CMPT 419/983: Theoretical Foundations of Reinforcement Learning

Lecture 5

Sharan Vaswani

October 6, 2023

Recap

- Bellman equation for policy π : $v^{\pi}(s) = \mathbf{r}_{\pi}(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}[s, s'] v^{\pi}(s')$ = $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} r(s, a) \pi[a|s] + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}[s'|s, a] \pi[a|s] v^{\pi}(s')$.
- Bellman Optimality: $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R}^S \to \mathbb{R}^S$ s.t. $(\mathcal{T}u)(s) = \max_a \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a)u(s')\}.$
- Fundamental Theorem: For policy $\pi^* \in \Pi_{SD}$, $v^{\pi^*}(s) = \max_{\pi \in \Pi_{HR}} v^{\pi}(s)$ for all $s \in S$.
- $\bullet \ \mathbf{v}^* = \mathcal{T}\mathbf{v}^* = \max_{\pi \in \Pi_{\textbf{SD}}} \{\mathbf{r}_\pi + \gamma \mathbf{P}_\pi \mathbf{v}^*\} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi^*} \mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{r}_{\pi^*} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi^*} \mathbf{v}^*$
- Value Iteration: Iterate $v_k = \mathcal{T}v_{k-1}$ for K iterations. $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}$, return the greedy policy w.r.t v_K i.e. $\hat{\pi}(s) = \arg\max_a \{r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s,a) v_K(s')\}$.
- VI convergence: After $K \geq \frac{\log(1/\epsilon (1-\gamma))}{1-\gamma}$ iterations, VI returns a v_K s.t. $\|v_K v^*\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$.
- ullet Since $\hat{\pi}$ is the policy returned by VI, we want a bound on $\left\|v^*-v^{\hat{\pi}}\right\|_{\infty}$.
- Today, we will prove that VI requires $K \geq \frac{\log(2\gamma/\epsilon (1-\gamma)^2)}{1-\gamma}$ iterations to ensure $\|v^* v^{\hat{\pi}}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$.

1

Policy Error Bound

Claim: For an arbitrary $v \in \mathbb{R}^S$ if (i) π is the greedy policy w.r.t v, i.e. $\pi(s) = \arg\max_{a} \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) \ v(s')\}$, (ii) v^{π} is the value function corresponding to

policy π i.e. $\mathbf{v}^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} = \mathbf{r}_{\pi} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$, then,

$$\mathbf{v}^{\pi} \geq \mathbf{v}^* - rac{2\gamma \, \left\| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^*
ight\|_{\infty}}{1 - \gamma} \, \mathbf{1}$$

Proof: For the proof, we need the following properties of the \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}_{π} operators.

$$\mathcal{T}v^* = v^*$$
 ; $\mathcal{T}v = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v$; $v^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}v^{\pi}$

We will also need the following properties: for $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^S$ s.t. $u \leq w$ (element-wise) and a constant c,

$$\mathcal{T}(u) \leq \mathcal{T}(w)$$
 ; $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u) \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(w)$ (Monotonicity)
 $\mathcal{T}(u+c\mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{T}(u) + c\gamma \mathbf{1}$; $\mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u+c\mathbf{1}) = \mathcal{T}_{\pi}(u) + c\gamma \mathbf{1}$ (Additivity)

Prove in Assignment 2!

Policy Error Bound

Define
$$\epsilon := \| \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v} \|_{\infty} \implies -\epsilon \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v} \leq \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 and define $\delta := \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$. (By definitions of \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{T}_{π})
$$\leq \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{v} + \epsilon \mathbf{1}) - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} = \mathcal{T} \mathbf{v} + \epsilon \gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$$
 (By monotonicity, additivity of \mathcal{T})
$$= \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v} + \epsilon \gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} \qquad (\text{Since } \mathcal{T} \mathbf{v} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v})$$

$$\leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi} (\mathbf{v}^* + \epsilon \mathbf{1}) + \epsilon \gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^* + \gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \gamma \mathbf{1} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$$
 (By monotonicity, additivity of \mathcal{T}_{π})
$$= \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^* - \mathcal{T}_{\pi} \mathbf{v}^{\pi} + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 (By definition of \mathcal{T}_{π})
$$= \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} (\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}^{\pi}) + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 (By definition of \mathcal{T}_{π})
$$= \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} (\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}^{\pi}) + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 (By definition of \mathcal{T}_{π})
$$= \delta \leq \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} \delta + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta \leq \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi} \delta + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$$
 (Taking an element-wise absolute value and using the triangle inequality)

Policy Error Bound

Recall that $\epsilon = \|\mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}\|_{\infty}$, $\delta := \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}^{\pi}$ and $|\delta| \leq \gamma |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta| + 2\gamma \epsilon \mathbf{1}$ Let us simplify $|\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta|$. For an arbitrary s,

$$|\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta|(s) = \left|\sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s, s')\delta(s')\right| \leq \sum_{s'} |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s, s')\delta(s')| = \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s, s')|\delta(s')|$$

$$\leq \|\delta\|_{\infty} \sum_{s'} \mathbf{P}_{\pi}(s, s') = \|\delta\|_{\infty}$$

$$\implies |\mathbf{P}_{\pi}\delta| \leq \|\delta\|_{\infty} \mathbf{1} \implies |\delta| \leq \gamma \|\delta\|_{\infty} \mathbf{1} + 2\gamma\epsilon \mathbf{1}$$

$$\implies \|\delta\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \|\delta\|_{\infty} + 2\gamma\epsilon \implies \|\delta\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\gamma\epsilon}{1 - \gamma}$$
(Putaking the element wise maximum as both signals)

(By taking the element-wise maximum on both sides)

$$\implies \|v^* - v^{\pi}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{2\gamma \|v^* - v\|_{\infty}}{1 - \gamma} \implies v^{\pi} \ge v^* - \frac{2\gamma \|v - v^*\|_{\infty}}{1 - \gamma} \mathbf{1} \quad \Box$$

Algorithm Policy Iteration

- 1: **Input**: MDP $M = (S, A, P, r, \rho)$, π_0 .
- 2: for $k = 0 \rightarrow K$ do
- 3: **Policy Evaluation**: Calculate v^{π_k} as the solution to $(I \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi_k})v = \mathbf{r}_{\pi_k}$.
- 4: **Policy Improvement**: $\forall s, \ \pi_{k+1}(s) = \arg\max_{a} \{r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) \ v^{\pi_k}(s')\}$
- 5: end for
 - Computational Complexity: $O((S^3 + S^2A)K)$
- We will prove that $K=O\left(\frac{SA}{1-\gamma}\right)$ iterations of PI are sufficient to ensure exact convergence to the optimal policy. Hence, PI requires $O\left(\frac{S^4A+S^3A^2}{1-\gamma}\right)$ operations.

We will do the proof in two steps:

- (i) Show that the sequence of v^{π_k} converges to v^* at a linear rate (similar to VI).
- (ii) Relate v^{π_k} to the greedy policy chosen by PI at each iteration.

(i) Claim: For PI, $\|v^{\pi_K} - v^*\|_{\infty} \le \gamma^K \|v^{\pi_0} - v^*\|_{\infty}$.

Proof: We will first prove a more general result: for any π, π' , if π' is the greedy policy w.r.t v^{π} , then, $v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi} \leq v^{\pi'}$. To see this, note that,

$$\mathcal{T} v^\pi = \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^\pi \quad ; \quad v^\pi = \mathcal{T}_\pi v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T} v^\pi \quad \text{(By definition of π' and by definitions of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}_π)}$$

We will use induction to show that $v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T} v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^n v^\pi$ for all n. As $n \to \infty$, $v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T} v^\pi \leq v^{\pi'}$. Base Case: For n=1, from the above definition, we know that $v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T} v^\pi = \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^\pi$. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T} v^\pi \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n-1} v^\pi$. Let us prove it for n,

$$v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n-1} v^{\pi} \implies \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi} \implies \mathcal{T} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi} \implies v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T} v^{\pi} \leq \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}^{n} v^{\pi}$$

Using this result for PI, we get that $v^{\pi_k} \leq \mathcal{T} v^{\pi_k} \leq v^{\pi_{k+1}}$. Using this result recursively,

$$\mathcal{T}v^{\pi_0} \leq v^{\pi_1} \implies \mathcal{T}^2v^{\pi_0} \leq \mathcal{T}v^{\pi_1} \leq v^{\pi_2} \implies \mathcal{T}^Kv^{\pi_0} \leq v^{\pi_K}$$

6

Recall we have proved that $\mathcal{T}^K v^{\pi_0} \leq v^{\pi_K}$. Since v^* is the optimal value function,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} &\leq \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \leq \mathbf{v}^{*} \implies \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \leq \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \\ & \Longrightarrow \| \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \Longrightarrow \| \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{K}} \|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathcal{T}^{K} \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma^{K} \| \mathbf{v}^{*} - \mathbf{v}^{\pi_{\mathbf{0}}} \|_{\infty} \end{split}$$

For proving (ii), we will require an intermediate result – the value difference lemma.

Claim: For any $\pi, \pi' \in \Pi_{SR}$, $v^{\pi'} - v^{\pi} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} g(\pi', \pi)$ where $g(\pi', \pi) := \mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^{\pi} - v^{\pi}$. Proof: Recall that $v^{\pi'} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{\pi'}$.

$$v^{\pi'} - v^{\pi} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{\pi'} - v^{\pi} = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} [\mathbf{r}_{\pi'} - (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'}) v^{\pi}]$$

$$= (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} [(\mathbf{r}_{\pi'} + \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'} v^{\pi}) - v^{\pi}] = (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} [\mathcal{T}_{\pi'} v^{\pi} - v^{\pi}]$$

$$= (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'})^{-1} g(\pi', \pi) \quad \Box$$

7

Claim: Consider an arbitrary sub-optimal stationary deterministic policy π'_0 and define π'_K to be the policy returned by PI after K iterations starting from policy π'_0 . For all $K \geq K^* := \lceil \frac{\log(1/1-\gamma)}{\log(1/\gamma)} \rceil + 1$, there exists a state s' such that $\pi'_K[s'] \neq \pi'_0[s']$. This means that for all $K \geq K^*$, the action corresponding to $\pi'_0[s']$ is *eliminated* for state s'.

We will use this claim multiple times starting from $\pi'_0 = \pi_0$. In particular,

- After $K \ge K^*$ iterations of PI, we know there exists a state s' for which the action corresponding to $\pi_0[s']$ is eliminated.
- If we continue running PI, after a further K^* iterations, another action would be eliminated. Specifically, for $\pi'_0 = \pi_{K^*}$, there exists a state s'' for which the action corresponding to $\pi_{K^*}[s'']$ is eliminated.
- Since we are considering deterministic policies, we need to eliminate at most SA-S actions, and need to run PI for at most (SA-S) K^* iterations. Hence, PI will converge to the optimal policy in $O\left(\frac{SA\log(1/1-\gamma)}{1-\gamma}\right)$ iterations.

Proof: We will make use of the value difference lemma to bound $g(\pi, \pi^*)$. Note that $g(\pi, \pi^*) = \mathcal{T}_{\pi} v^* - v^* < 0$ for all sub-optimal policies π .

$$- g(\pi_K', \pi^*) = \left(I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi_K'}\right) \left[v^* - v^{\pi_K'}\right] = \left[v^* - v^{\pi_K'}\right] - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi_K'} \underbrace{\left[v^* - v^{\pi_K'}\right]}_{\text{Non-negative}} \leq \left[v^* - v^{\pi_K'}\right]$$

$$\implies \|g(\pi'_K, \pi^*)\|_{\infty} \le \|v^* - v^{\pi'_K}\|_{\infty}$$

(Taking element-wise absolute value and max over the states)

$$\leq \gamma^{K} \| v^{\pi'_{0}} - v^{*} \|_{\infty}$$
 (From the claim in **(i)**)
$$= \gamma^{K} \| (I - \gamma \mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{0}})^{-1} g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty}$$
 (Value Difference Lemma)
$$\leq \frac{\gamma^{K}}{1 - \gamma} \| g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*}) \|_{\infty}$$
 (Using the Neumann series)

$$\implies \|g(\pi_K',\pi^*)\|_{\infty} < \|g(\pi_0',\pi^*)\|_{\infty} \qquad \qquad (\mathsf{K} \geq \mathsf{K}^* = \lceil \frac{\log(1/1-\gamma)}{\log(1/\gamma)} \rceil + 1)$$

Recall that
$$\|g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})\|_{\infty} < \|g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})\|_{\infty}$$
.
If $s' := \arg\max_{s} |g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s)| \implies \|g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})\|_{\infty} = -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$, then,
$$\|g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})\|_{\infty} < -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s') \implies \max_{s} |g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})| \le -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$$

$$\implies -g(\pi'_{K}, \pi^{*})(s') < -g(\pi'_{0}, \pi^{*})(s')$$

$$\implies v^{*}(s') - (\mathcal{T}_{\pi'_{K}}v^{*})(s') < v^{*}(s') - (\mathcal{T}_{\pi'_{0}}v^{*})(s') \quad \text{(Recall that } -g(\pi', \pi^{*}) = v^{*} - \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{*})$$

$$\implies \mathbf{r}_{\pi'_{K}}(s') + (\mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{K}}v^{*})(s') > \mathbf{r}_{\pi'_{0}}(s') + (\mathbf{P}_{\pi'_{0}}v^{*})(s') \quad \text{(Recall that } \mathcal{T}_{\pi'}v^{*} = \mathbf{r}_{\pi'} + \mathbf{P}_{\pi'}v^{*})$$

$$\implies \pi'_{K}(s') \neq \pi'_{0}(s') \quad \square \quad \text{(Proof by contradiction)}$$

Linear Programming

Linear Programming and MDPs

Finding an optimal policy in an MDP is equivalent to solving a linear program.

Primal LP: For a starting state distribution $\rho \in \Delta_S$

$$v^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{v \in \mathbb{R}^S} \langle
ho, v
angle \quad ext{s.t.} \ \ orall (s, a); \quad v(s) \geq r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) \, v(s')$$

- Intuition: In Lecture 4, while proving the Fundamental Theorem, we saw that if $v \geq Tv$, then $v \geq v^*$. The constraints in the primal LP correspond to $v \geq Tv$, and the objective is to find the smallest v that satisfies these constraints.
- The primal LP is over-determined and has S variables and $S \times A$ constraints.
- For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists an $a^*(s)$ such that $v^*(s) = r(s, a^*(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a^*(s)) v^*(s)$ i.e. the constraint is "tight".
- The stationary deterministic policy $\pi^*(s) = a^*(s)$ is an optimal policy and v^* , the solution to the primal LP is the optimal value function.
- For details and proofs, refer to Section 5.8.1 of [PC'23].

Linear Programming and MDPs

Dual LP: Define $r \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times A}$ to be the reward vector, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times A}$ to be the *state-action occupancy measure* and $d^{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{S}$ to be the *state occupancy measure* such that,

$$\mu(s,a) := (1-\gamma) \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \rho(s_0) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Pr[S_t = s, A_t = A | S_0 = s_0] \quad ; \quad \forall (s,a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$$

$$d(s) := (1 - \gamma) \sum_{s_0 \in \mathcal{S}} \rho(s_0) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Pr[S_t = s | S_0 = s_0] \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$\mu^* = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\mu \in [0,\infty)^{S \times A}} \frac{\langle \mu, r \rangle}{1 - \gamma} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall s' \in \mathcal{S} \quad \gamma \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}(s'|s, a) \; \mu(s, a) + (1 - \gamma) \, \rho(s') = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(s', a)$$

- Intuition: Maximizing the value function is equivalent to aligning μ to the reward vector r while ensuring that μ satisfies the "flow" constraints.
- The dual LP has SA variables and SA + S constraints. μ^* consists of S non-zeros.
- ullet There is a one-one mapping between μ and π , i.e. $\pi(a|s) = \frac{\mu(s,a)}{\sum_{a'} \mu(s,a')}$,
- Need to derive the dual LP from basics and implement it in Assignment 2!

Linear Programming and MDPs

- The primal and dual LPs satisfy strong duality i.e. $\langle \rho, v^* \rangle = \frac{\langle \mu^*, r \rangle}{1 \gamma}$.
- π^* is the greedy policy corresponding to ν^* such that $\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a \mu^*(s, a)$.
- The Simplex method for solving these LPs is equivalent to Policy Iteration.
- The resulting LP can be solved by other algorithms such as interior point methods, primal-dual methods and this connection has been recently exploited for proving sample-complexity results and designing algorithms with function approximation.
- ullet We have studied algorithms that use knowledge of the transition probabilities ${\mathcal P}$ and rewards r to compute the optimal policy.
- These quantities are difficult to obtain in practical scenarios, and hence we need methods that can compute the optimal policy without explicitly relying on this information.
- ullet In the next class, we will consider evaluating a fixed policy π without explicit knowledge of $\mathcal P$ and r.