CMPT 409/981: Optimization for Machine Learning

Lecture 6

Sharan Vaswani September 24, 2024

Recap

- Gradient Descent: $w_{k+1} = w_k \eta \nabla f(w_k)$.
- Nesterov Acceleration: $w_{k+1} = [w_k + \beta_k(w_k w_{k-1})] \eta \nabla f(w_k + \beta_k(w_k w_{k-1})).$
- Nesterov acceleration can be interpreted as doing GD on "extrapolated" points where β_k can be interpreted as the "momentum" in the previous direction $(w_k w_{k-1})$.

Minimizing Smooth, Strongly-Convex Functions

- Recall that for smooth, convex functions, GD is sub-optimal (convergence rate of $O(1/\epsilon)$) and can be improved by using Nesterov acceleration (convergence rate of $\Theta(1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$).
- For smooth, strongly-convex functions, the convergence rate of GD is $O(\kappa \log(1/\epsilon))$.
- Is GD optimal when minimizing smooth, strongly-convex functions, or can we do better?

Lower Bound: For any initialization, there exists a smooth, strongly-convex function such that any first-order method requires $\Omega\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ iterations.

• GD is sub-optimal for minimizing smooth, convex functions. Using Nesterov acceleration is optimal and requires $\Theta\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ iterations

Nesterov Acceleration for Smooth, Strongly-Convex Functions

Nesterov acceleration results in the $O\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\log(1/\epsilon)\right)$ rate for smooth, strongly-convex functions.

In order to obtain this rate, the algorithm requires the following parameter settings: $\eta=\frac{1}{L}$ and,

$$\beta_k = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}$$

Refer to Bubeck, 3.7.1 for the analysis.

- Compared to the smooth, convex setting for which β_k varies, the strongly-convex setting requires a constant β_k in order to attain the accelerated rate.
- Compared to GD, for smooth, strongly-convex functions, Nesterov acceleration requires knowledge of κ (and hence μ) in order to set β_k .
- ullet Unlike estimating L, estimating μ is difficult, and misestimating it can result in bad empirical performance. Common trick that results in decent performance is to use the convex parameters with restarts.

Summary

Function class	<i>L</i> -smooth	<i>L</i> -smooth + convex	$\it L$ -smooth + $\it \mu$ -strongly convex
Gradient Descent	$\Theta\left(1/\epsilon ight)$	$O\left(1/\epsilon ight)$	$O\left(\kappa\log\left(1/\epsilon ight) ight)$
Nesterov Acceleration	-	$\Theta\left(1/\sqrt{\epsilon} ight)$	$\Theta\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\log\left(1/\epsilon ight) ight)$

Table 1: Optimization Zoo

- For all cases, $\eta = \frac{1}{L}$ for both GD and Nesterov acceleration, and we can use Armijo line-search to estimate L and set the step-size.
- ullet Gradient Descent is adaptive to strong-convexity, however, Nesterov acceleration requires knowledge of μ to set β_k .



Heavy-Ball Momentum

- Heavy Ball or Polyak momentum is often used as an alternative to Nesterov acceleration, especially in ML.
- It is one of the building blocks of commonly used methods such as Adam.
- Nesterov Acceleration: $v_k = w_k + \beta_k(w_k w_{k-1})$; $w_{k+1} = v_k \eta \nabla f(v_k)$ i.e. extrapolate and compute the gradient at the extrapolated point v_k .
- Polyak Momentum: Compute the gradient at w_k and then extrapolate: $v_k = w_k + \beta_k(w_k w_{k-1})$; $w_{k+1} = v_k \eta \nabla f(w_k)$.
- When minimizing quadratics: $f(w) = \frac{1}{2}w^TAw bw + c$ where A is symmetric, positive semi-definite, or equivalently solve linear systems of the form: Aw = b, using Polyak momentum with *optimal* values of (η, β) is equivalent to conjugate gradient.

Heavy-Ball Momentum

Brief History

- Quadratics: HB momentum with a specific (η, β) can achieve the accelerated rate and obtain a dependence on $\sqrt{\kappa}$ asymptotically [Pol64].
- Quadratics: HB momentum with a different (η, β) can achieve a non-asymptotic accelerated rate after certain number of burn-in iterations (that depends on κ) [WLA21].
- General smooth, SC functions: Using Polyak's (η, β) parameters can result in cycling and HB momentum is not guaranteed to converge [LRP16].
- General smooth, SC functions: Using a different (η, β) , HB momentum can converge and match the GD rate (no acceleration) [GFJ15].
- General smooth, SC functions + Diagonal Hessian + Lipschitz-continuity of Hessian: Using a different (η, β) , HB momentum matches the GD rate at the beginning, but achieves the accelerated rate after $O(\kappa)$ iterations [WLWH22].
- General smooth, SC functions + Lipschitz-continuity of Hessian: HB momentum with any (η, β) will either result in a non-accelerated rate or will not converge [GTD23].

Heavy-Ball Momentum

• We will focus on minimizing strongly-convex quadratics: $f(w) = \frac{1}{2}w^{\mathsf{T}}Aw - bw + c$, where A is a symmetric positive definite matrix.

Claim: For L-smooth, μ -strongly convex quadratics, HB momentum with $\eta = \frac{4}{(\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu})^2}$ and $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}$ achieves the following convergence rate:

$$\|w_T - w^*\| \le \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} + \epsilon_T \right)^T \|w_0 - w^*\|$$

where $\epsilon_T \geq 0$ and $\lim_{T\to\infty} \epsilon_T = 0$.

ullet HB momentum with $\eta=rac{1}{L}$ and $eta=\left(1-rac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}
ight)^2$ achieves a slightly-worse, but accelerated non-asymptotic rate [WLA21].

$$\|w_T - w^*\| \le 4\sqrt{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}\right)^T \|w_0 - w^*\|$$

7

Minimizing strongly-convex quadratics with GD

• As a warm-up, let us first prove the optimal GD rate for smooth, strongly-convex quadratics.

Claim: For *L*-smooth, μ -strongly convex quadratics, GD with $\eta = \frac{2}{\mu + L}$ achieves the following convergence rate:

$$||w_T - w^*|| \le \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^T ||w_0 - w^*||$$

Proof: For quadratics, $\nabla f(w) = Aw - b$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{k+1} &= w_k - \eta \nabla f(w_k) = w_k - \eta [Aw_k - b] \\ &\implies \|w_{k+1} - w^*\| = \|w_k - w^* - \eta [Aw_k - b]\| \\ &= \|w_k - w^* - \eta [Aw_k - Aw^*]\| \quad \text{(Since } \nabla f(w^*) = 0 \implies Aw^* = b) \\ &\implies \|w_{k+1} - w^*\| = \|(I_d - \eta A)(w_k - w^*)\| \le \|I_d - \eta A\|_2 \|w_k - w^*\| \\ \text{(By definition of the matrix norm: for matrix } B, \ \|B\|_2 = \max \left\{ \frac{\|Bv\|_2}{\||v\|_1} \right\} \text{ for all vectors } v \ne 0) \end{aligned}$$

We have thus reduced the problem to bounding $||I_d - \eta A||_2$.

Minimizing strongly-convex quadratics with GD

Recall that $\|w_{k+1} - w^*\| \le \|I_d - \eta A\|_2 \|w_k - w^*\|$. Since f is L-smooth and μ -strongly convex, $\mu I_d \le \nabla^2 f(w) = A \le L I_d$.

If $A = U \Lambda U^{\mathsf{T}}$ is the eigen-decomposition of A, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d$ are the eigenvalues of A, then, $I_d - \eta A = U S U^{\mathsf{T}}$ where $S_{i,i} = 1 - \eta \lambda_i$.

Since U is an orthonormal matrix, $\|I_d - \eta A\|_2 = \|S\|_2$. By definition of the matrix norm, for symmetric matrices,

$$||B||_2 = \rho(B) := \max\{|\lambda_1[B]|, |\lambda_2[B]|, \dots, |\lambda_d[B]|\}$$

where $\rho(B)$ is the spectral radius of B.

Let us choose a step-size $\eta \in \left\lceil \frac{1}{L}, \frac{1}{\mu} \right\rceil$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} \left\|I_{d}-\eta A\right\|_{2} &=\left\|S\right\|_{2} = \rho(S) = \max\{\left|\lambda_{1}[S]\right|,\left|\lambda_{2}[S]\right|,\ldots,\left|\lambda_{d}[S]\right|\} \leq \max_{\lambda \in [\mu,L]}\{\left|1-\eta \lambda\right|\} \\ \left\|I_{d}-\eta A\right\|_{2} &= \max\{\left|1-\eta \mu\right|,\left|1-\eta L\right|\} \end{split} \tag{Since } 1-\eta \lambda \text{ is linear in } \lambda) \end{split}$$

Minimizing strongly-convex quadratics with GD

Recall that $\|w_{k+1} - w^*\| \le \|I_d - \eta A\|_2 \|w_k - w^*\|$ and $\|I_d - \eta A\|_2 \le \max\{|1 - \eta \mu|, |1 - \eta L|\}.$

Since $\eta \in \left[\frac{1}{L}, \frac{1}{\mu}\right]$,

$$\begin{split} \|I_d - \eta A\|_2 &\leq \max\{1 - \eta \mu, \eta L - 1\} = \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu} \\ & \text{(By setting } \eta = \frac{2}{\mu + L}, \text{ we minimize } \max\{1 - \eta \mu, \eta L - 1\}) \end{split}$$

Putting everything together,

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\| \le \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu} \|w_k - w^*\| = \frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1} \|w_k - w^*\|$$

Recursing from k = 0 to T - 1,

$$||w_T - w^*|| \le \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^T ||w_0 - w^*||.$$



References i

- Euhanna Ghadimi, Hamid Reza Feyzmahdavian, and Mikael Johansson, *Global convergence* of the heavy-ball method for convex optimization, 2015 European control conference (ECC), IEEE, 2015, pp. 310–315.
- Baptiste Goujaud, Adrien Taylor, and Aymeric Dieuleveut, *Provable non-accelerations of the heavy-ball method*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.11291 (2023).
- Laurent Lessard, Benjamin Recht, and Andrew Packard, *Analysis and design of optimization algorithms via integral quadratic constraints*, SIAM Journal on Optimization **26** (2016), no. 1, 57–95.
- Boris T Polyak, Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods, Ussr computational mathematics and mathematical physics 4 (1964), no. 5, 1–17.

References ii



Jun-Kun Wang, Chi-Heng Lin, and Jacob D Abernethy, A modular analysis of provable acceleration via polyak's momentum: Training a wide relu network and a deep linear network, International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 2021, pp. 10816–10827.



Jun-Kun Wang, Chi-Heng Lin, Andre Wibisono, and Bin Hu, *Provable acceleration of heavy ball beyond quadratics for a class of polyak-lojasiewicz functions when the non-convexity is averaged-out*, International conference on machine learning, PMLR, 2022, pp. 22839–22864.