

# CMPT 419/983: Theoretical Foundations of Reinforcement Learning

## Lecture 6

---

Sharan Vaswani

October 13, 2023

# Recap

- We have studied algorithms (VI/PI/LP) that use knowledge of the transition probabilities  $\mathcal{P}$  and rewards  $r$  to compute the optimal policy.
- These quantities are difficult to obtain in practical scenarios, and hence we need methods that can compute the optimal policy without explicitly relying on this information.
- Today, we will consider evaluating a fixed policy  $\pi$  without explicit knowledge of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r$ .

# Policy Evaluation

For a fixed policy  $\pi$  and starting state  $s_0$ ,  $v^\pi(s_0) = \mathbb{E}[X|S_0 = s_0]$  where  $X := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R_t$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[X|S_0 = s_0] = \mathbb{E}_{A_0}[\mathbb{E}[X|S_0 = s_0, A_0]] = \mathbb{E}_{A_0}[\mathbb{E}_{S_1|\{S_0, A_0\}}[\mathbb{E}[X|S_0 = s_0, A_0, S_1]]]$$

(Using that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]]$ )

$$= \mathbb{E}_{A_0} \mathbb{E}_{S_1|\{S_0, A_0\}} \mathbb{E}_{A_1|\{S_0, A_0, S_1\}} \cdots \mathbb{E}_{S_t|\{S_0, A_0, \dots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}} \mathbb{E}[X|\{S_0, A_0, \dots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}]$$

(Unrolling recursively)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{A_0} \mathbb{E}_{S_1|\{S_0, A_0\}} \mathbb{E}_{A_1|\{S_0, A_0, S_1\}} \cdots \mathbb{E}_{S_t|\{S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}} \mathbb{E}[X|\{S_0, A_0, \dots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}]$$

(Markov assumption)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{A_0} \mathbb{E}_{S_1|\{S_0, A_0\}} \mathbb{E}_{A_1|S_1} \cdots \mathbb{E}_{S_t|\{S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}} \mathbb{E}[X|\{S_0, A_0, \dots, S_{t-1}\}]$$

(Restricting to Markov policies)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{A_0} [R_0 + \mathbb{E}_{S_1|\{S_0, A_0\}} \mathbb{E}_{A_1|S_1} [\gamma R_1 + \cdots \mathbb{E}_{S_t|\{S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}\}} [\gamma^t R_t + \cdots]]]$$

(Distributing the sum)

# Policy Evaluation

The unrolling on the previous slide suggests a Monte-Carlo sampling scheme:

- Starting from  $s_0$ , for  $t \geq 0$ , sample  $a_t \sim \pi(\cdot|s_t)$ , the environment transitions to  $s_{t+1}$  (equivalent to sampling  $s_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s_t, a_t)$ ). This generates a trajectory  $\tau = (s_0, a_0, s_1, \dots)$ .
- Collect rewards  $r_t = r(s_t, a_t)$ , calculate  $R(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t$ . Note that  $\mathbb{E}[R(\tau)] = v^\pi(s_0)$ .
- In order to reduce the variance, generate  $m$  trajectories  $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , calculate  $R(\tau_i)$  and output the empirical average:  $\hat{v} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m R(\tau_i)}{m}$  as an approximation to  $v^\pi(s_0)$ .

**Q:** What is the problem with this approach?

**Solution 1:** Truncate the trajectory to  $H$  steps, i.e. calculate  $R(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} \gamma^t r_t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t - \sum_{t=H}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \implies \mathbb{E}[R(\tau)] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t=H}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \right] = v^\pi(s_0) - \sum_{t=H}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \\ &\implies |v^\pi(s_0) - \mathbb{E}[R(\tau)]| \leq \frac{\gamma^H}{1-\gamma} \quad (r_t \leq 1, \text{ Sum of geometric series.}) \end{aligned}$$

# Policy Evaluation

**Claim:** Using  $m = \frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{2\epsilon^2(1-\gamma)^2}$  trajectories with  $H \geq \frac{\ln(1/\epsilon(1-\gamma))}{\ln(1/\gamma)}$  guarantees that  $|\hat{v} - v^\pi(s_0)| \leq \epsilon$  with probability  $1 - \delta$ .

*Proof:* Recall that  $\hat{v} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m R(\tau_i)}{m}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |v^\pi(s_0) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| &= \left| v^\pi(s_0) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}[R(\tau_i)]}{m} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m [v^\pi(s_0) - \mathbb{E}[R(\tau_i)]]}{m} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m |v^\pi(s_0) - \mathbb{E}[R(\tau_i)]|}{m} \leq \frac{\gamma^H}{1-\gamma} \\ |\hat{v} - v^\pi(s_0)| &= |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}] + \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}] - v^\pi(s_0)| \leq |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| + |\mathbb{E}[\hat{v}] - v^\pi(s_0)| \\ &\leq |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| + \frac{\gamma^H}{1-\gamma} \leq |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (\text{Using } H \geq \frac{\ln(1/\epsilon(1-\gamma))}{\ln(1/\gamma)}) \\ |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| &= \left| \frac{X_m - \mathbb{E}[X_m]}{m} \right| \quad (X_m := \sum_{i=1}^m R(\tau_i)) \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $R(\tau_i)$  r.v.'s are i.i.d, we can use Hoeffding's inequality.

# Policy Evaluation

Recall that  $|\hat{v} - v^\pi(s_0)| \leq |\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Here,  $|\hat{v} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{v}]| = \left| \frac{X_m - \mathbb{E}[X_m]}{m} \right|$  where  $X_m := \sum_{i=1}^m R(\tau_i)$ .

**Hoeffding's Inequality:** For  $m$  i.i.d. r.v's such that  $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ . For  $t > 0$ ,

$$\Pr[|X_m - \mathbb{E}[X_m]| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left( \frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m (b_i - a_i)^2} \right)$$

$R(\tau_i) \in [0, 1/(1-\gamma)]$ . Setting  $t = m\epsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left[ \left| \frac{X_m - \mathbb{E}[X_m]}{m} \right| \geq \epsilon \right] &\leq 2 \exp(-2m\epsilon^2(1-\gamma)^2) \\ \implies \Pr \left[ \left| \frac{X_m - \mathbb{E}[X_m]}{m} \right| \geq \epsilon \right] &\leq \delta \quad \left( \text{Setting } m = \frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{2\epsilon^2(1-\gamma)^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together, with probability  $1 - \delta$ ,  $|\hat{v} - v^\pi(s_0)| \leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Solution 2:** Randomly truncate the trajectory i.e. sample  $H$  from a geometric distribution with parameter  $1 - \gamma$ , return  $R(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{H-1} r_t$ . Eliminates the bias from using a fixed truncation.

**Claim:**  $\mathbb{E}_H \mathbb{E}_\tau[R(\tau)] = v^\pi(s_0)$ . Prove in Assignment 2!

- **Problem 1:** To estimate  $v^\pi \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , we need fresh trajectories for estimating  $v^\pi(s)$  for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . We need to restart the sampling each time, which may not always be possible.
- *Sol:* Sample a single trajectory, estimate  $v^\pi(s)$  as the cumulative discounted sum of rewards following the first time state  $s$  is visited. This is referred to as “first visit” Monte-Carlo. Can also average the returns following “every visit” to state  $s$ . Both strategies can be shown to produce unbiased estimates of  $v^\pi$ . For more details, see [SB18, Chapter 5].
- If  $\hat{v}_k$  is the empirical average after sampling  $k \in [1, m]$  trajectories, we can update it in an online fashion:  $\hat{v}_k = \hat{v}_{k-1} + \frac{R(\tau_k) - \hat{v}_{k-1}}{k-1}$ .
- **Problem 2:** Hence,  $\hat{v}_k$  is updated only after observing the rewards from the entire trajectory. This could be slow when the trajectories are long. Moreover, Monte-Carlo estimation does not exploit the MDP structure effectively.
- *Sol:* Temporal Difference Learning

# Temporal Difference Learning

**Idea:** Exploit the Bellman equation and combine it with Monte-Carlo estimation.

Recall that, for starting state  $s$ , for a fixed policy  $\pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v^\pi(s) &= \mathbf{r}_\pi(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P_\pi[s, s'] v^\pi(s') = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} r(s, a) \pi[a|s] + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}[s'|s, a] \pi[a|s] v^\pi(s') \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi[a|s] \left[ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}[s'|s, a] v^\pi(s') \right] = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} [r(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s, a)} [v^\pi(s')]] \\ \implies v^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s, a)} [r(s, a) + \gamma v^\pi(s')] \end{aligned}$$

Sampling  $a$  from  $\pi(\cdot|s)$  and the environment samples  $s' \sim \mathcal{P}(\cdot|s, a)$ ,  $\hat{v}^\pi(s) = r(s, a) + \gamma v^\pi(s')$ .

Since we do not know  $v^\pi(s')$  either, we can use the estimate instead, implying that,  $\hat{v}^\pi(s) = r(s, a) + \gamma \hat{v}^\pi(s')$ . This is known as *bootstrapping* since we are using an estimate at  $s'$  to estimate the value function at state  $s$ .

Using this idea, we can design an iterative algorithm – TD(0).



# Temporal Difference Learning

---

**Algorithm** Temporal Difference Learning. [TD(0)]

---

- 1: **Input:** MDP  $M = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \rho)$ ,  $v_0 = 0$ , Policy  $\pi$ . Step-sizes  $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=0}^{T-1}$ .
  - 2: Sample state  $s_0 \sim \rho$ .
  - 3: **for**  $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$  **do**
  - 4:   Take action  $a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t)$ , observe reward  $r(s_t, a_t)$  and transition to state  $s_{t+1}$ .
  - 5:   Update  $v_{t+1}(s_t) = (1 - \alpha_t) v_t(s_t) + \alpha_t [r(s_t, a_t) + \gamma v_t(s_{t+1})]$ .
  - 6:    $\forall s \neq s_t, v_{t+1}(s) = v_t(s)$
  - 7: **end for**
- 

- Unlike Monte-Carlo estimation, TD(0) does not require waiting until the end of trajectories to start updating the value function estimates.
- Unlike using  $\mathcal{T}_\pi$ , TD(0) does not require knowledge of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $r$ .
- Under some technical assumptions, TD(0) will converge, i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_t = v^\pi$ .
- TD(0) can handle linear function approximation and has non-asymptotic theoretical convergence guarantees. We will prove this next.

# Linear Temporal Difference Learning

# Linear TD(0)

**Assumption:** Have access to features  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times d}$  such that for every policy  $\pi$ , there exists a  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $v^\pi = \Phi\theta$ . For the specific policy  $\pi$  being evaluated, there exists a unique  $\theta^*$  such that  $v^\pi = \Phi\theta^* = v_{\theta^*}$  where  $v_\theta := \Phi\theta$ .

Define  $\phi(s)$  as the feature vector corresponding to state  $s$ . Hence,  $v_\theta(s) = \langle \phi(s), \theta \rangle$ . For convenience, we will assume that  $\forall s, \|\phi(s)\| \leq 1$ .

---

## Algorithm TD(0) with linear function approximation

---

- 1: **Input:** MDP  $M = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \rho)$ , Features  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times d}$ , Policy  $\pi$ .  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , Step-sizes  $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=0}^{T-1}$ .
  - 2: Sample state  $s_0 \sim \rho$
  - 3: **for**  $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$  **do**
  - 4:   Take action  $a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t)$ , observe reward  $r(s_t, a_t)$  and transition to state  $s_{t+1}$ .
  - 5:   Define  $g_t(\theta) = [r_t + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s_{t+1}) \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s_t) \rangle] \phi(s_t)$
  - 6:   Update  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha_t g_t(\theta_t)$
  - 7: **end for**
- 

If  $d = S$  and  $\phi(s)$  correspond to one-hot vectors, then we recover TD(0) from the previous slide.

# Linear TD(0) Analysis

The TD(0) update is  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha_t g_t(\theta)$  where  $g_t(\theta) = [r_t + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s_{t+1}) \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s_t) \rangle] \phi(s_t)$ .

Q: Could we use a Gradient Descent type analysis?

We will analyze Linear TD(0) in 4 steps:

- (1) Warmup: Analyze a hypothetical algorithm that performs GD on  $f(\theta) := \frac{1}{2} \|v_{\theta^*} - v_{\theta}\|_D^2$ .
- (2) Mean-path: Make an analogy between Linear TD(0) and GD, and analyze Linear TD(0) assuming access to the stationary distribution.
- (3) IID: Analyze Linear TD(0) assuming access to  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  sampled i.i.d from the stationary distribution.
- (4) Markovian: Analyze *Projected* Linear TD(0) assuming access to  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  that are gathered from a “fast-mixing” Markov chain (will not cover this in detail).

# Linear TD(0) Analysis

Define  $P(s'|s)$  to be the probability of transitioning from  $s$  to  $s'$  when acting according to  $\pi$ .

**Assumption:** The Markov chain induced by policy  $\pi$  is ergodic (can visit every state) with a unique stationary distribution  $\omega \in \Delta_S$ . For  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\omega(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[s_t = s]$ . Hence,  $\omega \mathbf{P}^\pi = \omega$  meaning that if  $s \sim \omega$  and  $s' \sim P(\cdot|s)$ , then the marginal distribution of  $s'$  is  $\omega$ .

Define a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times S}$  such that  $D_{i,i} = \omega(i)$ . For any  $u, w \in \mathbb{R}^S$ , define  $\|u - w\|_D^2 = \sum_s \omega(s) [u(s) - w(s)]^2$ .

For  $v_\theta$  and  $v_{\theta'}$ , define  $\Sigma := \sum_s \omega(s) \phi(s) \phi(s)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $\lambda := \lambda_{\min}[\Sigma]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\theta - v_{\theta'}\|_D^2 &= \sum_s \omega(s) [v_\theta(s) - v_{\theta'}(s)]^2 = \sum_s \omega(s) [\langle \phi(s), \theta - \theta' \rangle]^2 \\ &= (\theta - \theta')^T \sum_s \omega(s) \phi(s) \phi(s)^T (\theta - \theta') = \|\theta - \theta'\|_\Sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Q:** Prove that  $\lambda_{\max}[\Sigma] \leq 1$

Hence, for any  $\theta$ ,  $\sqrt{\lambda} \|\theta\| \leq \|v_\theta\|_D \leq \|\theta\|$  (by setting  $\theta' = 0$  above).

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Warmup

Define  $f(\theta) := \frac{1}{2} \|v_{\theta^*} - v_{\theta}\|_D^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\theta^* - \theta\|_{\Sigma}^2$ . Consider a hypothetical algorithm that performs GD on  $f(\theta)$  i.e. at iteration  $t$ ,  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \alpha \nabla f(\theta_t)$ . Note that  $\nabla f(\theta) = \Sigma(\theta - \theta^*)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 &= \|\theta_t - \alpha \nabla f(\theta_t) - \theta^*\|^2 = \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 + 2\alpha \langle \nabla f(\theta_t), \theta^* - \theta_t \rangle + \alpha^2 \|\nabla f(\theta_t)\|^2 \\ \langle \nabla f(\theta_t), \theta^* - \theta_t \rangle &= \langle \Sigma(\theta_t - \theta^*), \theta^* - \theta_t \rangle = -\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma}^2 = -\|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2\end{aligned}$$

For any vector  $u$  s.t.  $\|u\| \leq 1$ ,

$$\langle u, \nabla f(\theta) \rangle = \langle u, \Sigma(\theta - \theta^*) \rangle \leq \left\| \Sigma^{1/2} u \right\| \left\| \Sigma^{1/2} (\theta - \theta^*) \right\| \quad (\text{Cauchy Schwarz})$$

$$= \|u\|_{\Sigma} \|\theta - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma} \leq \lambda_{\max}[\Sigma] \|u\| \|\theta - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma} \leq \|v_{\theta} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D \quad (\lambda_{\max}[\Sigma] \leq 1, \|u\| \leq 1)$$

$$\implies \|\nabla f(\theta)\|^2 \leq \|v_{\theta} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \quad (\text{Setting } u = \nabla f(\theta) / \|\nabla f(\theta)\|)$$

$$\implies \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - 2\alpha \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + \alpha^2 \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$$

$$\|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \leq (1 - \lambda) \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 \quad (\text{Set } \alpha = 1, \lambda = \lambda_{\min}[\Sigma])$$

$$\implies \|\theta_T - \theta^*\|^2 \leq (1 - \lambda)^T \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2 \quad (\text{Recurring from } t = 0 \text{ to } T - 1)$$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Mean-path

The previous analysis relied on bounding two key quantities: (i)  $\langle \nabla f(\theta_t), \theta^* - \theta_t \rangle$  and (ii)  $\|\nabla f(\theta)\|^2$ . We now consider analyzing Mean-path TD. For this, define  $\bar{g}(\theta)$  and the corresponding update as:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{g}(\theta) &:= \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s') \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s) \rangle] \phi(s) \\ \theta_{t+1} &= \theta_t + \alpha \bar{g}(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

- Intuitively,  $\bar{g}(\theta)$  is the Linear TD update in expectation if  $s$  was sampled from the stationary distribution, and the Markov chain transitioned to  $s'$ .
- Importantly, recall that the marginal distribution of  $s'$  is the stationary distribution  $\omega$ .
- If  $\mathcal{T}_\pi$  is the policy evaluation operator for  $\pi$ , then,  $\bar{g}(\theta) = \Phi^T D [\mathcal{T}_\pi \Phi \theta - \Phi \theta]$  (Prove in Assignment 3!).

Similar to the warm-up, we will show two important properties for  $\bar{g}(\theta)$ . For all  $\theta$ ,

- (1)  $\langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle \geq (1 - \gamma) \|\mathbf{v}_\theta - \mathbf{v}_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$
- (2)  $\|\bar{g}(\theta)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|\mathbf{v}_\theta - \mathbf{v}_{\theta^*}\|_D$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Mean-path

**Claim:**  $\langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle \geq (1 - \gamma) \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$ .

*Proof:* Since  $\bar{g}(\theta) = \Phi^T D [\mathcal{T}_\pi \Phi \theta - \Phi \theta]$ , using the definition of  $\theta^*$ ,  
 $\bar{g}(\theta^*) = \Phi^T D [\mathcal{T}_\pi \Phi \theta^* - \Phi \theta^*] = \Phi^T D [\mathcal{T}_\pi v^\pi - v^\pi] = 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{g}(\theta) &= \bar{g}(\theta) - \bar{g}(\theta^*) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [[(r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s') \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s) \rangle) - (r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \langle \theta^*, \phi(s') \rangle - \langle \theta^*, \phi(s) \rangle)] \phi(s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\langle \phi(s), \theta^* - \theta \rangle - \gamma \langle \phi(s'), \theta^* - \theta \rangle) \phi(s)]\end{aligned}$$

Define  $\zeta_s := \langle \theta^* - \theta, \phi(s) \rangle$  and  $\zeta_{s'} := \langle \theta^* - \theta, \phi(s') \rangle$

$$\implies \bar{g}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma \zeta_{s'}) \phi(s)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle &= \langle \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma \zeta_{s'}) \phi(s)], \theta^* - \theta \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma \zeta_{s'}) \langle \phi(s), \theta^* - \theta \rangle] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma \zeta_{s'}) \zeta_s] = \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [\zeta_s^2 - \gamma \zeta_{s'} \zeta_s]\end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} \mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2] - \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [\zeta_{s'} \zeta_s]$$



# Linear TD(0) Analysis – Mean-path

Recall that  $\langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} \mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2] - \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [\zeta_{s'} \zeta_s]$  where  $\zeta_s := \langle \theta^* - \theta, \phi(s) \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle &= \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} [\zeta_s^2] - \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [\zeta_{s'} \zeta_s] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} \mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2] - \gamma \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [\zeta_s^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [\zeta_{s'}^2]} \\ &\hspace{25em} \text{(Cauchy Schwarz)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} [\zeta_s^2] - \gamma \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} [\zeta_s^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s' \sim \omega} [\zeta_{s'}^2]} \quad (\omega \text{ is the stationary distribution})$$

$$= (1 - \gamma) \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} [\zeta_s^2] = (1 - \gamma) \sum_s \omega(s) \zeta^2(s)$$

$$= (1 - \gamma) \sum_s \omega(s) (\theta^* - \theta)^T \phi(s) \phi(s)^T (\theta^* - \theta) \quad \text{(By def. of } \zeta_s \text{)}$$

$$= (1 - \gamma) \|\theta - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma}^2 \quad \text{(By def. of } \Sigma \text{)}$$

$$\implies \langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle \geq (1 - \gamma) \|\nu_{\theta} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \quad \square \quad \text{(Since } \|\theta - \theta^*\|_{\Sigma} = \|\nu_{\theta} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D \text{)}$$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Mean-path

**Claim:**  $\|\bar{g}(\theta)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D$ .

*Proof:* Since  $\bar{g}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'}) \phi(s)]$ ,

$$\|\bar{g}(\theta)\| = \|\mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [(\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'}) \phi(s)]\| \leq \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} \|[(\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'}) \phi(s)]\| \quad (\text{Jensen's inequality})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{s,s'} [|\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'}| \|\phi(s)\|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'})^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\|\phi(s)\|^2]} \quad (\text{Cauchy Schwarz})$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[(\zeta_s - \gamma\zeta_{s'})^2]} \quad (\text{Since } \|\phi(s)\| \leq 1)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2 + \gamma^2 \zeta_{s'}^2]} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega}[\zeta_s^2]} + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\gamma^2 \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega, s' \sim P(\cdot|s)}[\zeta_{s'}^2]}$$

$$(\text{Since } (a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2) \text{ and } \sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b} \text{ for all } a \geq 0, b \geq 0)$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega}[\zeta_s^2]} + \sqrt{2} \gamma \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{s' \sim \omega}[\zeta_{s'}^2]} = \sqrt{2} (1 + \gamma) \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2]}$$

$$(\text{Since } \omega \text{ is the stationary distribution})$$

$$\leq 2\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2]} \quad (\text{Since } 1 + \gamma < 2)$$

$$\implies \|\bar{g}(\theta)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D \quad \square \quad (\text{Using the bound on } \mathbb{E}[\zeta_s^2])$$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Mean-path

**Claim:**  $\|\theta_T - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2 \lambda}{8}\right)^T \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2$ .

*Proof:* We have proven (1)  $\langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle \geq (1-\gamma) \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$  and (2)  $\|\bar{g}(\theta)\| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 &= \|\theta_t + \alpha \bar{g}(\theta) - \theta^*\|^2 = \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 + 2\alpha \langle \bar{g}(\theta_t), \theta_t - \theta^* \rangle + \alpha^2 \|\bar{g}(\theta_t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - 2\alpha(1-\gamma) \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + 8\alpha^2 \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \\ &\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{8} \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \quad (\text{Setting } \alpha = \frac{1-\gamma}{8}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{8} \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|_\Sigma^2 \quad (\text{Since } \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 = \|\theta - \theta^*\|_\Sigma^2)$$

$$\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \lambda_{\min}[\Sigma] \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{8} \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2$$

$$\|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2 \lambda}{8}\right) \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 \quad (\text{Since } \lambda = \lambda_{\min}[\Sigma])$$

$$\implies \|\theta_T - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2 \lambda}{8}\right)^T \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2 \quad \square \quad (\text{Recurring from } t = 0 \text{ to } T - 1)$$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – IID

The previous analysis requires  $\bar{g}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \omega} \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot|s)} [r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s') \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s) \rangle] \phi(s)$ .

Since we do not have access to the expectation, we will adapt the previous proof.

We will assume that  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  are sampled i.i.d. from the stationary distribution, i.e.  $s_t \sim \omega$  and  $s_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot|s_t) \implies \Pr[s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s'] = \omega(s) P(s'|s)$ . Hence, taking the expectation over the randomness in  $s_t, s_{t+1}$ , we have that for all  $t$  and  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[g_t(\theta)] &= \mathbb{E}_{s_t, s_{t+1}} [[r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s_{t+1}) \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s_t) \rangle] \phi(s_t)] \\ &= \sum_{s, s'} [r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \langle \theta, \phi(s') \rangle - \langle \theta, \phi(s) \rangle] \phi(s) \Pr[s_t = s, s_{t+1} = s'] = \bar{g}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous proofs, we will rely on two important properties for  $g_t(\theta)$ . For a fixed  $t$  and  $\theta$  independent of the randomness in  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$ ,

- (1)  $\mathbb{E}[\langle g_t(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle] = \langle \bar{g}(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle \geq (1 - \gamma) \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{E}[\|g_t(\theta)\|^2] \leq 2\sigma^2 + 8 \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$  where  $\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}_{s_t, s_{t+1}} \|g_t(\theta^*)\|^2$  is the variance in  $g_t(\theta^*)$ .

(Prove in Assignment 3!)

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – IID

**Claim:** Assuming  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  are sampled i.i.d from the stationary distribution, the update  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha_t g_t(\theta)$  with  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  has the following convergence,

$$\mathbb{E} \|v_{\bar{\theta}_T} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \leq \frac{8 \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4 \sqrt{T}},$$

where the expectation is w.r.t.  $\{s_t, s_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{T-1}$  and  $\bar{\theta}_T := \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \theta_t}{T}$  is the average iterate.

*Proof:* We have proved that (1)  $\mathbb{E}[\langle g_t(\theta), \theta^* - \theta \rangle] \geq (1 - \gamma) \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$  and (2)  $\mathbb{E}[\|g_t(\theta)\|^2] \leq 2\sigma^2 + 8 \|v_\theta - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2$ . Proceeding similar to the previous proof,

$$\|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 = \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_t \langle g_t(\theta_t), \theta_t - \theta^* \rangle + \alpha_t^2 \|g_t(\theta)\|^2$$

Taking expectation w.r.t the randomness at iteration  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 &= \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 + 2\alpha_t \mathbb{E}[\langle g_t(\theta_t), \theta_t - \theta^* \rangle] + \alpha_t^2 \mathbb{E} \|g_t(\theta)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_t (1 - \gamma) \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + \alpha_t^2 \mathbb{E} \|g_t(\theta)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(Using Property (1))

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – IID

We have shown that  $\mathbb{E} \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 \leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_t (1 - \gamma) \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + \alpha_t^2 \mathbb{E} \|g_t(\theta)\|^2$ . Using Property (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2 &\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - 2\alpha_t (1 - \gamma) \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + \alpha_t^2 \left[ 2\sigma^2 + 8 \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \right] \\ &\leq \|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \alpha_t (1 - \gamma) \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 + 2\alpha_t^2 \sigma^2 \quad (\text{For } \alpha_t \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{8}) \\ \implies (1 - \gamma) \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2]}{\alpha_t} + 2\alpha_t \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Using constant step-size  $\alpha_t = \frac{1-\gamma}{8\sqrt{T}}$ , and taking expectation w.r.t the randomness in iterations 0 to  $T - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma) \mathbb{E} \|\nu_{\theta_t} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2}{\alpha_t} \right] + 2\alpha_t \sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8\sqrt{T}}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2] + \frac{\sigma^2 (1 - \gamma)}{4\sqrt{T}} \end{aligned}$$

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – IID

Recall  $(1 - \gamma) \mathbb{E} \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \leq \frac{8\sqrt{T}}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2 - \|\theta_{t+1} - \theta^*\|^2] + \frac{\sigma^2(1-\gamma)}{4\sqrt{T}}$ . Summing from  $t = 0$  to  $T - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma) \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 &\leq \frac{8\sqrt{T}}{1 - \gamma} \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2 + \frac{\sigma^2(1 - \gamma) \sqrt{T}}{4} \\ \implies \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \|v_{\theta_t} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2}{T} &\leq \frac{8 \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{T}} \quad (\text{Dividing by } (1 - \gamma) T) \end{aligned}$$

Using Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \|v_{\bar{\theta}_T} - v_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \leq \frac{8 \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \sqrt{T}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{T}} \quad \square$$

By using more complicated step-size sequences, we can also show convergence for the last-iterate  $\theta_T$  (similar to the previous proofs).

## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Markovian

The previous analysis assumes that  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  are sampled i.i.d from the stationary distribution. However,  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  are gathered from a single trajectory of the Markov chain induced by policy  $\pi$ . Hence, the samples are correlated and assuming that they are i.i.d is not valid. However, under certain standard assumptions, we can adapt the previous proof.

**Assumption:** The underlying Markov chain is “fast-mixing” i.e. for constants  $m > 0$  and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , and all  $t$ , if  $\text{TV}(P, Q)$  is the total variation distance between distributions  $P, Q$ , then,

$$\sup_s \text{TV}(\text{Pr}^\pi[s_t | s_0 = s], \omega) \leq m \rho^t$$

i.e. the distribution over states approaches the stationary distribution exponentially fast.

Define  $\tau_{\text{mix}}(\epsilon) = \min\{t | \rho^t \leq \epsilon\}$  as the mixing time of the Markov chain.





## Linear TD(0) Analysis – Markovian

**Projected linear TD(0) update:**  $\theta_{t+1} = \text{Proj} [\theta_{t+1} + \alpha_t g_t(\theta)]$ . The projection is onto the ball  $\mathcal{B} = \{\theta \mid \|\theta\| \leq R\}$  where  $R$  is an upper-bound on  $\|\theta^*\|$ .

**Claim:** Assuming fast-mixing of the underlying Markov chain, Projected linear TD(0) with  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  has the following convergence:

$$\mathbb{E} \|\nu_{\bar{\theta}_T} - \nu_{\theta^*}\|_D^2 \leq O \left( \frac{\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{(1 + 2R)^2 (1 + \tau_{\text{mix}}(1/\sqrt{T}))}{\sqrt{T}} \right).$$

- Intuitively, every cycle of  $\tau_{\text{mix}}(\cdot)$  samples provides as much information as a single independent sample from the stationary distribution.
- If  $(s_t, s_{t+1})$  were sampled i.i.d. from  $\omega$ ,  $\tau_{\text{mix}}(\cdot) = 0$  and we would obtain the IID result.
- The proof is similar to the i.i.d case except that it needs to carefully handle correlations and bound  $\mathbb{E} [\langle g_t(\theta_t) - \bar{g}(\theta_t), \theta_t - \theta^* \rangle] \neq 0$ .
- For more details, refer to [BRS18, Section 8].

-  Jalaj Bhandari, Daniel Russo, and Raghav Singal, *A finite time analysis of temporal difference learning with linear function approximation*, Conference on learning theory, PMLR, 2018, pp. 1691–1692.
-  Richard S Sutton and Andrew G Barto, *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*, MIT press, 2018.