# CMPT 210: Probability and Computation

Lecture 10

Sharan Vaswani

June 10, 2022

### Matrix Multiplication

Given two  $n \times n$  matrices – A and B, if C = AB, then,

$$C_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{i,k} B_{k,j}$$

Hence, in the worst case, computing  $C_{i,j}$  is an O(n) operation. There are  $n^2$  entries to fill in C and hence, in the absence of additional structure, matrix multiplication takes  $O(n^3)$  time.

There are non-trivial algorithms for doing matrix multiplication more efficiently:

- (Strassen, 1969) Requires  $O(n^{2.81})$  operations.
- (Coppersmith-Winograd, 1987) Requires  $O(n^{2.376})$  operations.
- (Alman-Williams, 2020) Requires  $O(n^{2.373})$  operations.
- Belief is that it can be done in time  $O(n^{2+\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ .

### **Verifying Matrix Multiplication**

For simplicity, we will focus on A, B being binary matrices (all entries are either 0 or 1), and matrix multiplication mod 2, i.e.  $C_{i,j} = (\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{i,k} B_{k,j})$  mod 2, implying that C is a binary matrix.

Example: 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  then  $C = AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Objective**: Verify whether a matrix multiplication operation is correct.

**Trivial way**: Do the matrix multiplication ourselves, and verify it using  $O(n^3)$  (or  $O(n^{2.373})$ ) operations.

**Frievald's Algorithm**: Randomized algorithm to verify matrix multiplication with high probability in  $O(n^2)$  time.

For  $n \times n$  matrices A, B and D, is  $D = AB \pmod{2}$ ?

- 1. Generate a random n-bit vector x, by making each bit  $x_i$  either 0 or 1 independently with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . E.g, for n = 2, toss a fair coin independently twice with the scheme H is 0 and T is 1). If we get HT, then set x = [0; 1].
- 2. Compute  $t = Bx \pmod{2}$  and  $y = At = A(Bx) \pmod{2}$  and  $z = Dx \pmod{2}$ .
- 3. Output "yes" if y = z (all entries need to be equal), else output "no".

**Computational complexity**: Step 1 can be done in O(n) time. Step 2 requires 3 matrix vector multiplications and can be done in  $O(n^2)$  time. Step 3 requires comparing two n-dimensional vectors and can be done in O(n) time. Hence, the total computational complexity is  $O(n^2)$ .

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated x = [1; 0]

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Dx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "no" since  $D \neq AB \pmod{2}$ .

Q: Suppose we have generated x = [1; 1]. What is y and z? Ans: y = [0; 1] and z = [0; 1]. In this case, y = z and the algorithm will incorrectly output "yes" even though  $D \neq AB \pmod{2}$ .

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated x = [1; 0].

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Cx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "yes" since  $C = AB \pmod{2}$ .

Q: Suppose we have generated x = [1; 1]. What is y and z? Ans: y = [0; 1] and z = [0; 1]. In this case again, y = z and the algorithm will correctly output "yes".

Let us analyze the algorithm for general matrix multiplication (not necessarily (mod 2)).

Case (i): If D = AB, does the algorithm always output "yes"? Yes! Since D = AB, for any vector x, Dx = ABx.

**Case (ii)** If  $D \neq AB$ , does the algorithm output "no"?

**Claim**: For any input matrices A, B, D if  $D \neq AB$ , then the Frievald's algorithm will output "no" with probability  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Table 1: Probabilities for Basic Frievalds Algorithm

If  $D \neq AB$ , we wish to compute the probability that algorithm outputs "yes". Define

$$E:=(AB-D)$$
 and  $r:=Ex=(AB-D)x=y-z$ . If  $D\neq AB$ , then  $\exists (i,j)$  s.t.  $E_{i,j}\neq 0$ .

Pr[Algorithm outputs "yes"] = Pr[
$$y = z$$
] = Pr[ $r = 0$ ] = Pr[ $(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap ... \cap (r_i = 0) \cap ...$ ]  
= Pr[ $(r_i = 0)$ ] Pr[ $(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap ... \cap (r_n = 0) \mid r_i = 0$ ]  $\leq$  Pr[ $(r_i = 0)$ ]

$$r_i = \sum_{k=1}^n E_{i,k} x_k = E_{i,j} x_j + \sum_{k \neq j} E_{i,k} x_k = E_{i,j} x_j + \omega$$

$$\Pr[r_i = 0] = \Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega = 0] \Pr[\omega = 0] + \Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega \neq 0] \Pr[\omega \neq 0]$$

$$\Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega = 0] = \Pr[x_j = 0] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Pr[r_i = 0 | \omega \neq 0] = \Pr[(x_j = 1) \cap E_{i,j} = -\omega] = \Pr[(x_j = 1)] \Pr[E_{i,j} = -\omega | x_j = 1] \le \Pr[(x_j = 1)] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\implies \Pr[r_i = 0] \le \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega \neq 0] = \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} [1 - \Pr[\omega = 0]] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pr[\mathsf{Algorithm\ outputs\ "yes"}] \leq \Pr[r_i = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, if  $D \neq AB$ , the Algorithm outputs "yes" with probability  $\leq \frac{1}{2} \implies$  the Algorithm outputs "no" with probability  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

In the worst case, the algorithm can be incorrect half the time! We promised the algorithm would return the correct answer with "high" probability close to 1.

A common trick in randomized algorithms is to have *m* independent trials of an algorithm and aggregate the answer in some way, reducing the probability of error, thus *amplifying the success* probability.



### Frievald's Algorithm

By repeating the Basic Frievald's Algorithm (from slide 18) m times, we will amplify the probability of success. The resulting complete Frievald's Algorithm is given by:

- 1 Run the Basic Frievald's Algorithm for *m* independent runs.
- 2 If any run of the Basic Frievald's Algorithm outputs "no", output "no".
- 3 If all runs of the Basic Frievald's Algorithm outputs "yes", output "yes".

Table 2: Probabilities for Frievald's Algorithm

If m=20, then Frievald's algorithm will make mistake with probability  $1/2^{20}\approx 10^{-6}$ .

Computational Complexity:  $O(mn^2)$ 

### **Probability Amplification**

Consider a randomized algorithm  $\mathcal A$  that is supposed to solve a binary decision problem i.e. it is supposed to answer either Yes or No. It has a one-sided error – (i) if the true answer is Yes, then the algorithm  $\mathcal A$  correctly outputs Yes with probability 1, but (ii) if the true answer is No, the algorithm  $\mathcal A$  incorrectly outputs Yes with probability  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let us define a new algorithm  $\mathcal B$  that runs algorithm  $\mathcal A$  m times, and if any run of  $\mathcal A$  outputs No, algorithm  $\mathcal B$  outputs No. If all runs of  $\mathcal A$  outputs Yes, algorithm  $\mathcal B$  outputs Yes.

Q: What is the probability that algorithm  $\mathcal{B}$  correctly outputs Yes if the true answer is Yes, and correctly outputs No if the true answer is No?

## Probability Amplification - Analysis

Pr[ $\mathcal{B}$  outputs Yes | true answer is Yes ] = Pr[ $\mathcal{A}_1$  outputs Yes  $\cap \mathcal{A}_2$  outputs Yes  $\cap \dots \cap \mathcal{A}_m$  outputs Yes | true answer is Yes ]

$$=\prod_{i=1}^m \Pr[\mathcal{A}_i \text{ outputs Yes} \mid \mathsf{true} \text{ answer is Yes }] = 1 \tag{Independence of runs}$$

 $Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs No} \mid \text{true answer is No}]$ 

- $=1-\mathsf{Pr}[\mathcal{B} \ \mathsf{outputs} \ \mathsf{Yes} \ | \ \mathsf{true} \ \mathsf{answer} \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{No} \ ]$
- $=1-\mathsf{Pr}[\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ outputs Yes }\cap \mathcal{A}_2 \text{ outputs Yes }\cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{A}_m \text{ outputs Yes }| \text{ true answer is No }]$
- $=1-\prod_{i=1}^m \Pr[\mathcal{A}_i ext{ outputs Yes} \mid ext{true answer is No }] \geq 1-rac{1}{2^m}.$

When the true answer is Yes, both  $\mathcal B$  and  $\mathcal A$  correctly output Yes. When the true answer is No,  $\mathcal A$  incorrectly outputs Yes with probability  $<\frac{1}{2}$ , but  $\mathcal B$  incorrectly outputs Yes with probability  $<\frac{1}{2^m}<\frac{1}{2}$ . By repeating the experiment, we have "amplified" the probability of success.