CMPT 210: Probability and Computing

Lecture 11

Sharan Vaswani

October 10, 2024

Q: For $n \times n$ matrices A, B and D, is D = AB?

Algorithm:

- 1. Generate a random n-bit vector x, by making each bit x_i either 0 or 1 independently with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. E.g, for n=2, toss a fair coin independently twice with the scheme H is 0 and T is 1). If we get HT, then set $x=[0\,;\,1]$.
- 2. Compute t = Bx and y = At = A(Bx) and z = Dx.
- 3. Output "yes" if y = z (all entries need to be equal), else output "no".

Computational complexity: Step 1 can be done in O(n) time. Step 2 requires 3 matrix vector multiplications and can be done in $O(n^2)$ time. Step 3 requires comparing two n-dimensional vectors and can be done in O(n) time. Hence, the total computational complexity is $O(n^2)$.

1

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated x = [1; 0]

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Dx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "no" since $D \neq AB$.

Q: Suppose we have generated x = [0; 0]. What is y and z?

In this case, y = z and the algorithm will incorrectly output "yes" even though $D \neq AB$.

2

Let us run the algorithm on an example. Suppose we have generated x = [1; 0].

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Bx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad y = A(Bx) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad z = Cx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the algorithm will correctly output "yes" since C = AB.

Q: Suppose we have generated x = [0; 1]. What is y and z?

In this case again, y=z and the algorithm will correctly output "yes".

3

Let us analyze the algorithm for general matrix multiplication.

Case (i): If D = AB, does the algorithm always output "yes"? Yes! Since D = AB, for any vector x, Dx = ABx.

Case (ii) If $D \neq AB$, does the algorithm always output "no"?

Claim: For any input matrices A, B, D if $D \neq AB$, then the (Basic) Frievald's algorithm will output "no" with probability $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 1: Probabilities for Basic Frievalds Algorithm

Proof: If $D \neq AB$, we wish to compute the probability that algorithm outputs "yes" and prove that it less than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Define
$$E:=(AB-D)$$
 and $r:=Ex=(AB-D)x=y-z$. If $D\neq AB$, then $\exists (i,j)$ s.t. $E_{i,j}\neq 0$.

Pr[Algorithm outputs "yes"] = Pr[
$$y = z$$
] = Pr[$r = \mathbf{0}$]
= Pr[$(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap \ldots \cap (r_i = 0) \cap \ldots$]
= Pr[$(r_i = 0)$] Pr[$(r_1 = 0) \cap (r_2 = 0) \cap \ldots \cap (r_n = 0) | r_i = 0$]
(By def. of conditional probability)

$$\implies$$
 $\Pr[\mathsf{Algorithm\ outputs\ "yes"}] \leq \Pr[r_i = 0]$ (Probabilities are in $[0,1]$)

To complete the proof, on the next slide, we will prove that $\Pr[r_i = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$r_{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{i,k} x_{k} = E_{i,j} x_{j} + \sum_{k \neq j} E_{i,k} x_{k} = E_{i,j} x_{j} + \omega \qquad (\omega := \sum_{k \neq j} E_{i,k} x_{k})$$

$$\Pr[r_{i} = 0] = \Pr[r_{i} = 0 | \omega = 0] \Pr[\omega = 0] + \Pr[r_{i} = 0 | \omega \neq 0] \Pr[\omega \neq 0]$$

$$(\text{By the law of total probability})$$

$$\Pr[r_{i} = 0 | \omega = 0] = \Pr[x_{j} = 0] = \frac{1}{2} \qquad (\text{Since } E_{i,j} \neq 0 \text{ and } \Pr[x_{j} = 1] = \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\Pr[r_{i} = 0 | \omega \neq 0] = \Pr[(x_{j} = 1) \cap E_{i,j} = -\omega] = \Pr[(x_{j} = 1)] \Pr[E_{i,j} = -\omega | x_{j} = 1]$$

$$(\text{By def. of conditional probability})$$

$$\implies \Pr[r_{i} = 0 | \omega \neq 0] \leq \Pr[(x_{j} = 1)] = \frac{1}{2} \qquad (\text{Probabilities are in } [0, 1], \Pr[x_{j} = 1] = \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\implies \Pr[r_{i} = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega \neq 0] = \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\omega = 0] + \frac{1}{2} [1 - \Pr[\omega = 0]] = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$(\Pr[E^{c}] = 1 - \Pr[E])$$

$$\implies \Pr[\text{Algorithm outputs "yes"}] \leq \Pr[r_{i} = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Hence, if $D \neq AB$, the Algorithm outputs "yes" with probability $\leq \frac{1}{2} \implies$ the Algorithm outputs "no" with probability $\geq \frac{1}{2}$.
- In the worst case, the algorithm can be incorrect half the time! We promised the algorithm would return the correct answer with "high" probability close to 1.
- A common trick in randomized algorithms is to have *m* independent trials of an algorithm and aggregate the answer in some way, reducing the probability of error, thus *amplifying the* probability of success.



Frievald's Algorithm

- By repeating the *Basic Frievald's Algorithm m* times, we will amplify the probability of success. The resulting complete Frievald's Algorithm is given by:
 - 1 Run the Basic Frievald's Algorithm for *m* independent runs.
 - 2 If any run of the Basic Frievald's Algorithm outputs "no", output "no".
 - 3 If all runs of the Basic Frievald's Algorithm output "yes", output "yes".

Table 2: Probabilities for Frievald's Algorithm

If m=20, then Frievald's algorithm will make mistake with probability $1/2^{20}\approx 10^{-6}$.

Computational Complexity: $O(mn^2)$

Probability Amplification

- Consider a randomized algorithm \mathcal{A} that is supposed to solve a binary decision problem i.e. it is supposed to answer either Yes or No. It has a one-sided error (i) if the true answer is Yes, then the algorithm \mathcal{A} correctly outputs Yes with probability 1, but (ii) if the true answer is No, the algorithm \mathcal{A} incorrectly outputs Yes with probability $\leq \frac{1}{2}$.
- Let us define a new algorithm $\mathcal B$ that runs algorithm $\mathcal A$ m times, and if any run of $\mathcal A$ outputs No, algorithm $\mathcal B$ outputs No. If all runs of $\mathcal A$ output Yes, algorithm $\mathcal B$ outputs Yes.
- ${f Q}$: What is the probability that algorithm ${\cal B}$ correctly outputs Yes if the true answer is Yes, and correctly outputs No if the true answer is No?

Probability Amplification - Analysis

If A_i denotes run i of Algorithm A, then

 $Pr[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs Yes} \mid \text{true answer is Yes}]$

$$= \text{Pr}[\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ outputs Yes } \cap \mathcal{A}_2 \text{ outputs Yes } \cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{A}_m \text{ outputs Yes } | \text{ true answer is Yes }]$$

$$=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\Pr[\mathcal{A}_{i} \text{ outputs Yes} \mid \text{true answer is Yes }]=1 \tag{Independence of runs}$$

 $\mathsf{Pr}[\mathcal{B} \text{ outputs No} \mid \mathsf{true} \text{ answer is No}]$

- $=1-\mathsf{Pr}[\mathcal{B} \ \mathsf{outputs} \ \mathsf{Yes} \ | \ \mathsf{true} \ \mathsf{answer} \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{No} \]$
- $=1-\mathsf{Pr}[\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ outputs Yes }\cap \mathcal{A}_2 \text{ outputs Yes }\cap \ldots \cap \mathcal{A}_m \text{ outputs Yes }| \text{ true answer is No }]$

$$=1-\prod_{i=1}^m \Pr[\mathcal{A}_i ext{ outputs Yes} \mid ext{true answer is No }] \geq 1-rac{1}{2^m}.$$

When the true answer is Yes, both $\mathcal B$ and $\mathcal A$ correctly output Yes. When the true answer is No, $\mathcal A$ incorrectly outputs Yes with probability $<\frac{1}{2}$, but $\mathcal B$ incorrectly outputs Yes with probability $<\frac{1}{2^m}<<\frac{1}{2}$. By repeating the experiment, we have "amplified" the probability of success.

