad \zeta^2 := \mathbb{E}_i[f_i(w^*) - f_i^*].$$

**Proof**: Proceeding similar to the case for  $\beta_1=0$ , define  $P_k:=\frac{A_k}{\eta}$  and  $\beta:=\beta_1$ . Starting from the update,  $v_{k+1}=w_k-P_k^{-1}m_k$  where  $m_k=\beta m_{k-1}+(1-\beta)\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)$ .

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 = \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1-\beta) \langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \rangle - 2\beta \langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2.$$
 To simplify the  $\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle$  term, we will prove the following lemma: for any set of vectors  $a, b, c, d$ , if  $a = b + c$ , then,  $-2\langle c, a - d \rangle = \|b - d\|^2 + \|a - b\|^2 - \|a - d\|^2.$  
$$\|a - d\|^2 = \|b + c - d\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle a - b, b - d \rangle + \|a - b\|^2 \quad (a = b + c, c = b - a)$$
 
$$\|a - d\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle a - b, b - a + a - d \rangle + \|a - b\|^2 = \|b - d\|^2 + 2\langle c, a - d \rangle - \|a - b\|^2$$
 
$$\implies 2\langle c, a - d \rangle = \|a - d\|^2 + \|a - b\|^2 - \|b - d\|^2$$

$$-2\langle w_{k} - w^{*}, m_{k-1} \rangle = -2\langle w_{k} - w^{*}, P_{k-1}(w_{k-1} - w_{k}) \rangle = 2\langle P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k} - w^{*}), P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k} - w_{k-1}) \rangle$$

$$= 2\langle \underbrace{P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k} - w^{*})}_{=c}, \underbrace{P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k} - w^{*})}_{=a} - \underbrace{P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^{*})}_{=d} \rangle$$

$$\leq \|w_k - w_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2$$
(Lemma with  $a = c = P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_k - w^*), b = 0, d = P_{k-1}^{1/2}(w_{k-1} - w^*)$ )

$$\Rightarrow -2\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \rangle \le \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2$$
(Since  $P_{k-1}(w_k - w_{k-1}) = m_{k-1}$  and  $P_{k-1} < P_k$ )

Putting everything together,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 &= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \left\langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \right\rangle - 2\beta \left\langle w_k - w^*, m_{k-1} \right\rangle + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \\ &= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \left\langle w_k - w^*, \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \right\rangle \\ &+ \beta \left[ \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right] + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \\ &= \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - 2(1 - \beta) \left[ f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \right] \qquad \text{(By convexity)} \\ &+ \beta \left[ \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}^{-1}}^2 + \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right] + \|m_k\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies 2(1 - \beta) \left[ f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}(w^*) \right]$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left[ \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 \right]}_{\text{Will telescope}} + \underbrace{\left[ \|w_k - w^*\|_{P_k}^2 - \|w_{k-1} - w^*\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 \right]}_{\text{Will telescope}}$$

$$\text{Will telescope}$$

Let us focus on bounding the  $\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^2 + \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k-1}}^2$  term.

 $\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2} + \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}^{-1}}^{2}$ 

$$=\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2-1} + (1+\delta) \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1}$$
 (For some  $\delta > 0$ )
$$=\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2-1} + (1+\delta) \|\beta m_{k-1} + (1-\beta)\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1}$$

$$\leq \beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2-1} + (1+\delta) \left[ (1+\epsilon)\beta^{2} \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} + (1+1/\epsilon)(1-\beta)^{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} \right] - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1}$$
(By Young's inequality: for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $(a+b)^{2} = a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} \leq a^{2}(1+\epsilon) + b^{2}(1+1/\epsilon)$ )
$$= \left[ (\beta + (1+\delta)(1+\epsilon)\beta^{2}) \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2-1} - \delta \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1} \right] + (1+\delta)(1+1/\epsilon)(1-\beta)^{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P_{k}}^{2-1}$$
(Since  $P_{k-1} \prec P_{k}$ ,  $P_{k-1} \succ P_{k-1}^{-1}$ )

 $\beta \|m_{k-1}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2} + \|m_{k}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2} \leq \delta \left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2} - \|m_{k}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2}\right] + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\|_{P^{-1}}^{2}$ 

We want  $\beta + (1+\delta)(1+\epsilon)\beta^2 = \delta$ . Hence,  $\delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2(1+\epsilon)}{1-(1+\epsilon)\beta^2}$ . Since  $\delta > 0 \implies \beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}$ ,

2

Putting everything together and taking expectation w.r.t randomness at iteration k,

$$2(1-\beta)\mathbb{E}[f(w_{k})-f(w^{*})]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|w_{k}-w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2}-\|w_{k+1}-w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2}\right]+\beta\mathbb{E}\left[\|w_{k}-w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2}-\|w_{k-1}-w^{*}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2}\right]$$

$$+\delta\mathbb{E}\left[\|m_{k-1}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2}-\|m_{k}\|_{P^{-1}}^{2}\right]+(1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2}\mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f_{ik}(w_{k})\right\|_{P^{-1}}^{2}$$

Bounding  $\mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{ik}(w_k)\|_{P_{-}^{-1}}^2$  using the smoothness of  $f_{ik}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \right\|_{P_k^{-1}}^2 \leq \frac{\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \nabla f_{ik}(w_k) \right\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[ f_{ik}(w_k) - f_{ik}^* \right] \leq \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}} \mathbb{E} \left[ f(w_k) - f(w^*) \right] + \frac{2L\eta \zeta^2}{a_{\min}}$$

$$\left[ \underbrace{2(1-\beta) - (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\min}}}_{:=\alpha} \right] \mathbb{E}[f(w_{k}) - f(w^{*})]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k+1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k-1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} \right]$$

$$+ \delta \mathbb{E}\left[ \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2^{-1}} - \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2^{-1}} \right] + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta \zeta^{2}}{a_{\min}}$$

 $=T_2$ 

Taking expectation w.r.t randomness from iterations k = 1 to T and summing,

$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_{k}) - f(w^{*})]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k+1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + \beta \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[ \|w_{k} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} - \|w_{k-1} - w^{*}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} \right]$$

$$:= T_{1}$$

$$:= T_{2}$$

$$+ \delta \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \left[ \|m_{k-1}\|_{P_{k-1}}^{2} - \|m_{k}\|_{P_{k}}^{2} \right] + (1 + \delta)(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon})(1 - \beta)^{2} \frac{2L\eta \zeta^{2} T}{a_{\min}}$$

As before, 
$$T_1 \leq \frac{D^2}{n} \operatorname{Tr}[A_T] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}}{n}$$
.  $T_2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|w_T - w^*\|_{A_T}^2 \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}}{n}$ .  $T_3 \leq \frac{1}{n} \|m_0\|_{A_0}^2 = 0$ .

$$\implies \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\mathsf{max}} (1+\beta)}{\eta} + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon})(1-\beta)^2 \frac{2L\eta \zeta^2 T}{a_{\mathsf{min}}}$$

Recall that 
$$\alpha \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d \, a_{\text{max}}(1+\beta)}{\eta} + (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta \, \zeta^2 \, T}{a_{\text{min}}}$$
. Here,  $\delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2 (1+\epsilon)}{1 - (1+\epsilon)\beta^2}$ ,  $\beta < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}}$  and  $\alpha = 2(1-\beta) - (1+\delta)(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}}$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , setting 
$$\beta = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\epsilon}} \implies \delta = \frac{\beta + \beta^2 \, \frac{1}{\beta}}{1 - \frac{1}{\beta}\beta^2} = \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$$
 
$$\alpha = 2(1-\beta) - \left(1 + \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \, (1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}) \, (1-\beta)^2 \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}} = 2(1-\beta) - (1+\beta) \, \frac{2L\eta}{a_{\text{min}}}$$

For  $\alpha > 0$ , we want that  $\eta < \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{a_{\min}}{L}$ . Setting  $\eta = \frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{a_{\min}}{2L}$ ,  $\alpha = 1-\beta$ . With these settings,

$$\implies \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[f(w_k) - f(w^*)] \leq \frac{D^2 d a_{\max}(1+\beta)}{\alpha \eta} + \frac{(1-\beta) \zeta^2 T}{\alpha}$$

Dividing by T, using Jensen's inequality on the LHS and using the definition of  $\bar{w}_T$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{w}) - f(w^*)] \le \left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{D^2 2dL a_{\mathsf{max}}}{a_{\mathsf{min}}} + \zeta^2$$

When minimizing smooth, convex functions, AMSGrad with a constant step-size will converge to a neighbourhood of the solution at an O(1/T) rate. Similar to SGD, this neighbourhood depends on  $\zeta$ , the extent to which interpolation is violated.

Unlike the guarantee for AdaGrad that holds for any  $\eta$  (Slide 5, Lecture 16), the above AMSGrad guarantee above requires knowledge of L to set the step-size. Moreover, it results in an  $O(1/\tau + \zeta^2)$  bound as compared to the noise-adaptive  $O(1/\tau + \zeta^2/\sqrt{\tau})$  bound for AdaGrad (using online-batch conversion with the regret guarantee).

Since Stochastic Heavy Ball (SHB) is a special case of AMSGrad with  $A_k = I_d$ , we can prove a similar  $O(1/\tau + \zeta^2)$  rate of convergence (Prove in Assignment 4!).



#### References i

- Ahmet Alacaoglu, Yura Malitsky, Panayotis Mertikopoulos, and Volkan Cevher, *A new regret analysis for adam-type algorithms*, International conference on machine learning, PMLR, 2020, pp. 202–210.
- Sashank J Reddi, Satyen Kale, and Sanjiv Kumar, On the convergence of adam and beyond, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09237 (2019).
- Sharan Vaswani, Issam H Laradji, Frederik Kunstner, Si Yi Meng, Mark Schmidt, and Simon Lacoste-Julien, Adaptive gradient methods converge faster with over-parameterization (and you can do a line-search).